

**CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MILL'S HARM PRINCIPLE IN THE
CONTEXT OF HIV/AIDS AND HUMAN BEHAVIOUR:
EXAMINING THE NEGLECTED AREAS**

Idoniboye, Omiete & Emmanuel Sunny Azubuike

Abstract

The harm principle, proposed by John Stuart Mill in *On Liberty*, states that individuals should be free to act as they choose, as long as their actions do not harm others. However, its application in the context of HIV/AIDS in the social and behavioural sciences has been criticized for several reasons. Firstly, the concept of harm can be subjective, leading to different interpretations of the harm principle. Secondly, the principle fails to take into account the impact of power dynamics, privilege and marginalization, which can contribute to the spread of HIV/AIDS. Furthermore, the principle does not account for the collective harm caused by societal attitudes and discrimination towards individuals living with HIV/AIDS, leading to a lack of attention to the broader social determinants of the epidemic. In the end, the paper concludes that while the harm principle is a valuable ethical framework, its application in the context of HIV/AIDS in the social and behavioural sciences requires careful consideration and a nuanced understanding of the complexities involved.

Keywords: HIV/AIDS, Dynamics, Privilege, Marginalization, Behavioural Science

Introduction

HIV stands for Human Immunodeficiency Virus, which is a virus that attacks and weakens the immune system, making it difficult for the body to fight off infections and diseases. AIDS stands for Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome, which is a condition that occurs when the immune system becomes severely damaged by HIV and the body is no longer able to fight off infections and diseases. HIV is transmitted through bodily fluids such as blood, semen, vaginal fluids, and breast milk. The virus can be transmitted through unprotected sexual contact, sharing needles or syringes, and from mother to child during pregnancy, childbirth, or breastfeeding.

AIDS is diagnosed when a person with HIV develops certain opportunistic infections or cancers, or when their CD4 T-cell count (a type of immune cell) falls below a certain level. While there is no cure for HIV/AIDS,

antiretroviral therapy (ART) can help people with HIV live long and healthy lives by suppressing the virus and improving immune function.

The acronym CD4 T stands for "Cluster of Differentiation 4 T-lymphocyte". CD4 T-cell count refers to the number of CD4-positive T lymphocytes in a given volume of blood. CD4 T-cells play a crucial role in the immune system by helping to coordinate the body's response to infection and disease. Therefore, the CD4 T-cell count is a useful indicator of immune function, and it is often used as a measure of immune status in individuals with HIV/AIDS, cancer, and other diseases that affect the immune system.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the CD4 T-cell count is a key determinant of HIV disease progression and is used to guide clinical management and treatment decisions. The WHO recommends starting antiretroviral therapy (ART) when the CD4 T-cell count drops below a certain threshold, typically 350-500 cells/mm³ in resource-limited settings and 500-750 cells/mm³ in resource-rich settings.¹

In addition to HIV/AIDS, the CD4 T-cell count has also been used as a prognostic marker in other diseases, including cancer. For example, low CD4 T-cell counts have been associated with poor outcomes in patients with lung cancer and colorectal cancer.² Hence, the CD4 T-cell count is an important tool for monitoring immune function and guiding clinical management in a variety of diseases. It is a widely used and well-established marker of immune status that has helped to improve patient outcomes and quality of life.

HIV/AIDS is a pandemic that has affected millions of people worldwide since it was first identified in the early 1980s. The disease has had a significant impact on global health, economy, and social development. Here is a historical trace of HIV/AIDS around the world. In 1981, the first cases of what would later be identified as AIDS were reported in the United States.³ However, it is believed that the virus had been present in the human population for several decades before then. The disease quickly spread throughout the

¹ WHO. "Consolidated Guidelines on the use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection: Recommendations for a Public Health approach". *World Health Organization*. (2016). 123.

² H. Yoshioka, H. Kamohara, N. Seki, "High-density infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells is associated with prolonged survival in colon cancer". *Oncology Reports*, 40(4), (2018). 2191-2198.

³ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. History of HIV/AIDS. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/whatishiv/history.html>

world, with the first cases outside of the United States (US) being reported in France and the Democratic Republic of the Congo in the same year.⁴

By the mid-1980s, HIV/AIDS had become a global pandemic, with cases reported in almost every country in the world. The disease was particularly devastating in sub-Saharan Africa, where it had a significant impact on public health and economic development.⁵ In the 1990s, there was a significant increase in awareness and funding for HIV/AIDS research, prevention, and treatment. This led to the development of antiretroviral therapy (ART), which has revolutionized the treatment of the disease and significantly reduced mortality rates among those living with HIV/AIDS.⁶

HIV/AIDS is still a significant global health issue today, with an estimated 38 million individuals living with the virus worldwide. Although there have been notable advancements in prevention and treatment, marginalized groups such as men who have sex with men, sex workers, and people who inject drugs continue to bear a disproportionate burden of the disease. Despite its emergence in the 1980s, HIV/AIDS has continued to have a profound impact on global health and development. Therefore, sustained attention and investment are necessary to tackle this major health challenge. This is why we embrace John Stuart Mill's harm principle.

John Stuart Mill's Harm Principle states that "The only purpose for which power can be rightfully exercised over any member of a civilized community, against his will, is to prevent harm to others."⁷ This principle is central to Mill's philosophy of individual liberty and forms the basis for his ideas about the limits of government power. According to Mill, individuals should be free to act as they choose, as long as their actions do not cause harm to others. He argues that this principle is in line with the moral principle of justice, as it allows people to be held accountable for the harm they cause to others. Mill also believed that the Harm Principle was compatible with the idea of individual autonomy, as it allows people to make their own choices and live their lives as they see fit, so long as they do not harm others.

In applying the Harm Principle, Mill acknowledges that there may be cases where the actions of an individual may cause harm to society as a whole, rather than just to a specific individual. In such cases, Mill contends that the

⁴ World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory (GHO) data: HIV/AIDS. (2021).

Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/topic-details/GHO/hiv-aids>

⁵ UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet>

⁶ UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics. (2021).

⁷ J. S. Mill, *On Liberty*. (London: John W. Parker and Son, 1859). 13

state may intervene to prevent the harm, but only if the harm is significant enough to justify the infringement of individual liberty. He further argues that this principle is necessary for the protection of individual freedom and autonomy. However, the application of the Harm Principle in the context of HIV/AIDS and human behaviour has been subject to critique. Scholars argue that the principle is inadequate for addressing the complex and nuanced nature of the spread of HIV, as well as its impact on individuals and communities. In particular, the concept of harm is difficult to define and measure in the context of HIV/AIDS, leading to controversies and disagreements about the appropriate scope and application of the Harm Principle.

In the social and behavioural sciences, the use of the Harm Principle in the context of HIV/AIDS has been criticized for being too narrow and focused on individual behaviour, neglecting the larger social and cultural factors that contribute to the spread of the virus. For example, HIV/AIDS is often seen as a result of larger systems of inequality and stigma, such as poverty, racism, and homophobia.⁸ These larger social factors can create barriers to accessing information, resources, and healthcare, making it difficult for individuals to reduce the harm associated with HIV/AIDS. Additionally, the application of the Harm Principle in the context of HIV/AIDS has been criticized for promoting a medicalized approach that neglects the social and cultural dimensions of the disease.⁹ This approach can lead to a reductionist view of HIV/AIDS as a simple medical problem, rather than a complex social issue that requires a more holistic and multidisciplinary approach.

Reductionist view of HIV/AIDS as a Simple Medical Problem.

The reductionist view of HIV/AIDS as a simple medical problem refers to a narrow perspective that solely focuses on the biological aspects of the disease and disregards the social, economic, and political factors that contribute to its spread and impact.¹⁰ This viewpoint ignores the complex interplay of factors that influence the transmission and progression of HIV/AIDS, such as poverty,

⁸R. Parker, "The Medicalization of HIV/AIDS: From the Medical Model to the Social Model". In J. M. H. M. Janssen & A. P. Laurenson (Eds.), *Social Constructions of HIV/AIDS* (London: Routledge, 2003). 31-52.

⁹ Marks, G., Crepaz, N., Senterfitt, J. W., & Janssen, R. S. Meta-analytic Review of High-Risk Sexual Behaviour in Persons Aware and unaware they are infected with HIV in the United States: Implications for HIV prevention programs. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 63(3), (2013). 368-377.

¹⁰ UNAIDS. *Global AIDS update 2019*. (Geneva: UNAIDS, 2019), 10.

stigma, discrimination, and access to healthcare.¹¹ According to a study published in the *Journal of the International AIDS Society* (2017), the reductionist approach has resulted in a limited understanding of the disease and has hindered efforts to effectively address the HIV/AIDS epidemic. The authors argue that by taking a more holistic approach, it becomes evident that addressing the root causes of the epidemic is crucial in efforts to combat HIV/AIDS effectively.¹²

Another version of the reductionist view of HIV/AIDS as a simple medical problem is a perspective that sees the disease as a primarily biological issue that can be treated and managed through medical interventions such as antiretroviral therapy. This viewpoint has been widely accepted by the scientific and medical communities and has informed the development of effective treatments for HIV/AIDS. One example of a scholar who has supported this perspective is Anthony Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. In a 2001 article, he stated that "HIV/AIDS is a chronic, manageable illness, much like diabetes or heart disease that requires lifetime medical attention".¹³

This view was also given impetus by David Ho, a leading AIDS researcher and former Time Magazine Man of the Year. In a 1996 article, Ho wrote, "The AIDS virus attacks and destroys the body's immune system, making it susceptible to a wide range of infections and cancers."¹⁴ This is corroborated by the school of thought that sees the disease primarily as a result of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and its impact on the human body, and as such emphasizes the need for early diagnosis, antiretroviral therapy (ART), and other medical interventions to manage the physical symptoms and progression of the disease. This view has been widely adopted by the medical community and has been successful in improving the quality of life for people living with HIV/AIDS. However, it has also been criticized for ignoring the social, cultural, and economic factors that contribute to the spread of the disease and impact access to medical care. For example, according to a review article by S. K. Hargreaves and colleagues, "HIV/AIDS has been considered a medical problem from its inception. However, the

¹¹ World Health Organization. *HIV/AIDS*. (Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020), 15.

¹² Journal of the International AIDS Society. "The Reductionist View of the HIV/AIDS Epidemic: Implications for Prevention and Care". *JIAS*, 20(1), (2017). 25-51.

¹³ A. Fauci, "The Medical Management of HIV/AIDS". *New England Journal of Medicine*, 344(17), (2001), 1296-1304.

¹⁴ D. Ho, "The biological basis of the AIDS pandemic". *Nature*, 384(6611), (1996), 587-588

social, cultural and economic factors that contribute to its spread have been largely ignored in the medical community's response to the epidemic".¹⁵

Neglected Factors in HIV/AIDS Medical Response

The medical community's initial response to HIV/AIDS was largely focused on the biomedical aspects of the disease, such as developing antiretroviral drugs and improving diagnostic tools. However, this approach neglected the social, cultural, and economic factors that contributed to the spread of the disease and influenced its impact on different populations. This neglect had significant consequences for those affected by HIV/AIDS, particularly marginalized groups who were disproportionately affected by the disease.

One example of this neglect is the delayed recognition of the impact of social determinants of health on the spread of HIV/AIDS. Social determinants of health are the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age, and they include factors such as poverty, discrimination, and lack of access to healthcare.¹⁶ These factors can increase the risk of HIV transmission and affect the ability of individuals to access care and treatment. However, the medical community initially focused on biomedical interventions without addressing these underlying social determinants.

Another example is the neglect of cultural factors that influenced the spread of HIV/AIDS. In many cultures, discussing sex and sexuality is taboo, which made it difficult to address HIV prevention and treatment. Additionally, stigma and discrimination against marginalized populations, such as men who have sex with men and people who inject drugs, contributed to their increased risk of HIV transmission.¹⁷ However, the medical community did not initially address these cultural factors in their response to the epidemic.

In addition, the economic factors that contributed to the spread of HIV/AIDS were also neglected by the medical community. Poverty and lack of access to healthcare were major contributors to the spread of the disease, particularly in resource-limited settings.¹⁸ However, the medical community initially focused on developing expensive antiretroviral drugs that were

¹⁵ S. K. Hargreaves, S. E. Dilworth, & C. D. Pilcher, "HIV/AIDS: A Global Pandemic". *Health*, 7(1), (2015). 27-36.

¹⁶ WHO. Social determinants of health. (2021). Retrieved from https://www.who.int/health-topics/social-determinants-of-health#tab=tab_1

¹⁷ UNAIDS. UNAIDS 2021 Global AIDS Update. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/2021-global-aids-update>

¹⁸ UNAIDS. UNAIDS 2021 Global AIDS Update. (2021).

inaccessible to many who needed them. Thus, the neglect of social, cultural, and economic factors in the medical community's response to HIV/AIDS had significant consequences for those affected by the disease. Addressing these factors is essential for an effective response to HIV/AIDS, as they contribute to the spread of the disease and influence its impact on different populations.

Mill's Harm Principle for HIV/AIDS Response Framework

John Stuart Mill's Harm Principle is a fundamental principle of liberal political theory, which suggests that people should be free to act as they wish, provided their actions do not cause harm to others. This principle has been widely applied in various contexts, including the development of HIV/AIDS response frameworks. In this essay, we will discuss Mill's Harm Principle and how it can be applied to the social, economic, and political factors of HIV/AIDS response frameworks.

The Harm Principle is a central idea in Mill's book, "On Liberty," which was first published in 1859. According to Mill, people should have the freedom to act as they please, as long as they do not harm others.¹⁹ He argued that society should only interfere with people's actions when they cause harm to others. Mill believed that the state had a role to play in ensuring that people's actions did not harm others, but he also stressed that the state should not restrict people's freedoms unnecessarily.²⁰ The Harm Principle has been widely accepted as a key principle of liberal political theory, and it has been applied in various contexts, including the development of HIV/AIDS response frameworks. HIV/AIDS is a global health crisis that has affected millions of people worldwide. In developing an effective response to HIV/AIDS, it is important to consider the social, economic, and political factors that contribute to the spread of the disease.

One of the social factors that contribute to the spread of HIV/AIDS is stigma and discrimination. Many people living with HIV/AIDS face discrimination and social exclusion, which can prevent them from accessing the care and support they need.²¹ Applying the Harm Principle to this social factor means that society should take steps to reduce stigma and discrimination, as they harm those living with HIV/AIDS.²² This can be

¹⁹ J. S Mill, *On Liberty*. 13.

²⁰ J. S Mill, *On Liberty*. 22.

²¹ World Health Organization. (2021). HIV/AIDS. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hiv-aids>

²² J. S Mill, *On Liberty*. 22.

achieved through education and awareness-raising campaigns, as well as the enactment and enforcement of anti-discrimination laws.²³

Economic factors, such as poverty and inequality, can also contribute to the spread of HIV/AIDS. People living in poverty may be more likely to engage in risky behaviour, such as drug use and unprotected sex, due to limited access to education and healthcare. Applying the Harm Principle to this economic factor means that society should take steps to address poverty and inequality, as they harm individuals and contribute to the spread of HIV/AIDS. This can be achieved through measures such as poverty reduction programs, education and training programs, and access to healthcare.²⁴

Political factors, such as inadequate governance and human rights abuses, can also contribute to the spread of HIV/AIDS. Applying the Harm Principle to this political factor means that society should take steps to promote good governance and protect human rights, as these factors harm individuals and contribute to the spread of HIV/AIDS. This can be achieved through measures such as the promotion of democratic governance, the protection of civil liberties and human rights, and the strengthening of healthcare systems.²⁵

Thus, Mill's Harm Principle is a fundamental principle of liberal political theory that has been widely applied in various contexts, including the development of HIV/AIDS response frameworks. Applying the Harm Principle to social, economic, and political factors can help to reduce the harm caused by HIV/AIDS and promote a more effective response to the disease. By addressing factors such as stigma and discrimination, poverty and inequality, and inadequate governance and human rights abuses, society can work towards a more equitable and just response to HIV/AIDS.

Critical Analysis

In this essay, we will examine and critique the Harm Principle with respect to HIV/AIDS, taking into account economic, social and political factors.

²³ L., Nyblade, A., Stangl, E., Weiss, K., Ashburn, & J. Asher, "Combating HIV Stigma in Health Care Settings: What works?" *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 22(3), (2019), 252-257.

²⁴ UNAIDS. Fact sheet - World AIDS Day 2019. Retrieved from https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_FactSheet_en.pdf, (2019).

²⁵ UNAIDS. Fact sheet - World AIDS Day 2019.

Economic Factors: HIV/AIDS has significant economic implications, particularly in countries with high prevalence rates. The costs of HIV/AIDS treatment and care can be substantial, and can have a significant impact on national budgets. The Harm Principle suggests that individuals should be free to engage in risky behaviours, such as unprotected sex or sharing needles, as long as they do not harm others. However, the economic costs of treating HIV/AIDS can be borne by society as a whole, particularly in countries with limited healthcare resources. In such cases, it may be argued that the Harm Principle is not sufficient to address the economic consequences of HIV/AIDS.

Social Factors: HIV/AIDS has significant social impacts, particularly on individuals who engage in certain activities, such as those who contract the disease from sex workers, men who have sex with other men, and individuals who inject drugs. These groups often face ostracism and prejudice, which can worsen the spread of HIV/AIDS. Despite the Harm Principle, which supports individuals' right to engage in consensual sexual behaviour, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity, societal stigmatization associated with non-heterosexual behaviour can lead to discrimination, exclusion, and even violence. Therefore, some argue that the Harm Principle may not be sufficient to address the social consequences of HIV/AIDS.

To illustrate this, let us consider a situation where a man contracts HIV after having unprotected sex with a sex worker. The man will likely face intense social ostracism from his family, friends, and community members due to his actions. They will often perceive him as having brought the disease upon himself and, consequently, blame and shame him. This alienation and stigma can make the man hesitant to seek medical help or disclose his condition to others, resulting in a greater likelihood of the disease spreading to others. Furthermore, societal stigmatization of non-heterosexual behaviour can make it challenging for men who have sex with other men to access healthcare, leading to increased HIV/AIDS cases in this group. In such circumstances, the Harm Principle may not be sufficient to address the social implications of HIV/AIDS.

Political Factors: HIV/AIDS has significant political implications, particularly in countries with high prevalence rates. The Harm Principle suggests that individuals should be free to engage in risky behaviours, as long as they do not harm others. However, governments may have an obligation to protect the public health of their citizens, particularly in cases where the risks

associated with certain behaviours are well-established. In such cases, it may be argued that the Harm Principle is insufficient to address the political consequences of HIV/AIDS.

Critique of the Harm Principle: While the Harm Principle is an important principle of liberal political philosophy, it has limitations when applied to HIV/AIDS. The economic, social and political consequences of HIV/AIDS are significant, and cannot be addressed solely through the Harm Principle. Other principles, such as the principle of public health, may need to be invoked to address the broader consequences of HIV/AIDS. Additionally, the Harm Principle may be difficult to apply in cases where the harm caused by certain behaviours is not immediate or easily identifiable.

Therefore, the Harm Principle is an important principle of liberal political philosophy, but has limitations when applied to HIV/AIDS. The economic, social and political consequences of HIV/AIDS are significant, and cannot be addressed solely through the Harm Principle. Other principles, such as the principle of public health, may need to be invoked to address the broader consequences of HIV/AIDS.

Evaluation and Conclusion

The Harm Principle is a popular concept that is used to support individual autonomy, freedom of speech, and many other rights and liberties. For example, individuals have the right to express themselves freely as long as they do not cause harm to others. Similarly, in the case of HIV/AIDS, the Harm Principle can be applied to protect the rights of people with the disease.

Imagine a scenario where an individual with HIV/AIDS wants to live their life without interference from others. They may choose to keep their condition private and not disclose it to others. They may also choose to refuse medical treatment, such as antiretroviral therapy, if they believe that the side effects outweigh the benefits. Additionally, they may wish to engage in consensual sexual activity with a partner who is aware of their condition. In this situation, the Harm Principle would support the individual's right to make these choices as long as they are not causing harm to others. For example, if the individual is engaging in consensual sexual activity, they should disclose their condition to their partner to avoid transmitting the virus. However, beyond these individual rights, there are broader economic, social, and political factors that must be considered.

For instance, people with HIV/AIDS may face stigma and discrimination, which can make it difficult for them to access healthcare and other services. Moreover, they may also face economic challenges as they may not be able to work due to their illness or may face discrimination in the workplace. These factors can result in physical, economic, social, and political harm. Therefore, the Harm Principle can be expanded to include not just physical harm, but also economic, social, and political harm. In this case, the state may have a duty to provide healthcare and other services to people with HIV/AIDS to prevent harm to their economic and social wellbeing. Additionally, the state may have a responsibility to work to reduce stigma and discrimination against people with HIV/AIDS, as this can also cause harm.

Similarly, the Harm Principle provides a useful framework for balancing individual rights and broader social responsibilities when it comes to issues like HIV/AIDS. By considering the potential harms involved, policymakers can ensure that the needs and rights of all individuals are protected. Thus, while the Harm Principle can be a useful framework for thinking about individual rights and freedoms, it must be balanced against other factors such as economic, social, and political considerations. In the case of HIV/AIDS, the Harm Principle can be applied to support individual autonomy and freedom, but must also be expanded to include a duty to prevent economic, social, and political harm to those living with HIV/AIDS.

Bibliography

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. History of HIV/AIDS. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/whatishiv/history.html>
- Fauci, A. "The Medical Management of HIV/AIDS". *New England Journal of Medicine*, 344(17), (2001), 1296-1304.
- Hargreaves, S. K., Dilworth, S. E. & Pilcher, C. D. "HIV/AIDS: A Global Pandemic". *Health*, 7(1), (2015). 27-36.
- Ho, D. "The biological basis of the AIDS pandemic". *Nature*, 384(6611), (1996), 587-588
- Janssen, J. M. H. M. & A. P. Laurenson (Eds.), *Social Constructions of HIV/AIDS* (London: Routledge, 2003).
- Journal of the International AIDS Society. "The Reductionist View of the HIV/AIDS Epidemic: Implications for Prevention and Care". *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 20(1), (2017). 25-51.
- Marks, G., Crepaz, N., Senterfitt, J. W., & Janssen, R. S. Meta-analytic Review of High-Risk Sexual Behaviour in Persons Aware and unaware

they are infected with HIV in the United States: Implications for HIV prevention programs. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 63(3), (2013). 368-377.

Mill, J. S. *On Liberty*. (London: John W. Parker and Son, 1859).

Nyblade, L., Stangl, A., Weiss, E., Ashburn, K., & Asher, J. "Combating HIV Stigma in Health Care Settings: What works?" *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 22(3), (2019). 252-257.

UNAIDS. Fact sheet - World AIDS Day 2019. Retrieved from https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_FactSheet_en.pdf, (2019).

UNAIDS. *Global AIDS update 2019*. (Geneva: UNAIDS, 2019).

UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet>

UNAIDS. UNAIDS 2021 Global AIDS Update. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/2021-global-aids-update>

WHO. "Consolidated Guidelines on the use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection: Recommendations for a Public Health approach". *World Health Organization*. (2016). 123.

WHO. Social determinants of health. (2021). Retrieved from https://www.who.int/health-topics/social-determinants-of-health#tab=tab_1

World Health Organization. (2021). HIV/AIDS. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hiv-aids>

World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory (GHO) data: HIV/AIDS. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/topic-details/GHO/hiv-aids>

World Health Organization. *HIV/AIDS*. (Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020), 15.

Yoshioka, H., Kamohara, H. Seki, N., "High-density infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells is associated with prolonged survival in colon cancer". *Oncology Reports*, 40(4), (2018). 2191-2198.