

GOD, MAN AND DESTINY: A PHILOSOPHICAL APPROACH

Umeh, George-Franklin Ph.D*
Orjinta Jude Obinna**

Abstract

The philosophical exploration of the interplay between God, man, and destiny is a complex and multifaceted endeavour that delves into fundamental questions about God's existence, morality, and human agency. This work examines various philosophical perspectives on God, man, and destiny, drawing from diverse traditions and thinkers throughout history whether man is free or pre-determined before his existence. This problem is perennial and is numb to every solution. The work adopts the method of analysis. By applying this method, it was discovered that Philosophical discussions on the existence of God is still controversial, free will is more of an illusion compared with determinism, the problem of evil questions the omnipotence of God and the implications of different views on personal and societal perspectives creates more confusion. In conclusion, this work recommends an awareness and exercise of the concept of free will even though it may seem an illusion and an adjustment on the idea determinism where change is necessary.

Introduction

The study of the relationship between God, man, and destiny has been a central concern in philosophy, drawing on a rich tradition of thought that spans millennia. In this context, the term "God" refers to the ultimate, transcendent reality, "Man" signifies human beings and their nature, and "Destiny" encompasses the overarching course of events or the ultimate fate of individuals and the cosmos. The philosophical approach to these concepts provides a framework for rigorous inquiry into fundamental questions about existence, morality, and the nature of reality (Smith, 2010, 45). According to Raymond Arazu (2008, 1), the ancient discussed this topic within the mythical concepts of Fate and Destiny. For him, the Romans had many gods and an assemblage of these gods was called *Fates*. These gods ruled over the affairs of men by "determining before hand what every individual human being would achieve or not accomplish in spite of the apparent free will." The Romans had also a goddess called *Fortuna*. People favoured by her were supposed to be lucky in that they succeeded in ventures and those disfavoured by her were doomed to failure. Later fate and destiny became synonymous with all that is fixed or pre-determined in man's helpless existence. Many a time, we say that what will be will be, giving an allusion to fate and destiny.

In the Igbo worldview, the Igbo had two other beliefs that support the doctrine of fate and destiny which is encapsulated in the word *akala- aka*. They are the belief in *Chi* and *Agu*. *Chi* is the spiritual counterpart of every manifested creation and it pre-determines the limits of achievement and of failure possible for its material, physical counterpart, be it a tree, an animal or a man. *Agu* on the other hand belongs to human being only. It is literary the soul of another dead man or woman which has reincarnated but still exists in the spirit world (Arazu, 2008, 3). These and many more give support to the idea of determinism, leaving literary a little space for free- will.

Definition of God, Man, and Destiny

Defining the terms "God," "Man," and "Destiny" is essential for establishing a common framework for philosophical analysis. The concept of God encompasses various theological and philosophical perspectives, including monotheistic, polytheistic, and non-theistic views. Philosophers such as Thomas Aquinas, René Descartes, and Immanuel Kant have provided diverse perspectives on the

attributes and existence of God, contributing to a complex tapestry of philosophical thought regarding the nature of divinity and its implications for human existence (Smith, 2010, 78).

Similarly, the philosophical exploration of the nature of man encompasses inquiries into human consciousness, identity, agency, and the perennial debate between free will and determinism. Philosophers such as Jean-Paul Sartre, Friedrich Nietzsche, and John Locke have offered contrasting views on the nature of human existence, contributing to an ongoing discourse regarding the essence of humanity and its relation to the broader cosmos (Jones, 2015, 112).

Still, the concept of destiny is intertwined with philosophical perspectives on free will and determinism, as well as the notion of predestination. Philosophers such as Aristotle, Friedrich Hegel, and Arthur Schopenhauer have engaged in profound discussions regarding the nature of fate, the influence of human agency on the course of events, and the implications of a predetermined cosmic order on individual lives (Smith, 2010, 134).

Significance of the Philosophical Approach

The philosophical approach to the study of God, man, and destiny provides a rigorous and critical framework for understanding fundamental existential questions. By engaging with foundational philosophical concepts, individuals can develop a deeper understanding of their place in the world and the nature of reality. Furthermore, this approach fosters critical thinking, ethical reflection, and the exploration of diverse perspectives, contributing to a more nuanced understanding of these profound and complex ideas.

The exploration of the relationship between God, man, and destiny has been a central concern in philosophy, drawing on a rich tradition of thought that spans millennia (Smith, 2010, 45). In this context, the term "God" refers to the ultimate, transcendent reality, "Man" signifies human beings and their nature, and "Destiny" encompasses the overarching course of events or the ultimate fate of individuals and the cosmos (Jones, 2015, 78).

The Concept of God

The concept of God has been a perennial subject of philosophical inquiry, transcending cultural, religious, and temporal boundaries. Philosophers across the ages have grappled with fundamental questions about the nature, existence, and attributes of God, offering diverse perspectives that have enriched the philosophical discourse and influenced broader intellectual and cultural narratives. Below are some of those debates and discussions.

Different Philosophical Perspectives on the Existence of God

One of the central inquiries within the philosophy of religion revolves around the existence of God. This inquiry has given rise to various philosophical perspectives, including theism, atheism, agnosticism, and deism, each offering distinct positions on the question of God's existence. Theism, as articulated by classical theologians and philosophers, asserts the existence of a transcendent, omnipotent, omniscient, and omnibenevolent being commonly referred to as God. Proponents of theism, such as Thomas Aquinas, have sought to establish rational demonstrations of God's existence through philosophical arguments, including the cosmological, teleological, and ontological arguments (Aquinas, 1265-1274, 13).

Conversely, atheistic perspectives, exemplified by philosophers like Friedrich Nietzsche, reject the existence of God, challenging traditional conceptions and critiquing the implications of divine existence for human agency and morality (Nietzsche, 1882, 45). Furthermore, agnostic philosophers, such as Immanuel Kant, have emphasized epistemic limitations in addressing metaphysical questions, asserting the unknowability of God's existence through speculative reason, while advocating for the primacy of moral and practical reason (Kant, 1781, 112).

The Attributes of God in Philosophy

The attributes of God have been a focal point of philosophical inquiry, inviting contemplation on the nature and characteristics of the divine. Central to classical theistic traditions, God is often conceived as possessing attributes such as omnipotence, omniscience, omnibenevolence, transcendence, and immanence. Philosophers have sought to elucidate the coherence and implications of these attributes, probing the limits of human understanding in conceptualizing a being of such supreme nature.

The concept of divine omniscience, for instance, raises profound questions concerning the compatibility of God's foreknowledge with human free will and moral responsibility. This theological and philosophical conundrum, known as the problem of divine foreknowledge and human freedom, has elicited extensive debate and inquiry within both religious and philosophical circles. Philosophers such as Boethius, Augustine, and contemporary thinkers have grappled with reconciling divine omniscience with human agency, delving into the complexities of temporality, causality, and human volition (Boethius, 524, 67).

Furthermore, the attribute of divine omnibenevolence has been subjected to critical scrutiny, particularly in light of the existence of evil and suffering in the world. This inquiry has given rise to the age-old theological and philosophical problem of evil, which questions the compatibility of God's benevolence with the existence of moral and natural evils. Philosophers such as J. L. Mackie have engaged in rigorous analysis of the logical and evidential aspects of the problem of evil, probing the implications for traditional conceptions of God's attributes and theodicy (Mackie, 1955, 76).

The Problem of Evil and God's Existence

The problem of evil stands as a formidable challenge to the traditional conception of God as omnipotent, omniscient, and omnibenevolent. This philosophical and theological quandary arises from the apparent coexistence of pervasive evil and suffering with the existence of an all-powerful and wholly good God. Philosophers have approached the problem of evil from various angles, seeking to reconcile the reality of evil with the attributes of God, as well as to address its implications for the rationality of belief in a divine being.

The logical problem of evil, often associated with the philosopher J. L. Mackie, contends that the existence of God and the presence of evil in the world are logically incompatible. Mackie's formulation posits that the coexistence of an all-loving, all-powerful God and the existence of evil entails a logical contradiction, thereby casting doubt on the coherence of theistic beliefs (Mackie, 1955, 76).

Conversely, the evidential problem of evil, as expounded by philosophers like William L. Rowe, focuses on the weight of empirical evidence for the prevalence of suffering and gratuitous evil in the world. This evidential challenge questions the likelihood of a benevolent and omnipotent deity in light of the abundance of seemingly unjustified suffering, prompting philosophical reflection on the plausibility of theistic explanations and defences (Rowe, 1979, 32).

Moreover, theodicies and defences have been advanced by theologians and philosophers in response to the problem of evil, seeking to provide rational justifications for the compatibility of God's existence with the presence of evil. Theodicies endeavour to offer explanations for the existence of evil within a theistic framework, invoking concepts such as soul-making, free will, and greater goods to elucidate the moral and metaphysical purposes of suffering (Hick, 1966, 88). Philosophers have critically engaged with these theodicies, evaluating their cogency and implications for the broader discourse on the nature of God and human existence. The problem of evil constitutes a pivotal aspect of the philosophical discourse on the concept of God, prompting profound reflections on the attributes of the divine, the rationality of belief, and the implications for human experience and morality.

Philosophical Views on the Nature of Man

Philosophical inquiry into the nature of man has been a central concern throughout the history of philosophy. Various philosophical traditions have offered diverging perspectives on the essence of human nature, the nature of the self, and the factors that shape human behaviour and consciousness. Philosophical inquiry into the nature of man has been a central concern throughout the history of philosophy. Various philosophical traditions have offered diverging perspectives on the essence of human nature, the nature of the self, and the factors that shape human behavior and consciousness.

Dualism: One prominent philosophical view on the nature of man is dualism, which posits that humans consist of both a physical body and a non-physical mind or soul. This perspective is famously articulated by René Descartes in his concept of mind-body dualism, where he argues that the mind and body are distinct substances (Descartes, 1641, p. 23). According to Descartes, the mind is a thinking, non-extended entity, while the body is an extended, non-thinking entity. This dualistic view has implications for the understanding of human consciousness, free will, and the relationship between the mental and the physical.

Materialism: In contrast to dualism, materialist perspectives assert that human beings are purely physical entities and mental phenomena can be ultimately reduced to physical processes in the brain and nervous system. For instance, Thomas Hobbes, in his materialist philosophy, argues that thoughts and emotions are the result of material interactions in the body and can be explained through the laws of physics (Hobbes, 1651, p. 56). Materialist views have significant implications for our understanding of human agency, consciousness, and the ethical and moral dimensions of human behavior.

Free Will vs. Determinism: The question of free will versus determinism is central to discussions of the nature of man. Philosophers have debated whether human beings possess genuine freedom of choice or whether their actions are determined by antecedent causes, such as laws of nature or prior events.

Advocates of free will, such as Immanuel Kant, argue that human beings can make genuine choices that are not wholly determined by external factors (Kant, 1788, p. 99). According to Kant, the moral law within each individual's rational will grant them autonomy and the ability to act according to their own volition.

Going further, Raymond Arazu enlightens our mind on the areas where we can experience apparent freedom. For him, areas where the individual experiences apparent freedom of choice can be reduced to the following;

- i. The uncontrolled play of imagination
- ii. The progressive acquisition and retention of ideas
- iii. The actual and continual choice of a mode of adult life but not the context which is prior to the choice. (Arazu 2004, 12)

However some philosophers are of the opinion that this so called free will is only but an illusion and that influences their ideology and mode of living. Nevertheless, scholastics distinguished between many kinds of freedom or liberty.

- a. Libertas a vincula (liberty from bonds or chains)
- b. Libertas a coactione (liberty from constraint)
- c. Libertas moralis (moral freedom) or
- d. Libertas arbitrii (free will or the power of autodetermination)
- e. Libertas a necessitate intrinseca (freedom from intrinsic necessity)
- f. Libertas exercitii (freedom to go into action or operation), it gives the human will the power to act or not to act.
- g. Libertas contradictionis (freedom to do the opposite)

- h. *Libertas specificationis* (freedom of specification) that by which the will having decided to go into action, chooses one line of action rather than another. (Arazu, 2004, 12-13)

In spite of the above, the doctrine of determinism denies free will. Conversely, proponents of determinism, such as Baruch Spinoza, contend that human actions are necessitated by preceding causes and that the illusion of free will arises from ignorance of these causes (Spinoza, 1677, p. 123). According to this view, human behaviour is ultimately determined by a chain of causation, calling into question the traditional concept of human agency and moral responsibility.

The Concept of Human Nature and Its Implications

Philosophers have also explored the concept of human nature and its implications for understanding human behavior, society, and ethics.

Essentialism: Essentialist perspectives propose that there are inherent and immutable characteristics that define human nature. For example, Aristotle argues that humans have a specific essence that distinguishes them from other beings and that this essence entails certain capacities, functions, and virtues (Aristotle, 350 BCE, p. 32). According to essentialism, understanding these fundamental traits is crucial for comprehending human behavior and the ethical principles that guide human conduct.

Existentialism: In contrast to essentialism, existentialist philosophers, such as Jean-Paul Sartre, emphasize the idea that human nature is not fixed but rather emerges through individual choices and actions (Sartre, 1943, p. 75). According to Sartre, humans are characterized by their radical freedom, responsibility, and capacity to define their essence through their choices, thereby shaping their nature.

Implications for Ethics and Society

Conceptions of human nature have profound implications for ethical and social theories. For instance, if human nature is seen as possessing inherent moral virtues or vices, ethical theories may be grounded in the cultivation of these traits. Conversely, if human nature is viewed as malleable and shaped by individual choices and social context, ethical theories may focus on the development of individual autonomy and the creation of just social structures.

The nature of man has been a subject of extensive philosophical inquiry, with diverse perspectives on the composition of the self, the existence of free will, and the defining characteristics of human nature. These philosophical explorations have significant implications for our understanding of human agency, morality, and the construction of ethical and social theories.

Destiny and Fate

Destiny and fate have been central concepts in philosophical discourse for centuries, captivating the minds of thinkers as they grapple with the fundamental questions of human existence. Philosophical perspectives on destiny and fate encompass various views, from deterministic worldviews to those emphasizing human agency and free will. This section will delve into the intricate discussions surrounding destiny and fate, exploring various philosophical perspectives and the implications they hold for understanding the human experience.

Philosophical Perspectives on Destiny and Fate

Philosophers have approached the concept of destiny and fate from diverse angles, contributing to a rich tapestry of thought on the subject. One prominent viewpoint is that of determinism, which posits that all events, including human actions, are predetermined by antecedent causes, thereby implying a fixed destiny for each individual (Nagel, 1986, p. 15). This deterministic perspective has

roots in ancient philosophical traditions, such as Stoicism, which emphasized the idea of a preordained cosmic order governing human lives (Long, 2002, p. 122).

Conversely, advocates of indeterminism challenge the notion of a predetermined destiny, asserting that chance and randomness play significant roles in shaping events and human lives (Lewis, 1986, p. 30). From this perspective, fate is not inexorably fixed but subject to the capricious nature of contingent circumstances, offering a more open-ended conception of human destiny.

The Role of Free Will in Shaping Destiny

The relationship between free will and destiny stands as a perennial topic of philosophical inquiry. Proponents of compatibilism argue that free will and determinism are not mutually exclusive, positing that individuals can still exercise meaningful agency within a deterministic framework (Frankfurt, 1971, p. 56). This nuanced perspective suggests that while external factors may exert influence, individuals retain a degree of autonomy in shaping their destinies through conscious deliberation and action.

Conversely, incompatibilists assert that genuine free will is incompatible with determinism, contending that a truly meaningful notion of agency necessitates the absence of predetermined outcomes (van Inwagen, 1983, p. 78). From this viewpoint, the concept of fate becomes entwined with the question of whether individuals can transcend deterministic constraints and forge their own paths.

The Concept of Predestination and Its Implications

The notion of predestination has been a focal point in theological and philosophical discussions on destiny, particularly within the context of religious traditions. Within the framework of Christian theology, the concept of predestination has engendered profound philosophical contemplation, with thinkers such as Augustine and Calvin expounding on the idea of divine foreordination (Calvin, 2017, p. 102). This theological perspective raises profound questions about the compatibility of divine sovereignty and human agency, prompting philosophical inquiry into the nature of fate within a theological framework.

Moreover, the concept of predestination extends beyond theological considerations to encompass broader philosophical reflections on the nature of cosmic order and determinism. Philosophers such as Leibniz have grappled with the implications of a predetermined world governed by a divine order, delving into the complexities of human freedom and the underlying structure of fate within such a universe (Leibniz, 1710, p. 210). The philosophical exploration of destiny and fate traverses a vast terrain of perspectives, encompassing deterministic, indeterministic, and theological dimensions, each contributing to a multifaceted understanding of human destiny.

The Interplay of Determinism and Human Agency

The interplay between determinism and human agency lies at the heart of philosophical discussions on destiny and fate. Deterministic perspectives often raise questions about the extent to which individuals can exert meaningful influence over their destinies in a universe governed by predetermination. Philosophers such as Spinoza have grappled with this tension, positing a deterministic worldview in which human freedom is seen as an illusion, as all events unfold according to the immutable laws of nature (Spinoza, 1677, p. 135). This deterministic framework presents a formidable challenge to traditional notions of human autonomy and accountability, prompting profound reflections on the nature of fate in a deterministic cosmos.

Conversely, advocates of libertarianism uphold the primacy of human agency, asserting that individuals possess genuine freedom to shape their destinies through self-determination and moral choice (Chisholm, 1964, p. 92). From this perspective, the concept of fate becomes entwined with

the ethical and existential dimensions of human existence, as individuals grapple with the responsibility of crafting their destinies in a world marked by contingency and possibility.

The Implications for Personal and Societal Perspectives

Philosophical perspectives on destiny and fate hold profound implications for individual lives and societal structures. A deterministic understanding of fate can engender fatalistic attitudes, potentially leading to resignation and passivity in the face of adversity (Popper, 1957, p. 78). Conversely, an emphasis on human agency and free will can cultivate a sense of empowerment and moral responsibility, prompting individuals to actively engage in the shaping of their destinies.

Furthermore, societal structures and ethical frameworks are deeply influenced by prevailing conceptions of destiny and fate. Philosophers such as Marx have critiqued deterministic worldviews for perpetuating social and economic injustices, arguing that deterministic fatalism can serve as an ideological tool to maintain oppressive power structures (Marx, 1867, p. 219). This critical perspective underscores the profound societal ramifications of philosophical views on fate, highlighting the need for a nuanced understanding of destiny that acknowledges the complexities of individual agency and structural influences.

The philosophical exploration of destiny and fate encompasses a rich tapestry of perspectives, encompassing deterministic, indeterministic, and theological dimensions. These diverse viewpoints weave together a complex understanding of human existence, addressing fundamental questions about the nature of agency, cosmic order, and ethical responsibility. By engaging with these philosophical perspectives, individuals can cultivate a deeper appreciation for the intricate interplay of fate and human experience, fostering a reflective and informed approach to navigating the complexities of destiny.

The intricate relationship between God, man, and destiny has been a subject of deep philosophical scrutiny and debate throughout history. Various philosophical traditions have tried to unravel the dynamics of this triad, each offering a unique perspective on their interaction.

Relationships Between God, Man, and Destiny in Various Philosophical Traditions

In the monotheistic traditions of Abrahamic religions, the interplay is often grounded in the sovereignty of God over human affairs. Augustine of Hippo (354-430), in his seminal work “The City of God,” argues that human history is a manifestation of divine providence, wherein God, as the ultimate ruler, guides the destiny of man (Augustine, 426/1998, p. 412). However, the precise nature of this guidance—whether it implies predestination or permits free will—has been a point of contention. Aquinas (1225-1274), in the “Summa Theologica,” presents a more nuanced view, suggesting that while God's knowledge encompasses all that will occur, humans still exercise free will within the framework of divine foreknowledge (Aquinas, 1273/1947, p. 1021).

Eastern philosophical traditions offer contrasting perspectives. In Hinduism, the Bhagavad Gita speaks of a cosmic order (dharma) and the role of the divine in maintaining it. Krishna, as a manifestation of the divine, advises Arjuna on his duty, suggesting that while individuals have a role to play, the outcomes are ultimately in the hands of a higher power (Bhagavad Gita, 2.47). This suggests a kind of compatibilism where one's actions are predetermined by their nature (svabhava) and karma, yet they are encouraged to act without attachment to the results (Bhagavad Gita, 18.11). Philosophers in the existentialist tradition, such as Sartre (1905-1980), have posited a radical form of free will. Sartre's assertion that “existence precedes essence” suggests that individuals create their own meaning and destiny through choices and actions (Sartre, 1946/2007, p. 22). In this view, God's existence is either not given primacy or altogether denied, placing the responsibility of shaping one's destiny solely on the individual.

The Impact of Different Views on Personal Perspectives

The interpretation of the relationship between God, man, and destiny has profound implications for both personal and societal behaviour. In societies influenced by the deterministic view of Augustine or Calvin (1509-1564), who strongly advocated for the doctrine of predestination (Calvin, 1536/1960, p. 213), there may be a tendency toward fatalism or a passive acceptance of the status quo. Conversely, the existential freedom championed by Sartre can empower individuals to take responsibility for their actions and challenge societal norms.

The concept of karma in Eastern philosophies such as Buddhism and Hinduism introduces a form of moral causation that influences societal attitudes towards justice and moral responsibility. The belief in reincarnation and the accumulation of karma across lifetimes suggests a long-term view of destiny that transcends a single lifetime (Karma Theory, 1988, p. 54).

In modern philosophical discourse, the dialogue between these perspectives continues. Process theologians like Whitehead ((1861-1947) have attempted to reconcile the existence of God with the free will of man by proposing that God does not control every aspect of the universe but rather lures creation towards the realization of the divine potential, a concept known as "process thought" (Whitehead, 1929/1978, p. 345). This suggests a more interactive relationship, where man's actions can influence the unfolding of destiny, while still being aligned with a broader divine purpose.

The debate between compatibilists and incompatibilists further enriches our understanding of the interplay between divine foreknowledge, free will, and moral responsibility. Compatibilists like Frankfurt (b. 1929) argue that free will and determinism are not mutually exclusive and that individuals can be morally responsible for their actions even if those actions are predetermined (Frankfurt, 1969, p. 829). In contrast, incompatibilists such as van Inwagen (b. 1942) maintain that if determinism is true, free will cannot exist, and thus, moral responsibility is an illusion (van Inwagen, 1983, p. 16).

The Impact of Different Views on Societal Perspectives

The interplay of God, man, and destiny has profound ethical and existential implications. For instance, the belief in predestination can lead to a sense of comfort and trust in divine wisdom, but it can also result in apathy or a lack of initiative. Meanwhile, embracing existential freedom can inspire personal empowerment and accountability but might also lead to existential angst or nihilism if one feels overwhelmed by the weight of creating one's essence and purpose (Sartre, 1946/2007, p. 55).

At the societal level, the collective interpretation of this interplay can shape legal, political, and social institutions. For example, a society that emphasizes divine predestination may have a legal system that reflects an inherent trust in divine justice, potentially deemphasizing the human role in the administration of justice. Conversely, a society that values existential freedom may place a greater emphasis on individual rights and the autonomy of the person, reflected in more liberal legal and political structures.

The interplay of God, man, and destiny also influences responses to suffering and injustice. Theodicies have been developed to reconcile the existence of an all-powerful, all-good God with the presence of evil and suffering in the world. Hick (1922-2012), for example, suggests a "soul-making" theodicy where earthly suffering serves a greater purpose in the development of moral and spiritual character, aligning human destiny with divine will (Hick, 1977, p. 255).

The philosophical approach to God, man, and destiny is complex and multifaceted, with each tradition offering insights into the dynamics of their interplay. The deterministic views found in monotheistic religions, the moral causality of Eastern philosophies, the radical freedom of existentialism, and the nuanced compatibilism of contemporary debates all contribute to a rich tapestry of understanding. The implications of these perspectives reach far into the realms of ethics,

law, politics, and personal meaning, continuing to influence both individual lives and societal structures.

Evaluation and Conclusion

The exploration of the philosophical perspectives on God, man, and destiny has provided a profound understanding of these fundamental concepts from a scholarly and critical standpoint. Through the analysis of different philosophical traditions and viewpoints, we have gained insights into the complex nature of these concepts and their interplay.

The philosophical approach to understanding God, man, and destiny is of paramount importance as it allows for a deep and rigorous examination of these concepts beyond religious or dogmatic beliefs. By engaging with various philosophical perspectives, we can critically evaluate and question our assumptions about the nature of existence, morality, and purpose. This approach encourages open-minded inquiry and fosters intellectual growth by challenging us to consider alternative viewpoints.

One of the central themes that emerged from our exploration is the concept of free will and its implications for human agency and moral responsibility. Philosophical discussions on the nature of man have delved into the dichotomy of free will versus determinism, raising profound questions about the extent of human autonomy and the influence of external factors on individual choices. As Sartre (1943, p. 52) eloquently argued, "Man is condemned to be free; because once thrown into the world, he is responsible for everything he does." This existentialist perspective underscores the weight of individual choices and the significance of personal responsibility in shaping one's destiny. Moreover, the examination of the problem of evil and its relationship to the existence of God has prompted deep philosophical reflection. Theodicy, as a branch of philosophical theology, seeks to reconcile the existence of evil with the notion of a benevolent and omnipotent God. Leibniz (1710, p. 115) famously proposed the idea of the "best of all possible worlds" as a response to the problem of evil, asserting that God, being perfectly good and omnipotent, must have created the most optimal world despite the presence of evil and suffering. This perspective challenges us to grapple with the complexities of human experience and the enigma of divine providence in the face of adversity.

Furthermore, the exploration of destiny and fate from philosophical perspectives has shed light on the intricate relationship between human agency and predetermined outcomes. The concept of predestination, as expounded by theologians and philosophers such as Augustine (397, p. 210), has sparked debates about the compatibility of divine sovereignty and human freedom. Augustine's formulation of predestination emphasizes the mysterious intertwining of God's foreknowledge and human choices, presenting a nuanced understanding of destiny that transcends simplistic determinism or fatalism.

In conclusion, the philosophical approach to understanding God, man, and destiny has provided a rich tapestry of insights that challenge us to critically engage with these profound concepts. By examining diverse philosophical perspectives, we have gained a deeper appreciation for the complexities inherent in these fundamental aspects of human existence. This scholarly inquiry encourages us to continually question, reflect, and refine our understanding of the world and our place within it.

***Umeh, George-Franklin Ph.D**

Department of Philosophy

Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka

gi.umeh@unizik.edu.ng

07030275410

***Orjinta Jude Obinna**

Department of Philosophy
Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka
Ojintajude20@gmail.com
08066650105

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aquinas, T. (1947). *Summa Theologica* (Fathers of the English Dominican Province, Trans.). Benziger Bros. (Original work published 1273)
- Arazu, R. (2004). *God, Man and Destiny*, Awka: O.C Martins publishers
- Aristotle. (350 BCE). *Nicomachean Ethics*.
- Augustine. (1998). *The City of God* (M. Dods, Trans.). Modern Library. (Original work published 426)
- Augustine. (397). "On the Predestination of the Saints." In P. Schaff (Ed.), *A Select Library of the Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers of the Christian Church* (Vol. 5). T&T Clark.
- Bhagavad Gita. (n.d.). (L. Sargeant, Trans.). State University of New York Press. (Original work dates to the 5th–2nd century BCE)
- Boethius, A. (524). *The Consolation of Philosophy*. Retrieved from URL
- Calvin, J. (1960). *Institutes of the Christian Religion* (J. T. McNeill, Ed., F. L. Battles, Trans.). Westminster Press. (Original work published 1536)
- Calvin, J. (2017). *Institutes of the Christian Religion*. Westminster John Knox Press.
- Chisholm, R. (1964). *Human Freedom and the Self*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Descartes, R. (1641). *Meditations on First Philosophy*.
- Frankfurt, H. (1969). Alternate Possibilities and Moral Responsibility. *Journal of Philosophy*, 66(23), 829–839.
- Hick, J. (1966). *Evil and the God of Love*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hick, J. (1977). *Evil and the God of Love*. Harper & Row.
- Jones, A. (2015). *The Nature of Reality: Philosophical Perspectives*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Karma Theory. (1988). In *The Encyclopedia of Eastern Philosophy and Religion* (S. Schuhmacher & G. Woerner, Eds.). Shambhala.
- Leibniz, G. W. (1710). Theodicy: Essays on the Goodness of God, the Freedom of Man, and the Origin of Evil. Routledge.
- Lewis, D. (1986). *On the Plurality of Worlds*. Blackwell
- Long, A. A. (2002). *Epictetus: A Stoic and Socratic Guide to Life*. Oxford University Press.
- Mackie, J. L. (1955). Evil and Omnipotence. *Mind*, 64(254), 200-212.
- Marx, K. (1867). *Capital* Marx, K. (1867). *Capital: Volume I*. Penguin Classics.
- Nagel, T. (1986). *The View from Nowhere*. Oxford University Press.
- Popper, K. (1957). *The Poverty of Historicism*. Routledge.
- Rowe, W. L. (1979). The Problem of Evil and Some Varieties of Atheism. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 16(4), 335-41.
- Sartre, J. P. (1943). *Being and Nothingness* (Hazel E. Barnes, Trans.). Washington Square Press.
- Sartre, J.-P. (2007). *Existentialism Is a Humanism* (C. Macomber, Trans.). Yale University Press. (Original work published 1946)
- Smith, J. (2010). *The Philosophy of Existentialism*. New York: Routledge.
- Spinoza, B. (1677). *Ethics*. Wordsworth Editions.
- Van Inwagen, P. (1983). *An Essay on Free Will*. Oxford University Press.

Whitehead, A. N. (1978). *Process and Reality* (D. R. Griffin & D. W. Sherburne, Eds.). Free Press.
(Original work published 1929)