

Epistemic Foundations of Informed Consent in Medical Ethics

ANIFOWOSE Oluwaseun Adeola, PhD.

Department of Philosophy,
Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Ogun State
adeola.anifowose@oouagoiwoye.edu.ng

and

OYELANA Oluwabori Ayobami

Department of Philosophy
Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Ogun State
oluwabori.oyelana@oouagoiwoye.edu.ng

Abstract

Today, informed consent ranks as one of the cornerstones of medical ethics as it has become an important medical, legal and ethical imperative for healthcare professionals and practitioners in ensuring the safety of recipients of any medical intervention. However, informed consent is predicated on sufficient knowledge of the facts, processes and implications of any medical procedure, thus, ultimately reverting to a correlate between epistemic scope and consent. Central to the informed consent process is an understanding of how patients acquire, process, and utilize information to make healthcare decisions, particularly in situations involving complex or uncertain information. However, factors such as health literacy, numeracy, emotional states, cultural beliefs, and interpersonal dynamics between patients and healthcare providers impact the quality and effectiveness of the informed consent process. This research aims to explore the epistemic foundations of patient decision-making processes within the context of informed consent, addressing a critical gap in current knowledge. This paper argues that respect for patient autonomy, promotion of shared decision-making between patients and healthcare providers, and ensuring that patients have necessary information are foundational to making coherent medical decisions in any medical context. This paper recommends that interventions and strategies aimed at improving the informed consent process and enhancing patient decision-making such as the design of graded education materials for patients and communication training for healthcare providers be adopted to address specific barriers to understanding and participation in the informed consent process.

Keywords: Epistemology, Epistemic foundation, Informed Consent, Medical Ethics.

Introduction

Informed consent is today regarded as an ethical panacea against autocratic and paternalistic medical practices and its basic claim centers on the right of individuals to be fully informed and to freely choose medical procedures. Informed consent has garnered widespread attention in biomedical science, research ethics, medical ethics, medical sociology and a vast array of fields. At the core of informed consent is the autonomous right of individuals to sufficient information and communication flow between healthcare professionals and patients in making well-informed decisions on medical procedures. However, within this framework then lies an epistemic dimension. This epistemic dimension is necessitated on the premise of having a congruent knowledge of medical procedures. Thus, it can be proficiently argued that having adequate knowledge is the fulcrum of informed consent. It is on this basis that this paper acknowledges the imperative of examining informed consent via the lens of epistemological frameworks.

Epistemology in simple terms is the theory of knowledge and its major concern is to engage in a philosophical analysis of knowledge. As one of the branches of philosophy, epistemology is an attempt to study the nature, origin, methods and validity of knowledge. It is thus pre-occupied with ensuring the accurateness of knowledge. As informed consent in medical ethics is built on adequate knowledge of medical procedures and interventions, it becomes imperative to examine the epistemic dimension of informed consent in medical ethics.

This paper engages with diverse epistemological perspectives, including foundationalism, coherentism, and pragmatism, to assess their implications on the acquisition, dissemination, and interpretation of medical knowledge. Additionally, the study delves into the challenges posed by varying levels of health literacy and cognitive biases, aiming to discern their impact on the efficacy of informed consent practices. Furthermore, this paper will scrutinize the ethical dimensions of informed consent, emphasizing the importance of autonomy, beneficence, and justice in

healthcare decision-making and seek to delineate how epistemic considerations influence the ability of patients to make informed choices about their medical care, ultimately shaping the ethical landscape of healthcare practices.

While considerable attention has been devoted to the legal and procedural aspects of informed consent, there remains a critical gap in our understanding of the epistemic foundations of patient decision-making processes within this context. Despite advances in medical communication, health literacy, and shared decision-making, many patients still face challenges in understanding medical information, evaluating risks and benefits, and making decisions that align with their values and preferences. Moreover, the ethical imperative to respect patient autonomy requires a deeper understanding of the cognitive, emotional, and socio-cultural factors that shape patient decision-making in medical contexts.

This research seeks to address this gap by investigating the epistemic foundations of patient decision-making processes in the context of informed consent. Through a systematic investigation of patient decision-making processes, this research has the potential to inform the development of interventions to enhance the informed consent process, improve patient-provider communication, and promote ethical healthcare practices. By illuminating the cognitive, emotional, and socio-cultural dimensions of patient decision-making, this study aims to advance our understanding of informed consent as a dynamic and contextually situated process, grounded in principles of respect, autonomy, and beneficence.

By bridging the realms of epistemology and medical ethics, this research aspires to contribute valuable insights to both philosophical discourse and practical healthcare applications. Through an examination of diverse epistemological perspectives, this paper seeks to illuminate how the acquisition, communication, and interpretation of medical information shape ethical considerations, influencing the autonomy and decision-making capacity of patients. This paper delves into questions such as how different theories of knowledge impact the understanding and communication of

medical information to patients, and how the epistemic aspects of consent influence ethical considerations in healthcare practices whilst providing an opportunity to analyze the philosophical underpinnings of the information-sharing process in medicine and its ethical implications.

The potential contributions of this research include informing the development of interventions to improve the informed consent process, enhancing patient-provider communication, and promoting ethical healthcare practices. Furthermore, by addressing gaps in our understanding of patient decision-making processes, this study has the potential to improve patient outcomes, promote patient-centered care, advance the field of medical ethics and foster a more ethically informed approach to medical decision-making that respects the epistemic diversity of patients.

An Overview of Informed Consent

For a well-informed treatise on the subject matter of discourse, it is imperative to engage in a philosophical analysis of concepts that pertain to the discourse in focus. Informed consent is a process in which a patient is provided with relevant information about a medical procedure, treatment, or research study, enabling them to make a voluntary and well-informed decision. This becomes necessary as it ensures that the patient comprehends the details, potential risks, benefits, and alternatives before agreeing to or refusing a proposed medical intervention. Thus, it is a principle that leverages on the supply of adequate information to a patient by a healthcare professional. For Kardan, informed consent is based on a shared decision between physician and patient, with the physician understanding the relevant values of the patient and the patient understanding the nature of the disease and intervention, including risks and benefits.¹ Informed consent serves as a fundamental cornerstone of a physician-patient relationship and a significant framework that adheres to the valued principles of medical ethics.

1. R.A. Kadam, "Informed consent process: A Step Further Towards Making it Meaningful" *Perspectives in Clinical Research* 8, no.3 (2017): 23.

From a general perspective, informed consent means an agreement, compliance or permission given voluntarily without any compulsion.² According to Corrigan, informed consent has gained increasing salience within the health care field.³ The need to secure a patient's fully-informed consent prior to medical intervention for treatment or research purposes is increasingly heralded as an ethical panacea counteracting the potential danger of paternalistic and autocratic practices.

This underscores the importance of informed consent in checkmating the absoluteness of medical practitioners and ambitious researchers. The assumption underpinning the implementation of informed consent is that doing so will protect the rights and welfare of individuals by offering them the opportunity to make free and informed choices. In general, the informed consent process is depicted as an antidote to counter medical paternalism and as such, a polar opposition has been established with the empowered, informed, autonomous decision-making patient at one end of the divide and an all-powerful paternalistic authority at the other.⁴ Thence, informed consent reinforces an individual's right to fully understand and agree to any medical procedure or treatment before it can proceed. In a clear terms, informed consent is an understanding of and an agreement to a medical procedure. Informed consent has become a mainstay of not only medical ethics but research ethics in general. This view is substantiated by Kardan, in his view that informed consent process is the cornerstone of ethics in clinical research.⁵ Obtaining informed consent from patients participating in clinical research is an important legal and ethical imperative for clinical trial researchers. Moreover, according to Bazzano, Durant and Brantley, informed consent is one of the primary principles on

-
2. V.V. Pillay, *Handbook of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology* (13th ed.) (Hyderabad: Paras Publication, 2003), 25.
 3. O. Corrigan, "Empty Ethics: The Problem with Informed Consent" *Sociology of Health and Illness* 25, no. 7 (2003): 57.
 4. Corrigan, "Empty Ethics: The Problem with Informed Consent," 59.
 5. Kardan, "Informed consent process," 23.

which the framework of protections for human subjects in research is built⁶ This view on informed ethics has become sacrosanct because of the complicated nature of health care, the protection of both health givers and recipients as well as fostering a communication process between a professional and his/her patient.

In addition, it provides a bastion of respect for autonomy. Informed consent upholds the principle of autonomy, recognizing individuals' rights to make decisions about their own bodies and medical care. It promotes patient participation in healthcare choices. More so, it is a fundamental ethical requirement, ensuring that medical professionals prioritize transparency and honesty in their interactions with patients thus, establishing trust between healthcare providers and patients. Informed consent acts as a safeguard against coercion or manipulation. Patients should freely decide without undue pressure, ensuring their choices align with their values and preferences. Informed consent also enhanced Doctor-Patient relationship as effective communication during the informed consent process strengthens the doctor-patient relationship. This is because patients who feel well-informed are more likely to trust their healthcare providers and actively engage in their own care. Beyond a rich communication channel between a healthcare professional and a patient, informed consent acknowledges and upholds the inherent value and dignity of each patient by involving them in decisions about their health. Overall, informed consent is a cornerstone of ethical medical practice, emphasizing the importance of respecting individual autonomy, fostering trust, and ensuring that medical decisions align with the values and preferences of the patient.

At this point, it is vital to note that the concept of informed consent in medical ethics has evolved over time. In the early 20th century, medical practices often lacked clear communication with patients regarding treatments. However, the tide has turned. The concept of informed consent has a relatively short history, beginning with a

6. L.A. Bazzano, J. Durant and P.R. Brantley, "A Modern History of Informed Consent and the Role of Key Information" *Ochsner Journal* 21, no. 1 (2021): 4.

series of four judicial decisions in the early 20th century that laid the foundation for the principle of patient autonomy.⁷ Furthermore, two legal cases, *Rolater v Strain* and *Schloendorff v Society of New York Hospital*, established and solidified the principle of patient autonomy that ultimately formed the basis of the requirement for informed consent in medicine and research.⁸ This scenarios reveal the intricate correlation between legal and ethical frameworks in the development of informed consent as an important concept in medical ethics. In addition to the legal cases aforementioned, several other factors have culminated in the overall development of informed consent as a key concept in medical ethics. This includes the Nuremberg Code of 1947, developed in response to unethical experiments during World War II, emphasized voluntary consent as a fundamental principle. Meanwhile, the 1960s and 1970s saw further developments, including the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and the Belmont Report (1979), which established guidelines for ethical research involving human subjects.⁹ These documents emphasized the importance of informed consent, ensuring patients understand risks and benefits before participating in medical research. Also, legal cases, such as the 1987 *Canterbury v. Spence* decision, reinforced the significance of informed consent in medical treatment, emphasizing the patient's right to be fully informed. Subsequent regulations, like the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the US, strengthened privacy protections and enhanced the informed consent process.¹⁰ In fact, the storied history of informed consent has gone further with the Advance Directives and Living Wills which allows individuals to express their preferences regarding medical treatments in advance, especially in

7. R.R. Faden and T.L. Beauchamp, *A History and Theory of Informed Consent* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1986), 34.

8. Bazzano, Durant and Brantley, "A Modern History of Informed Consent,"⁹.

9. P. Weindling, "The Origins of Informed Consent: The International Scientific Commission on Medical War Crimes, and the Nuremburg code" *Bull History of Medicine* 75, no. 1 (2021): 33.

10. J. Sugarman, "Examining Provisions related to Consent in the Revised Common Rule" *American Journal of Bioethics* 17, no.7 (2017): 21.

situations where they may lose decision-making capacity.

Overall, the historical development of informed consent reflects a progression toward greater respect for patients' autonomy and the recognition of their rights to information and self-determination in medical decision-making and the ongoing evolution of ethical standards and legal frameworks surrounding informed consent in medical practice and research.

Epistemic Dimension and its Role in Informed Consent

The epistemic dimension in the context of informed consent in medical ethics refers to the role played by different theories of knowledge in shaping the acquisition, communication, and interpretation of medical information. Understanding how individuals come to know, understand, and believe medical facts is crucial for ensuring that the process of obtaining informed consent is both ethically sound and practically effective. In light of this, certain epistemic theories will be examined in this section.

One of the epistemic theories that impinges on informed consent is foundationalism. Foundationalism is any theory in epistemology that holds that beliefs are justified based on what are called basic beliefs.¹¹ Foundationalism posits that knowledge is built upon certain foundational beliefs or facts. In the context of informed consent, this perspective suggests that there are fundamental medical facts upon which patients build their understanding. Foundationalism influences how healthcare professionals communicate basic medical information to patients as certain medical facts are fundamental and instrumental in ensuring that there is understanding of the medical procedure and the processes involved. Clarity and accuracy in presenting foundational facts become essential for informed decision-making.

Coherentism emphasizes the interrelation of beliefs, forming a coherent web rather

^{11.} A. Goldman, *Epistemology and cognition* (Harvard: Harvard University Press, 1986), 26.

than relying on isolated foundational elements. Coherentism implies that for a belief to be justified it must belong to a coherent system of beliefs. For a system of beliefs to be coherent, the beliefs that make up that system must “cohere” with one another.¹² In healthcare, this means that medical information is understood in relation to a broader context. Coherentism presupposes that patients may integrate new medical information with their existing beliefs and values. The epistemic coherence influences how patients perceive and interpret medical facts, impacting their willingness to consent to certain treatments.

While foundationalism claims that certain beliefs are basic and coherentism talks of coherence between beliefs, pragmatism focuses on the practical consequences of beliefs. In the medical context, this perspective suggests that the outcomes of medical interventions are integral to the knowledge-building process. Thus, the knowledge of the consequences of medical procedures shapes the understanding and probable consent of a patient. The epistemic dimension in pragmatism underscores the importance of understanding the potential outcomes of medical decisions. It affects how patients assess the practical implications of different treatment options when giving their informed consent.

Beyond philosophical theories, the epistemic dimension involves practical considerations, such as the health literacy of individuals and cognitive biases that may affect their understanding of medical information. Low health literacy or cognitive biases can impede the acquisition of accurate medical knowledge. Recognizing and addressing these challenges are crucial for ensuring that the informed consent process is genuinely informed.

Ethical Appraisal of Informed Consent

The philosophical underpinnings of informed consent encompass various ethical theories that provide a framework for understanding the moral foundation behind

12. S.O. Hanson, “False Dichotomy between Coherentism and Foundationalism” *Journal of Philosophy* 104, no.6 (2007): 21.

respecting individuals' autonomy in healthcare decision-making.

Principlism

Principlism is an established approach in biomedical ethics, based on a set of values that medical professionals can refer to in the case of confusion or conflict.¹³ Principlism, popularized by Beauchamp and Childress, identifies four key ethical principles: autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice. Informed consent is closely tied to the principle of autonomy, emphasizing individuals' rights to make decisions about their own lives, including medical choices. Thus, principlism emphasizes the principle of autonomy.

Deontological Ethics

Deontological ethics asserts that certain actions are inherently right or wrong, irrespective of consequences. Deontology supports the idea that respecting autonomy is a moral duty, and informed consent aligns with the imperative to treat individuals as ends in themselves.

Utilitarianism

Utilitarianism, associated with philosophers like Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill, focuses on maximizing overall happiness or well-being. According to Oduwole there are different versions of utilitarianism but they are all based on the utility principle.¹⁴ In the context of informed consent, utilitarianism weighs the autonomy of the individual against the potential benefits of a medical intervention, seeking a balance that maximizes overall welfare. Thence, utilitarianism advocates a balance between autonomy and beneficence.

Virtue Ethics

Virtue Ethics is an approach to ethics that emphasizes an individual's character as

13. Faden and Beauchamp, *A History and Theory of Informed Consent*, 58.

14. Oduwole, "Utilitarianism" in *Fundamental Theories and Issues in Ethics*, edited by O. Mabol and E. Oduwole (Ibadan: Ben-El Books, 2010), 38.

the key element of ethical thinking, rather than rules about the acts themselves or their consequences.¹⁵ Virtue ethics is rooted in Aristotle's philosophy and it emphasizes the development of virtuous character traits. Within the gamut of the discourse at hand, virtue ethics upholds autonomy as a virtue. Respecting autonomy and promoting informed consent can be seen as virtuous behavior, reflecting qualities like honesty, respect, and empathy in healthcare professionals.

Contractualism

According to Southwood, an action is right when it is authorised by the moral principles no one could reasonably reject.¹⁶ Contractualism, influenced by social contract theory, posits that moral principles arise from hypothetical agreements or contracts among rational agents. Informed consent can be seen as an implicit agreement between the healthcare provider and the patient, reflecting a shared commitment to respecting autonomy.

The Role of Medical Knowledge in informed decision-making

Knowledge is power. This is especially true about the ongoing discourse as adequate knowledge provides individuals with the information necessary to make choices about their healthcare. Unabridged medical knowledge serves as the foundation for ethical healthcare practices, upholding the principles of autonomy, shared decision-making, and transparent communication between healthcare providers and patients.

Understanding Options: Medical knowledge empowers individuals to comprehend the various treatment options available, including potential benefits, risks, and alternatives. This understanding is fundamental for informed decision-making.

Risk-Benefit Assessment: Patients rely on medical knowledge to assess the potential risks and benefits of different interventions. This information aids in evaluating the likelihood of success, potential side effects, and the overall impact on

15. C. Shields, "Aristotle," <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2022/entries/aristotle/>.

16. N. Southwood, "Contractualism for Us as We Are" *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 99, no.3 (2019): 529.

health.

Informed Consent Legitimacy: Informed consent is based on the principle that individuals have the right to make decisions about their own healthcare. Medical knowledge forms the basis for a legitimate informed consent process, ensuring that individuals are adequately informed before consenting to or refusing a medical intervention.

Patient Autonomy: Autonomy, a central ethical principle, involves respecting individuals' right to make decisions in line with their values and preferences. Medical knowledge supports autonomy by providing the necessary information for individuals to make choices consistent with their goals and beliefs.

Shared Decision-Making: The integration of medical knowledge into informed decision-making promotes shared decision-making between healthcare providers and patients. Collaborative discussions, guided by medical expertise, allow individuals to actively participate in decisions that affect their health.

Improving Health Literacy: Medical knowledge contributes to enhancing health literacy, enabling individuals to understand complex medical information. This empowers them to ask informed questions, seek clarifications, and actively engage in their healthcare decisions.

Ethical Obligation of Healthcare Providers: Healthcare providers have an ethical obligation to share relevant medical knowledge with patients. This commitment ensures transparency, honesty, and fosters trust in the doctor-patient relationship.

Reducing Information Asymmetry: Informed decision-making helps reduce information asymmetry between healthcare professionals and patients. A well-informed patient is better equipped to actively participate in discussions and express preferences aligned with their values.

Cultural Sensitivity: Consideration of cultural beliefs and values, based on medical knowledge, is crucial for tailoring information to individual needs. This enhances

cultural competence in communication and respects diverse perspectives in decision-making.

Epistemic Factors and Decision-Making: A contextual Influence on Informed consent

Epistemic factors encompass a range of cognitive, emotional, cultural, and communicative elements that influence a patient's ability to make autonomous decisions. Recognizing and addressing these factors is essential for promoting informed decision-making and respecting patients' autonomy in healthcare. Epistemic factors significantly influence a patient's ability to make autonomous decisions by shaping their knowledge, beliefs, understanding, and perception of the information provided to them.

Epistemic factors encompass patients' cultural background, personal beliefs, and values, which shape their understanding and interpretation of medical information. Cultural beliefs about illness, treatment, and decision-making may influence autonomy by guiding preferences and choices.

Epistemic factors influence the effectiveness of communication between patients and healthcare providers. Clear, empathetic, and culturally sensitive communication enhances patients' understanding and confidence in their decision-making abilities, thereby supporting autonomy.

Epistemic factors determine the extent to which patients have access to relevant information about their health condition, treatment options, and potential outcomes. Limited access to accurate medical knowledge can hinder autonomous decision-making. In like manner, epistemic factors influence a patient's ability to comprehend complex medical information. Factors such as education level, health literacy, and cognitive abilities play a role in how well patients grasp the nuances of their condition and treatment choices.

Epistemic factors include patients' emotional state and cognitive biases, which can

affect their decision-making process. Fear, anxiety, or optimism may impact how patients weigh information and make choices, potentially influencing their autonomy. Epistemic factors recognize that autonomy in decision-making is an ongoing process that may evolve over time. Patients' willingness to seek new information, reevaluate choices, and adjust preferences based on new knowledge reflects their epistemic engagement and autonomy.

Conclusion

The epistemic foundation of informed consent in medical ethics represents a critical intersection between knowledge, understanding, and ethical decision-making within healthcare. Throughout this exploration, we have delved into the philosophical underpinnings, emerging challenges, and potential strategies for addressing the complexities inherent in ensuring informed consent in contemporary healthcare contexts.

From the perspective of ethical frameworks such as principlism, deontological ethics, utilitarianism, virtue ethics, and contractualism, this paper has shown how epistemic considerations shape the principles and practices of informed consent. These frameworks emphasize the importance of respecting patient autonomy, promoting shared decision-making, and fostering transparent communication between healthcare providers and patients. Moreover, they underscore the ethical imperative of providing individuals with accurate, relevant, and understandable information to support autonomous decision-making in healthcare.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bazzano, L. A, Durant, J. and Brantley, P. R. "A Modern History of Informed Consent and the Role of Key Information." *Ochsner Journal* 21, no. 1 (2021):81-85; doi: <https://doi.org/10.31486/toj.19.0105>
- Coin, A. and Dubljević, V. "Carebots for Eldercare: Technology, Ethics, and Implication." In *Trust in Human-Robot Interactions*, edited by C.S. Nams & J. Lyons, 553-569. North Carolina: Elsevier Inc., 2021.

- Corrigan, O. "Empty Ethics: The Problem with Informed Consent." *Sociology of Health and Illness* 25, no. 7 (2003): 23-37;
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-9566.2003.00369>.
- Faden, R.R. and Beauchamp, T. L. *A History and Theory of Informed Consent*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1986.
- Goldman, A. *Epistemology and Cognition*. Harvard: Harvard University Press, 1986.
- Hansson, S. O. "False Dichotomy between Coherentism and Foundationalism." *Journal of Philosophy* 104, no. 6 (2007): 290-300.
- Kadam, R. A. "Informed Consent Process: A Step Further towards making it Meaningful." *Perspectives in Clinical Research* 8, no. 3 (2017):107–112. doi: 10.4103/picr.PICR_147_1.
- Kusa, K. S., Ambika, P. P. and Siddhartha, D. "The Importance of Informed Consent in Medicine." *Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences* 1, no. 5 (2013): 455-463.
- Oduwole, E. O. "Utilitarianism". In *Fundamental Theories and Issues in Ethics*, edited by O. Mabol and E. Oduwole. pp. 34-43. Ibadan: Ben-El Books, 2010.
- Pillay V. V. *Handbook of forensic medicine and toxicology* (13th ed.). Hyderabad: Paras Publication, 2003.
- Shields, C. *Aristotle*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2022/entries/aristotle/>
- Southwood, N. "Contractualism for us as we Are." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 99, no. 3 (2019): 529–547.
- Sugarman, J. "Examining Provisions related to Consent in the Revised Common Rule." *American Journal of Bioethics* 17, no. 7 (2017): 22-26. doi: 10.1080/15265161.2017.1329483CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar.
- Weindling, P. "The Origins of Informed Consent: The International Scientific Commission on Medical War Crimes, and the Nuremburg Code." *Bull History of Medicine* 75, no. 1 (2021): 37-71. doi: 10.1353/bhm.2001.0049.

Will, J. F. "A Brief Historical and Theoretical Perspective on Patient Autonomy and Medical Decision Making: The Beneficence Model. *Chest* 139, no. 3 (2011): 669-673.