

INEQUALITY AND THE MORAL DIMENSION OF MONEY

Ikechukwu Monday OSEBOR

Abstract

The philosophy of money is a branch of philosophy that explores the nature, significance, and ethical implications of money. It delves into questions such as the origin of money, its role in society, its impact on human behavior and relationships, and its relationship to value and morality. Money is an essential aspect of modern society, yet its moral implications are often overlooked. The exploration of the philosophy of money provides valuable insights into the moral implications that shape human behavior, societal structures, and moral values. These underpinnings range from issues like inequality and exploitation to environmental degradation and cultural erosion. Using the method of philosophical analysis, this study argues that the major cause of money inequality is job discrimination and proposes the implementation of ethical money policies by policy-makers as remedies to address the ethical challenges posed by money. The study concludes that these ethical frameworks would help to regulate individual conscientiousness in fostering a more equitable and sustainable economic system.

Key words: money, ethics, inequality, social structure, moral value

Introduction

The history of money dates back thousands of years, evolving from simple bartering systems to more complex forms of currency. In ancient societies, people exchanged goods and services directly, known as the barter system (Chapman, 1980). However, this method had limitations, as it required a double coincidence of wants, meaning that both parties had to want what the other had to offer. Although trading by barter, goods exchanged for goods, provided altruism in a bartering system evolve into a social system certain goods with intrinsic value, like grains, cattle, or precious metals, were used as a medium of exchange (Shaw, 2016). Around 600 BCE, metal coins emerged in various civilizations, including Lydia, Greece, and China (Arslanian, 2022). As societies grew and trade expanded, the need for a more efficient and standardized form of exchange became apparent. Over time, various forms of money emerged, including commodity money such as gold and silver, as well as representative money like banknotes and coins. These forms of money were widely accepted as a medium of exchange and a store of value, making trade and commerce more efficient. These standardized units of metal, usually made of gold or silver, simplified trade and commerce. Paper money originated and became popular across China during the heyday of the Tang dynasty (617–907 CE) as a convenient alternative to carrying heavy metal coins (Horesh, 2013). The concept spread to other regions and evolved into modern banknotes backed by a government or financial institution. In the 20th century, most countries transitioned to fiat currency, which is not backed by a physical commodity but derives its value from the trust and confidence of the people and the stability of the issuing authority (Georgeson, 2018). Government-produced trust in the stability of its value

and the monetary transition in international trade from commodity money to fiat currency derive from the issuance of the international currency (Pittaluga, et al., 2021). The digital revolution has introduced new forms of money, such as cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin, which operate independently of central banks and offer decentralized, peer-to-peer transactions (Rushit et al., 2023). Decentralized money systems like cryptocurrencies aim to eliminate the need for a third party and allow for peer-to-peer transactions (Kabaklarlı, 2021). In contemporary society, money plays a pivotal role in shaping individual identities and social hierarchies (Zelizer, 1989; Osebor, & Ogelenya, 2023). Money serves as both a medium of exchange and a symbol of value in human societies, shaping social interactions, defining power dynamics, and influencing individual and collective behavior (Nee & Ingram, 1998). It is often viewed as a neutral tool for facilitating transactions. Money can also be seen as a tool of capitalist exploitation, where the accumulation of capital leads to alienation and inequality (Marx, 1867). On the other hand, money can be viewed as a medium of exchange that facilitates trade and enables specialization, leading to economic growth (Smith, 1776). Money plays a crucial role in society, serving as a medium of exchange, a unit of account, and a store of value. It facilitates economic transactions, encourages specialization and trade, fosters investment and innovation, and enables individuals to save and plan for the future (Eisenstein, 2011). Additionally, money influences social status, power dynamics, and access to resources, shaping both individual opportunities and societal structures. Keynes (1936) emphasized the role of money in driving economic activity and advocated for government intervention to manage economic cycles through monetary and fiscal policies. Hayek (1936) viewed money as a neutral medium of exchange and cautioned against central planning, advocating instead for free-market competition and the denationalization of money. Money is not a neutral medium, and it is intertwined with social relationships and power dynamics, with debt playing a central role in shaping human history (Graeber, 2011). Money plays a significant role in mediating social interactions and has the potential to both connect and alienate individuals within society (1896). The pursuit of wealth and material possessions contribute to job discrimination, consumerism, leading to overconsumption, environmental degradation and inequality (RamHormozi, 2019; Monday, 2021). -Money significantly influences human behavior in various ways. It can motivate people to work harder, pursue goals, and strive for success. Conversely, it can also lead to greed, materialism, unethical behavior and unequal distribution of wealth (Krekels, 2015). Additionally, money can affect social interactions, relationships, and even mental health, often creating a sense of status or power dynamics, which could lead to discrimination in the society. Overall, the impact of money on human behavior is complex and multifaceted, influenced by individual values, societal norms, and personal circumstances.

Conceptual Clarification

Inequality is unfair situation in society when some people have more opportunities money, etc. than other people (Cambridge, n-d) The inequality of money refers to the unequal distribution of wealth among individuals or groups within a society. This can result from various factors such as disparities in income, access to resources, opportunities, and systemic factors like economic policies and social structures (Osberg, 2002).

The Ethical Issues

Job discrimination is indeed a significant contributor to inequality of wealth around the world (Darity Jr, & Mason, 1998). It is an unfairly limits of opportunities for certain groups or individual based on factors such as race, gender, age, or disability, which lead to perpetuates systemic disparities in income, wealth, and overall well-being (Osebor, & Ogelenya, 2023; Roberts, 2015; Monday, I2020),. Addressing discrimination money ethical practices and promoting diversity and inclusion are crucial steps toward creating a more equitable society. It is important to consider the ethical implications of our financial choices and to strive to use our money in ways that align with our values and contribute to the greater good. This may involve job non-discrimination that prioritizes the well-being of others over our own financial gain, or that support businesses and organizations that are committed to ethical and sustainable practices. By considering the moral dimension of money, we can use non job discrimination to create positive change in the world. The relationship between money and job is complex and can vary depending on cultural, ethical, and individual perspectives (Swinyard, et al., 1989). Fair employment can be a tool for achieving moral goals, such as supporting oneself and others, contributing to charitable causes, or pursuing meaningful endeavors (Damon, 2008; Monday, 2020).-However, the pursuit of wealth can lead to moral compromises, such as greed and the commodification of human relationships. Inequality raises profound questions about justice, and human dignity, which could be addressed by the implementation of money ethics (Childs, 2000). Money often exacerbates wealth inequality, leading to social unrest and injustice but individual cannot be on equal status or wage due education and others factor (Osebor, & Irabor, 2023). But in equality is a tool of capitalist exploitation, where the accumulation of capital leads to alienation and inequality (Marx, 1867). Inequality can corrupt individuals and institutions, leading to unethical behavior such as bribery and embezzlement. Moreover, the pursuit of profit often leads to the exploitation of labor, environmental degradation, and disregard for human dignity. Additionally, the commodification of culture and knowledge undermines intrinsic values, prioritizing market logic over communal well-being.

Money Ethics

In today's world, the chasm between the haves and the have-nots seems to be widening at an alarming rate. Economic inequality has become one of the defining challenges of our time, with a small percentage of the population holding the majority of the world's wealth (Alvaredo, et al., 2018). Income inequality refers to how unevenly income is distributed throughout a population (Dabla-Norris et al., 2015). There are various factors contributing to this inequality,—including globalization, education, taxation, and many others. The moral implication of income inequality is social unrest, poverty and many others (Sala-i-Martin, 2002; Ingham, 1998). There is need to promote transparency in financial transactions, ensuring fair wages and working conditions, and encouraging responsible and sustainable investment practices. Integrating money ethical considerations into our financial systems, we can work towards a more equitable distribution of wealth and a more just society. Money

ethics encompass various principles and practices guiding the ethical distribution of job and management of financial resources. In today's complex global economy, navigating ethical dilemmas related to money involves considerations such as fairness, transparency, accountability, and sustainability (Jones, 1991). The key components of money ethics are transparency in financial transactions and sustainability. Transparency is another key aspect of money ethics, emphasizing the importance of openness and disclosure in financial practices. This involves providing clear and accurate information about financial transactions, investments, and decision-making processes to stakeholders, including investors, employees, and the public (Solomon, 1992). Accountability in wealth management is essential, fostering trust and integrity in job distribution. Accountability is closely linked to transparency and involves taking responsibility for financial decisions and their consequences. This includes acknowledging mistakes, rectifying errors, and being answerable to stakeholders for the use of financial resources (Frederick, 1994). Individuals and organizations should be open about their financial dealings, disclose relevant information, and be held accountable for any unethical practices such as tax evasion or corruption. Those who have accumulated wealth have a moral obligation to give back to society. Philanthropy and social investment can play a significant role in addressing social issues such as poverty, education, healthcare, and environmental sustainability. Sustainability is increasingly recognized as a critical consideration in money ethics, emphasizing the long-term impact of financial decisions on both the environment and society. This includes incorporating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into investment decisions, promoting responsible consumption and production practices, and supporting initiatives that contribute to the well-being of future generations (Elkington, 1994). By supporting charitable causes and initiatives, individuals and corporations can contribute to building more equitable and inclusive communities. Ethical money practices also encompass responsible consumption and investment practices that prioritize environmental sustainability and social well-being. This includes supporting ethical and sustainable businesses, divesting from industries that harm people or the planet, and promoting responsible consumption habits that minimize waste and resource depletion. Addressing economic inequality requires ensuring equitable access to financial resources for all members of society. The practice of fairness in financial dealings entails ensuring equitable distribution of resources and opportunities, and avoiding exploitation or discrimination based on factors such as race, gender, or socioeconomic status (Rawls, 1971). This involves tackling barriers such as systemic discrimination, lack of access to education and opportunities, and financial exclusion. Efforts to promote financial literacy, entrepreneurship, and inclusive economic policies are essential for creating a more level playing field. Money ethics emphasizes cultivating moral character and integrity in individuals, which can help mitigate corruption by promoting honesty and accountability. Many industries rely on cheap labor, often exploiting workers in developing countries. However, implementing money ethics requires collective action and political will to enact policies that prioritize ethical considerations over purely economic interests. Although the pursuit of wealth should be tempered by virtue, balance between material prosperity and moral integrity that redistributive measures ensure the fair distribution of resources.

Conclusion

Money ethics offer a pathway towards addressing the inequality of wealth by promoting fairness, justice, and social responsibility in the management of financial resources. By embracing principles such as fair wages, transparency, philanthropy, sustainable investment, and equitable access to resources, we can work towards creating a more just and equitable economic system where everyone has the opportunity to thrive. It is not just a matter of economics but of ethics – a moral imperative to build a more equitable and sustainable future for all. Philosophy of money is a critical reflection of human values and societal structures. It is a lens through which we can examine the complexities of human existence and the moral of money in contemporary life. The philosophy of money unveils its complex ethical dimensions, highlighting money ethics needed for remedial action. By interrogating the underlying values and power dynamics inherent in monetary systems, society can work towards a more just and equitable economic order. Money ethics regulate individual agency. To harness the potential of money for the betterment of humanity and the planet policy makers implement the ethical guidelines of money ethics

References

- Alvaredo, F., Chancel, L., Piketty, T., Saez, E., & Zucman, G. (Eds.). (2018). *World inequality report 2018*. Belknap Press.
- Arslanian, H. (2022). The history of money. In *The Book of Crypto: The Complete Guide to Understanding Bitcoin, Cryptocurrencies and Digital Assets* (pp. 1-43). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Cambridge, (n-d) Inequality. <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/inequality>
- Chapman, A. (1980). Barter as a universal mode of exchange. *L'homme*, 33-83.
- Childs, J. M. (2000). *Greed: Economics and ethics in conflict*. Fortress Press.
- Dabla-Norris, M. E., Kochhar, M. K., Suphaphiphat, M. N., Ricka, M. F., & Tsounta, M. E. (2015). *Causes and consequences of income inequality: A global perspective*. International Monetary Fund.
- Darity Jr, W. A., & Mason, P. L. (1998). Evidence on discrimination in employment: Codes of color, codes of gender. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 12(2), 63-90.
- Damon, W. (2008). *The path to purpose: Helping our children find their calling in life*. Simon and Schuster.
- Eisenstein, C. (2011). *Sacred economics: Money, gift, and society in the age of transition*. North Atlantic Books.

Elkington, J. (1994). Towards the Sustainable Corporation: Win-Win-Win Business Strategies for Sustainable Development. *California Management Review*, 36(2), 90–100.

Foucault, M. (1978). *The Birth of Biopolitics

Frederick, W. C. (1994). From CSR1 to CSR2: The Maturing of Business-and-Society Thought. *Business & Society*, 33(2), 150–164.

Georgeson, D. A. (2018). Cryptocurrencies as an Alternative to Fiat Monetary Systems.

Graeber, David) Debt: The First 5000 Years.

Hayek, F. A. (1945). The Use of Knowledge in Society

Hayek, Friedrich. The Denationalization of Money: The Argument Refined. 1976.

Horesh, N. (2013). *Chinese money in global context: Historic junctures between 600 BCE and 2012*. Stanford University Press.

Ingham, G. (1998). Money is a socialrelation. In *Critical Realism in Economics* (pp. 117-138). Routledge.

Jones, T. M. (1991). Ethical Decision Making by Individuals in Organizations: An Issue-Contingent Model. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(2), 366–395.

Kabaklarlı, E. (2021). Future of money: Cryptocurrencies, blockchain technology and central bank digital currency. *Barcelona, Spain*.

Keynes, John Maynard. The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money. 1936.

Krekels, G. (2015). *Essays on dispositional greed: The effect of insatiability on consumer behavior* (Doctoral dissertation, Ghent University).

Marx, K (1867) Capital: A Critique of Political Economy

Monday, I. O. (2020), The Relevance of Deep Ecological Principles in Aquatic Crisis: A Philosophical Bangladesh Journal of Bioethics [14] 11 (2): 35–41.

<https://doi.org/10.3329/bioethics.v11i2.50392>

Monday, O.I. (2020). Ethics of Cognitive Restructuring: A Rehabilitation of Rape Victims and Offenders

Monday, O.I. (2021). The Ethical Implications of Eco-Individualism on Sustainable Environments *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research*, 5(3)

- Nee, V., & Ingram, P. (1998). Embeddedness and beyond: institutions, exchange, and social structure. *The new institutionalism in sociology*, 19, 45.
- Osebor, I. M., & Irabor, B. P. (2023). The Role Of Metaphysics In The Development Of Native-Centric Education. *Oracle of Wisdom Journal of Philosophy and Public Affairs (OWIJOPPA)*, 7(3).
- Osebor, I. M., & Ogelenya, G. (2023). Identity Crisis and Self-Identity: A Reinterpretation of Karl Jaspers's Transcendental Philosophy and Existence *KIU Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(4), 131–134
- Osberg, L. (2002). *Time, money and inequality in international perspective* (No. 334). LIS Working Paper Series.
- Pittaluga, G. B., Seghezza, E., & Pittaluga. (2021). *Building Trust in the International Monetary System*. Springer International Publishing.
- RamHormozi, H. (2019). *The Anatomy of Consumerism: The Story of Excess, Greed, Self-Indulgence, Wealth Accumulation, Insurmountable Waste, and Environmental Degradation*. Friesen Press
- Rawls, J. (1971). *A Theory of Justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Roberts, J. L. (2015). Rethinking employment discrimination harms. *Ind. LJ*, 91, 393.
- Rushita, D., Sood, K., & Yadav, U. S. (2023). Cryptocurrency and digital money in the new era. In *Digital Transformation, Strategic Resilience, Cyber Security and Risk Management* (Vol. 111, pp. 179-190). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Sala-i-Martin, X. (2002). The disturbing" rise" of global income inequality.
- Shaw, E. H. (2016). Ancient and medieval marketing. In *The Routledge companion to marketing history* (pp. 23-40). Routledge.
- Simmel, Georg. "The Philosophy of Money." *International Journal of Ethics* 6, no. 3 (1896): 287
- Smith, Adam. *The Wealth of Nations*. 1776.
- Solomon, R. C. (1992). Corporate Roles, Personal Virtues: An Aristotelean Approach to Business Ethics. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 2(3), 317–339.
- Swinyard, W. R., DeLong, T. J., & Cheng, P. S. (1989). The relationship between moral decisions and their consequences: A tradeoff analysis approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 8, 289-297.
- Veblen, T. (1899). *The Theory of the Leisure Class*

Zelizer, V. A. (1989). The social meaning of money:" special monies". *American journal of sociology*, 95(2), 342-377