

Artificial Intelligence and Theological Education: Ethical Implications for Spiritual Formation and Leadership Development

**Anayo Daniel Opene, Amara Mary Chukwuma-Offor
& Chinedu Emmanuel Nnatuanya**

*Department of Religion and Human Relations,
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria*

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in theological education poses significant ethical challenges that threaten the core values of spiritual formation and leadership development. This paper aimed to explore the ethical implications of AI in shaping future spiritual leaders, emphasizing the need for a human-centered approach. Employing Virtue Ethics as the theoretical framework, the study examined how virtues essential for ethical leadership are cultivated through personal mentorship rather than AI-driven instruction. Utilizing an analytical research design, the methodology includes a qualitative analysis of secondary literature, revealing critical findings: AI may depersonalize spiritual formation, inadequately address moral guidance in leadership training, and risk promoting a technocratic approach to leadership. The study concludes with recommendations for theological institutions to preserve human mentorship, incorporate ethical training for AI usage, develop hybrid models of leadership training, and conduct ongoing ethical evaluations of AI tools. This balanced approach aims to enhance the educational experience while maintaining the integrity of theological education.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Theological Education, Spiritual Formation, Leadership Development, Virtue Ethics

Introduction

The rapid development and integration of artificial intelligence (AI) have significantly influenced various sectors, from healthcare to education. In recent years, AI has also started to impact the field of theological education, raising both opportunities and challenges. Theological institutions have traditionally emphasized personal spiritual formation, mentorship, and leadership development, with human interaction playing a central role. However, the advent of AI tools such as virtual tutors, automated assessments, and personalized learning systems is transforming how theological education is delivered (Anderson 15).

As AI becomes more prevalent, questions arise about how this technology can and should be used in the context of spiritual formation and leadership training. The core values of theological education — cultivating ethical leaders and spiritually mature individuals — face new ethical dilemmas. The tension between technological advancement and the need for a human-centered approach to spiritual development calls for a closer examination of AI's role in theological education (Evans and Hargittai 67). While some argue that AI can enhance learning through personalized content and efficient resource management, others highlight the risks associated with reducing the role of human mentorship in spiritual growth (Smith 103).

While artificial intelligence holds promise for improving the efficiency and accessibility of theological education, its integration raises significant ethical concerns. Chief among these concerns is whether AI can adequately support the formation of ethical, empathetic, and spiritually grounded leaders. The depersonalization inherent in AI-driven education may undermine key aspects of spiritual formation, such as personal mentorship, discipleship, and the development of moral character (Gonzalez 78). This paper seeks to address the ethical implications of AI in theological education, particularly in the areas of spiritual formation and leadership development.

Research Question

How does the integration of artificial intelligence in theological education impact spiritual formation and leadership development?

Objectives of the Study

The general objective of this paper is to explore the ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in theological education for spiritual formation and leadership development. Specifically, the paper aims:

- To analyze how AI influences the ethical framework of theological education in shaping future spiritual leaders.

Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence in Education

AI's role in modern education has gained significant attention, with scholars examining its potential to revolutionize learning by making it more personalized and efficient. AI-driven platforms are increasingly being used to tailor content to individual students, optimize learning paths, and provide real-time feedback (Selwyn 38). AI tools, such as adaptive learning systems, virtual tutors, and automated grading, offer the promise of enhancing both

student performance and teaching effectiveness. However, researchers also warn that while AI can replicate certain educational functions, it lacks the human qualities required for mentorship and personal growth, which are critical in fields like theological education (Luckin 112). The debate continues as to whether AI can fully replace or augment traditional educational methods without eroding essential human elements (Anderson 59).

Theological Education: Traditional Approaches

Theological education, particularly in seminaries and religious institutions, has historically emphasized a holistic approach to learning. This includes not just intellectual engagement with religious texts and doctrines, but also a focus on personal spiritual growth and the formation of ethical leaders. According to Burgess, theological education has long relied on a model where students are guided by mentors and spiritual directors who model virtuous behavior (Burgess 45). Traditional methods of theological instruction prioritize face-to-face interactions and experiential learning, particularly in the areas of spiritual formation and discipleship. This deeply relational aspect of theological education is difficult to replicate in AI-based systems, which are designed to provide technical efficiency but may lack the depth needed for cultivating character and spiritual maturity (Smith 124).

Ethical Implications of AI in Education

One of the major ethical concerns associated with AI in education, particularly in theological institutions, is the potential dehumanization of the learning process. As AI increasingly takes on roles traditionally performed by educators, there is a risk that the development of empathy, moral reasoning, and emotional intelligence in students will be neglected (Gunkel 89). In theological education, these traits are essential for spiritual leadership, which involves not only knowledge but also wisdom, compassion, and ethical discernment. Scholars like Bostrom and Yudkowsky argue that while AI can be highly effective in enhancing certain aspects of learning, its application in contexts requiring ethical and emotional engagement poses significant risks (Bostrom and Yudkowsky 78). The ethical implications of relying on AI for forming spiritual leaders call into question the appropriateness of using technology in areas traditionally reserved for human guidance (Wallach and Allen 136).

Spiritual Formation and AI

Spiritual formation is a core component of theological education, involving the cultivation of virtues such as humility, patience, and self-discipline. This process traditionally requires mentorship, reflection, and community interaction. Scholars like Willard argue that spiritual formation cannot be effectively outsourced to machines, as it requires the personal

engagement of both the teacher and the student (Willard 97). AI-based systems lack the capacity for moral and emotional engagement, which are crucial in forming spiritually mature individuals (Turkle 54). While AI might assist in delivering theological content, the relational dynamics between mentor and student remain vital for true spiritual growth (Smith 132). Additionally, studies suggest that over-reliance on AI could weaken the relational bonds necessary for developing empathy and other interpersonal virtues, leading to a diminished capacity for spiritual leadership (Shields 23).

Leadership Development in Theological Education

Leadership development in theological education is about more than just academic knowledge; it involves cultivating virtues like integrity, responsibility, and humility. These are shaped through lived experiences, mentorship, and ethical decision-making in community contexts. Traditional leadership training in theological education focuses heavily on character development, which AI, with its data-driven algorithms, may find difficult to replicate (Roberts 92). According to Perkins, AI tools can offer valuable assistance in simulating leadership scenarios and providing analytical insights for decision-making. However, they cannot replace the human mentor who models ethical leadership in practice (Perkins 87). The potential danger is that AI may create leaders who are technically competent but lacking in the moral and spiritual depth required for effective and ethical leadership in religious communities (Shields 38).

Theoretical Framework

This study adopted Virtue Ethics as the guiding theoretical framework. Virtue ethics is an approach to ethics that emphasizes the development of virtuous character traits—such as wisdom, courage, justice, and temperance—over the mere adherence to rules or the evaluation of actions based on their consequences. Aristotle is traditionally credited as the primary proponent of virtue ethics, particularly through his work *Nicomachean Ethics* (Aristotle 23-27). Other key contributors include Alasdair MacIntyre, who revitalized the discussion of virtue ethics in modern philosophy through his influential work *After Virtue* (MacIntyre 45). Virtue ethics asserts that the foundation of ethical behavior lies in cultivating good character traits (virtues) rather than simply following rules or focusing on the outcomes of actions. According to Aristotle, virtues are developed through habitual practice, shaping individuals into morally excellent beings who act rightly because it is part of their character, not because they are compelled by external forces (Aristotle 35). Unlike deontological ethics, which is concerned with duty, or consequentialism, which evaluates actions by their outcomes, virtue ethics places primary emphasis on the agent's moral development (MacIntyre 87).

In the context of theological education, virtue ethics is particularly relevant to the process of spiritual formation and leadership development. Theological institutions aim to cultivate not just intellectual knowledge but also moral and spiritual virtues in future religious leaders. The integration of AI into theological education introduces the question of whether such technology can facilitate the development of virtues, or if it undermines the personal mentorship and discipleship that are traditionally central to spiritual formation (Smith 112). Artificial intelligence, while beneficial in delivering personalized learning experiences, lacks the ability to model or nurture virtues in the same way that human mentors do. For instance, spiritual formation requires more than intellectual growth; it involves developing empathy, moral courage, and wisdom—traits that are deeply human and cultivated through personal relationships, not automated processes (Evans and Hargittai 69). By relying on AI-driven tools for theological training, institutions may risk reducing the depth of personal engagement required for virtue development, thus impacting the quality of future spiritual leaders. Moreover, leadership development within theological education is centered on forming leaders who possess virtues like integrity, humility, and prudence. Virtue ethics highlights the necessity of direct mentorship and human interaction in shaping these traits. While AI can assist in simulating leadership scenarios or providing decision-making data, it lacks the capacity to instill the deeply rooted virtues necessary for ethical leadership (Gonzalez 81). Therefore, theological institutions must carefully balance the use of AI with the need to preserve human-led, virtue-based education.

Methodology

This study adopted an analytical research design. The focus was on examining the ethical implications of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into theological education, particularly its impact on spiritual formation and leadership development. By analyzing existing literature and synthesizing scholarly discussions, the study aimed to draw conclusions on how AI might influence these critical aspects of theological training. Data for this research were sourced from secondary materials. These sources included textbooks, peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and reliable internet sources. Emphasis was placed on gathering relevant data from a variety of academic perspectives on the intersection of AI and education, particularly in the context of ethics and theology.

The data collected were presented using thematic headings that correspond to the key areas of discussion in this study, such as ethical implications for spiritual formation and leadership development. This thematic structure helped organize the insights from various sources into coherent categories for in-depth analysis. The data analysis involved a qualitative approach, with the data being examined and interpreted to identify recurring themes, trends, and ethical concerns. The analysis was conducted by critically evaluating

the sources and comparing insights from different scholarly viewpoints. This approach allowed for a nuanced understanding of the ethical challenges posed by AI in theological education.

Results and Discussion

Ethical Implications for Spiritual Formation

The integration of AI in theological education presents significant ethical challenges, especially concerning spiritual formation. As discussed, spiritual formation involves the development of virtues, moral character, and personal faith, which traditionally rely on mentorship and human interaction (Willard 101). The use of AI may depersonalize this deeply relational process, undermining the very virtues it seeks to cultivate. While AI can enhance the accessibility of theological content and provide structured learning, it lacks the capacity to engage students emotionally or spiritually. Automated systems cannot provide the empathy, wisdom, or discernment required to foster spiritual growth, which are typically conveyed through personal mentorship (Gonzalez 82). Furthermore, AI's algorithmic nature might prioritize efficiency and knowledge retention over the more qualitative aspects of spiritual formation, such as reflection, self-awareness, and moral transformation. This could lead to a generation of religious leaders who are intellectually equipped but lack the depth of spiritual maturity that comes from a guided, human-centric process (Smith 134). Thus, the over-reliance on AI could potentially stifle the holistic formation that theological education aims to promote.

Ethical Implications for Leadership Development

Leadership development within theological education focuses on forming individuals who not only possess knowledge but also embody ethical and spiritual virtues like integrity, humility, and wisdom. AI, while useful in simulating leadership scenarios and providing data-driven decision-making tools, cannot model ethical behavior or provide the mentorship necessary for character development (Perkins 89). Leadership in religious communities often requires discernment in complex, morally charged situations where algorithms are insufficient substitutes for the lived experience and wisdom of human mentors (Shields 42). AI-driven tools might unintentionally promote a technocratic approach to leadership, where leaders are chosen based on technical expertise rather than moral character and spiritual discernment (Gunkel 94). The lack of direct human engagement in leadership development could lead to a devaluation of the relational and moral components of leadership, resulting in leaders who are more focused on operational efficiency than on fostering a spiritually healthy community.

Balancing AI and Human-Centric Education

While the ethical challenges posed by AI in theological education are significant, AI also presents valuable opportunities when balanced with human-centric approaches. AI can enhance the learning experience by providing personalized learning paths, offering real-time feedback, and ensuring that theological content is accessible to a broader audience (Selwyn 41). However, the relational aspects of theological education — particularly those that pertain to spiritual formation and leadership development — should remain firmly grounded in human interaction. The ideal approach would involve using AI as a tool to augment the learning process while ensuring that human mentors continue to play a central role in guiding students' spiritual and moral development (Anderson 60). The balance between AI and human-centric education would ensure that theological institutions can leverage the benefits of technological advancements without compromising their core mission of cultivating ethical and spiritually mature leaders.

Major Findings

1. *Depersonalization of Spiritual Formation:* The reliance on AI can undermine the relational aspects of spiritual formation, reducing the ability of students to develop virtues through mentorship and human engagement.
2. *Insufficient Moral and Ethical Guidance in Leadership Development:* AI lacks the ability to model and teach the moral and ethical discernment necessary for effective spiritual leadership, which could result in technically competent but morally underdeveloped leaders.
3. *AI's Role as a Supplementary Tool:* While AI can enhance educational efficiency and accessibility, it should be balanced with human-led guidance to ensure that theological education remains spiritually and ethically grounded.
4. *Potential for a Technocratic Leadership Approach:* Over-reliance on AI could shift the focus of leadership development from character and moral judgment to technical competence and operational efficiency.

Conclusion

The integration of AI into theological education offers both opportunities and challenges. While AI can significantly improve access to and personalization of learning, its limitations in fostering spiritual formation and leadership development raise serious ethical concerns. Theological education, which places a premium on human relationships, moral development, and spiritual growth, must carefully navigate the incorporation of AI to avoid depersonalizing its core values. A balanced approach that leverages AI's strengths while

preserving the critical role of human mentorship is essential to maintaining the integrity of theological education.

Recommendations

1. *Preserve Human Mentorship in Spiritual Formation:* Theological institutions should ensure that AI tools are used to supplement, rather than replace, human mentorship in spiritual formation. Mentors should continue to play a vital role in guiding students toward moral and spiritual maturity.
2. *Incorporate Ethical Training in AI Usage:* As AI becomes more integrated into theological education, it is important to include ethical training on the responsible use of AI. Students should be aware of the limitations and moral implications of AI in both educational and ministerial contexts.
3. *Develop Hybrid Models of Leadership Training:* To address the ethical concerns surrounding AI in leadership development, institutions should adopt hybrid models that combine AI-driven tools for practical learning with human-led mentorship to ensure the formation of ethical and spiritually grounded leaders.
4. *Conduct Ongoing Ethical Evaluations:* Theological institutions should establish ongoing ethical evaluations of AI tools to ensure they align with the core values of spiritual formation and leadership development. These evaluations should include input from educators, theologians, and ethicists to maintain the integrity of theological education.

References

Books:

- Anderson, James D. *Technology and the Future of Higher Education*. Routledge, 2018.
- Aristotle. *Nicomachean Ethics*. Translated by W.D. Ross, Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Burgess, Stanley. *Mentorship in Theological Education: A Historical Overview*. Baker Academic, 2015.
- Gunkel, David. *The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics*. MIT Press, 2012.
- Luckin, Rose. *Enhancing Learning and Teaching with AI: Educators, Algorithms, and AI in Schools*. UCL Press, 2020.
- MacIntyre, Alasdair. *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*. 3rd ed., University of Notre Dame Press, 2007.

- Selwyn, Neil. *Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates*. 2nd ed., Bloomsbury Publishing, 2016.
- Shields, Andrew. *The Future of Theological Leadership in an AI-Driven World*. Abingdon Press, 2023.
- Smith, Robert. *The Role of Technology in Faith-Based Education*. Oxford University Press, 2019.
- Turkle, Sherry. *Reclaiming Conversation: The Power of Talk in a Digital Age*. Penguin, 2016.
- Wallach, Wendell, and Colin Allen. *Moral Machines: Teaching Robots Right from Wrong*. Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Willard, Dallas. *Renovation of the Heart: Putting on the Character of Christ*. NavPress, 2002.

Book Chapters:

- Bostrom, Nick, and Eliezer Yudkowsky. "The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence." *Cambridge Handbook of Artificial Intelligence*, edited by William Ramsey and Keith Frankish, Cambridge University Press, 2014, pp. 67-91.

Journal Articles:

- Evans, Jonathan, and Eszter Hargittai. "A Critical Examination of AI in Higher Education." *Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 52, no. 2, 2020, pp. 65-78.
- Gonzalez, Maria. "Ethical Dilemmas in AI-Assisted Religious Education." *Theological Review*, vol. 45, no. 3, 2022, pp. 72-84.
- Perkins, William. "Artificial Intelligence in Leadership Development." *Journal of Leadership Studies*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2021, pp. 83-102.
- Roberts, Stephen. "Character and Leadership in Theological Education." *The Journal of Christian Leadership*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2020, pp. 90-104.