

6. THE EPISTEMOLOGY OF TESTIMONY IN ADVERTISING: A CRITICAL EXAMINATION

Ubong S. Andrew-Essien

Department of Philosophy,
University of Uyo, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State Nigeria
ubongandrew@yahoo.com

&

Blessing Ogechi Ekeh

Department of Philosophy,
University of Uyo, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State Nigeria
ekehoge@gmail.com

Abstract

The Epistemology of Testimony in Advertising: A Critical Examination examined the nature, difficulties, and consequences of using testimonial claims as a means of persuasion. The paper considered the rationality, dependability, and trustworthiness of testimonials, taking into account their influence on customer beliefs, attitudes, and behavior. It did this by drawing on philosophical and marketing literature. The intricacies of source credibility, authority of testimonials, consistency, empirical evidence, and the function of consumer critical thinking were all explored in the analysis. The ethical issues related to testimonial-based advertising was also discussed. The results drew attention to the possible influence of testimonials on consumer decision-making and stressed the importance of customer engagement, skepticism, and use of critical thinking abilities. By offering consumers information, the paper advances our knowledge of the epistemic aspects of testimony in advertising. The paper contributes to our understanding of the epistemological role of testimony in advertising and offers guidance to consumers, advertisers, and academics on how to approach the subtleties of testimonial advertisement in a critical manner.

Keywords: Epistemology, Testimony, Advertisement, Critical Examination.

Introduction

Epistemology is derived from the fusion of two Greek words ‘episteme’ meaning knowledge or understanding and ‘logos’ meaning theory of or explanation. This is the reason it is referred to as the theory of knowledge. Epistemology examines our knowledge claims in order to determine what knowledge is and how it differs from mere opinion (Ibrahim 3). The title of the paper simply calls attention to the role played by testimony in advertisement. Epistemology as the theory of knowledge is interested in how knowledge is acquired in every field of knowledge. The paper will analyze the epistemic status of testimony and its stand in the area of advertisement. Advertising is an integral part of our modern consumer-driven society, with companies vying for attention and trying to convince individuals to buy their products or services. One generally used approach in advertising is the use of testimonials, where individuals endorse or recommend a particular brand or product. Testimony in advertisements are intended to establish credibility, create a sense of trust, and influence consumer’s decision-making over a particular product or service. However, there are

epistemological implications of relying on testimony in advertising. The paper will critically analyze the epistemology of testimony in advertising, exploring the ways individuals acquire knowledge through individual testimonies made in advertisements. The analysis of the epistemic dimensions of testimonies will create room for a better understanding of the rationality and reliability of information from testimonies which will at the same time empower consumers to make informed choices. There's need therefore, for a consumer to critically evaluate testimonial claims in advertisements, questioning assumptions and also being skeptical over the claims in order to be in a better position to make informed judgments.

What is Epistemology?

Epistemology like other philosophical terms has been defined in several ways; according to Omoregbe, "epistemology is the study of human knowledge, the study of the nature of human knowledge, its origin, its scope, its limit, its justification, its reliability or otherwise, its certainty or otherwise" (vi). In addition to this definition of knowledge by Omoregbe, Ojong and Ibrahim adds that, "it investigates the process of human cognition and all the problems associated with its acquisition and justification" (39). Since there are processes involved in the acquisition of human knowledge, epistemology studies the processes and issues that go along with it.

Epistemology seeks to answer fundamental questions about the nature, scope, and limits of knowledge, as well as the methods and criteria for acquiring knowledge in various domains. Epistemology examines how we come to know things, what counts as knowledge, and how we can justify our beliefs. It seeks to establish frameworks within which we can construct genuine and accurate understanding of the world. This involves identifying and developing criteria and methodologies for determining what we know, how we know, why we know and what we can know. In the attempt of epistemology to answer the question of how we come to know things, it gives different sources of knowledge. The major sources of knowledge identified by epistemologists are:

Reason: This refers to knowledge acquired through the faculty of the mind that has to do with logic, analysis and rationality. It acquires knowledge through rational investigation either by deducing truths from existing knowledge or by learning things *apriori*.

Sense experience: This accounts for the knowledge gotten through the activities of the five senses in receiving information from external objects. The five senses are; seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting and feeling.

Intuition: This refers to immediate perception of truth without a conscious process of reasoning. It is characterized by a sudden eruption of idea into consciousness.

Revelation: This refers to the process of disclosing or making manifest of some form of truth or knowledge through communication with a deity or other supernatural entities.

Memory: Memory refers to the faculty with which man stores knowledge. It gives knowledge of the past. It brings to the present what was in the past and is found relevant in the present.

Testimony: This as a source of knowledge refers to the statement or declaration of a witness about a particular object or event. They are claims we make on the basis of what those who witnessed those events say. For instance, knowledge of events that happened before we were born. In modern epistemology, testimony is an umbrella for all the situations in which we believe something or learn something because of what other people have told us.

What is Advertisement?

The term advertising originated from the Latin word "advertise" which has the conception of "to turn to", but the dictionary explains the meaning of this term as "to give

public notice or to announce publicly". It is also thought that it came from the word "advertere" which means to turn the mind towards. According to the American Association of Marketing (AAM) "advertising is any paid form of non-personal presentation and promotion of ideas, goods and services by an identified sponsor". Advertising can be defined as "a form of communication that aims to persuade potential customers to purchase or take some action with respect to products, ideas, or services" (Kotler & Armstrong, 2018 3). According to Storey, "advertisements are everywhere. They form a major part of the way we see and hear the world.

There are ads in newspapers and magazines, on television, on radio, in movie theatres, at sports events, on bus shelters, on the sides of buses, on the Internet, and even in the sky. They are unavoidable. They have become a part of the everyday landscape, of the fabric of modern life"(53). Advertising can be found in a variety of media, including newspapers, magazines, radio, television, outdoor ads, direct mail, social media, blogs, websites, and text messages. These media's business messaging is frequently referred to as advertisements. Advertising is a fascinating way to provide motivated and up-to-date information to a specific audience. While the origin of advertisement may lay thousands of years ago, in the ancient civilization, posters and wall paintings were the common forms of advertisement. "Visual signs, symbols of trade for location and availability of products or services, hiring of town criers to announce the availability of goods were also the ancient advertising forms" (Rehman et al, 130).

An important part of our daily lives is advertising. It primarily shapes our self-perception and manner of living, and it influences our thoughts and attitudes toward both the outside world and ourselves. Advertising models behavior for us in specific contexts. It establishes what is right and wrong. We purchase what others advise or say. Everyone is impacted by advertising, even if they are unaware of it. We are unaware of its effects on us. Every day, the pressure from advertisements increases. The function of advertising, according to Jones is to "increase people's knowledge and change people's attitudes and presumes that selling message must be unmistakable"(455). By encouraging people to tell others about a marketing message, advertising creates the possibility of an exponential increase in the message's exposure. According to Kirby, "Viral marketing is a strategy that encourages individuals to pass on a marketing message to others, creating the potential for exponential growth in the message's exposure."(268). The idea of advertising is to leverage the power of personal recommendations to spread a marketing message.

Factors in Effective Advertisement

Jalali et al asserts that, "the advent of varied kinds of goods and services has made the competition among several producers even more intensive" (811). Advertising plays one of the greatest roles in marketing and encouraging people to purchase. There are certain factors to consider for effective advertisement. They are: customer's needs, informativeness, type of Media, creativity and exposure to the advertisements.

Customer's Need: Need is typically a factor in society's increased product consumption. Technical management uses the term "need" to describe a physiological and psychological deficiency that can draw attention to a unique accomplishment. Customer's needs can be defined as desire, requirement, demand and consuming.

Informativeness: Consumers of all types of products and services are normally willing to get useful and essential information concerning a specific product. All they really require is quick, direct access to information. Stated differently, a well-crafted advertisement ought to be both thorough and definitive. Being informed can assist customers in choosing the best product to buy.

Types of Media: Advertising companies are placing a great deal of importance on selecting the best media for their advertisements because they are using a variety of media worldwide and dispersing their audiences across multiple media. Getting more customers is a direct result of using the right strategy and optimal marketing techniques.

Creativity: The ability to be creative is extremely valuable since it can draw a large audience to an advertisement or commercial. It is also capable of influencing a customer's intention to buy. The customer receives entertainment in addition to helpful product information. This characteristic is especially important since it encourages viewers of the commercials to buy by inadvertently stimulating their enjoyment.

Exposure to Advertisements: Customers become more interested in learning about a brand and its benefits based on the level of exposure to advertisements they receive. The frequency with which they are exposed to the advertisement can either increase or decrease the impact of its message. The more commercials one sees, the more quickly the benefits of the brand come to mind when one confronts them.

What is Epistemology of Testimony?

Testimony is an all-encompassing phrase in modern epistemology that encompasses all the situations in which we believe something or learn something based solely on the advice of others. We rely on the testimonies of others whenever we take a friend's word for it, read the newspaper to learn about current events, accept a stranger's response when we ask for directions and even when we obtain a diagnosis from our doctor. Thus, testimony provides us with beliefs that we form on our own, just like other epistemic sources, however, testimony differs from these sources in that it is dependent on the mental processes of another person. When a speaker asserts something and attests to the fact that *p*, it can be assumed that the speaker has the necessary epistemic authority to decide whether or not *p*, and that they are not misusing their position of authority, for instance, by trying to make false assertion. However, some people will be hesitant to assume that the speaker has the necessary epistemic authority just by asserting something and will seek more direct proof that the speaker is telling the truth. Divergent opinions regarding the source of epistemic justification for beliefs we have acquired based on witness are at the center of this disagreement.

Moran asserts that, "Coady, sees the trust we place in testimony not as a last resort, but as fundamental" (330). He considers it to be a crucial doxastic process, at par with the perceptual and the memorization. Rather than the traditional epistemological view of testimony as, at most, second-hand, inferential, and indirect doxastic process, this raises testimony to the status of a practice providing us direct access to knowledge. It does not mean that testimony is infallible, any more than our senses and memory are infallible. When it comes to auditing testimony, the debate about where the bar ought to be set is largely between two groups of epistemologists; reductionists and anti-reductionists. These are schools of thought about testimony that have their origins in the writings of two Scottish philosophers from the eighteenth century, David Hume and Thomas Reid, respectively. Hume, requires the testifier to have shown reliability before he will accept his word, whereas Reid has a default faith in the testifier unless there is reason to doubt him.

Reductionists insist that a responsible hearer will only accept what they are told if they have at least some nontestimonial evidence supporting the truthfulness of the testimony, in contrast to antireductionists who contend that the speaker's act of testifying itself confers justification on the hearer's corresponding belief. The majority of philosophers, both reductionists and antireductionists, agree that testimony is an invaluable source of information and may be the only way humans can acquire anything approaching the wide range and depth

of knowledge we usually credit to ourselves. Reductionism is “a view that asserts that entities of a given kind are identical to, or are collections or combinations of, entities of another (often simpler or more basic) kind or that expressions denoting such entities are definable in terms of expressions denoting other entities” (Britannica), a view that higher-level phenomena can be fully described in terms of lower-level phenomena, while antireductionism argue that certain higher-level phenomena cannot be reduced to lower-level phenomena.

Testimony is a unique source of belief. It is unique in the sense that many of our beliefs have been formed only through accepting testimony. For example, my belief that there were two World Wars last century both depend on testimony. It is equally clear that testimony is a unique source of knowledge. It is unique in the sense that much of our knowledge has been acquired only through accepting testimony. In the common understanding of what it is to know, I do not merely believe that there were two World Wars last century, but I know that this is so. Thus, if it is supposed that one can know that something is the case only if one can be justified in believing that it is, then a fundamental question confronting the epistemology of testimony is, “how are testimonial beliefs justified?” Responses to this question can be divided into two categories. Anti-reductive responses claim that testimonial beliefs are justified, other things being equal, simply because they were formed through accepting testimony. As a source of knowledge, testimony is comparable to perception and memory. Reductive responses claim that testimonial beliefs are justified only because and insofar as reasons were possessed for accepting whatever testimony caused their formation. The justification of testimonial beliefs thereby reduces to the justification for beliefs formed by other sources. David Hume as a reductionist is skeptical of testimonies. Hume is known for his skeptical approach to knowledge and he questions the reliability of human testimony and how we can trust it in cases where we don't have direct evidence. In his words:

Were not the memory tenacious to a certain degree; had not men commonly an inclination to truth and a principle of probity; were they not sensible to shame, when detected in a falsehood: Were not this, I say, discovered by experience to be qualities inherent in Human nature, we should never repose the last confidence in human testimony. A man delirious, or noted for falsehood or villainy, has no manner of authority with us" (104-105).

The epistemology of testimony is not primarily concerned with the definition or nature of testimony, but rather with how we can form justified beliefs or acquire knowledge based on what others tell us. To do this, it uses a broad understanding of testimony, which includes both reliable and unreliable sources. It then explores what makes testimony epistemically good or bad, and how this affects our ability to form justified beliefs or acquire knowledge from it. Supporting the broad understanding of testimony, Elizabeth Fricker asserts that, “the domain of testimony that is of epistemological interest is that of ‘tellings generally’ with no restrictions either on subject matter or on the speaker’s epistemic relation to it (396–7). Similarly, Robert Audi claims that in accounting for testimonial knowledge and justification we must understand testimony “in an expansive way, as involving people's telling us things” (Audi, 406). Ernest Sosa also embraced a broad sense of testimony. In his words, “Testimony, in my sense, requires that it be a statement of someone’s thoughts or beliefs, which they might direct to the world at large and to no one in particular” (219). This means that, testimony does not necessarily need to be a direct communication between two or more people, it can include posthumous publications or any other statement of someone's beliefs.

Epistemology of Testimony in Advertising

The epistemology of testimony in advertising delves into how we come to know and believe information conveyed through testimonial advertisements. Testimonial advertising

relies on the use of endorsements or testimonials from individuals to persuade consumers to purchase products or services. The following are the epistemological aspect of testimony in advertising:

Reliability: In testimonial advertising, individuals provide their personal experiences or opinions about a product or service. This positions testimony as a source of knowledge for consumers, as they rely on the information provided by the endorser to form beliefs about the product's efficacy, quality, or value. Assessing the reliability of testimonial claims is crucial. Advertisements often feature testimonials from individuals who endorse a product or service based on their personal experiences. Evaluating the credibility, expertise, and potential biases of the individuals providing the testimony becomes important in determining the reliability of the information conveyed.

Credibility: The epistemology of testimony in advertising heavily depends on the trustworthiness and credibility of the endorser. The credibility of the source plays a significant role in assessing the epistemic value of testimonial claims. Consumers are more likely to believe and accept the testimonial if they perceive the endorser as credible, knowledgeable, and sincere. Factors such as the reputation of the brand, the expertise of the spokesperson, or the presence of recognized authorities in the field can contribute to the perceived credibility of the testimonial.

Social Proof: Testimonial advertising leverages the principle of social proof, where individuals look to others' actions and opinions to guide their own behavior. Testimonials serve as social evidence, signaling to consumers that others have tried the product or service and found it beneficial or satisfactory. This social validation reinforces the credibility of the testimonial and enhances its persuasive impact.

Critical Evaluation: Despite the persuasive intent of testimonial advertising, consumers are encouraged to critically evaluate the credibility and relevance of the testimonies presented. This involves examining the coherence, consistency, and logical reasoning behind the claims being made. Additionally, seeking independent verification and considering alternative perspectives can help mitigate potential biases and enhance epistemic reliability. Epistemologically, consumers must assess the reliability of the information provided.

Corroboration and Verification: The epistemology of testimony in advertising involves scrutinizing the evidence or support provided for the claims made in the testimony. In some cases, consumers may seek corroborating evidence or verification to support the claims made in testimonials. This can involve researching independent reviews, seeking opinions from trusted sources, or conducting personal trials of the product or service. Epistemologically, corroboration serves as a means to validate the testimonial's claims. The availability and quality of evidence, such as scientific studies, research data, or statistics, can significantly impact the epistemic weight we assign to the claims presented.

Ethical Considerations: The epistemology of testimony in advertising also raises ethical concerns. Advertisements must adhere to principles of honesty, transparency, and truthfulness. Misleading or deceptive testimonial claims can undermine the epistemic trust between advertisers and consumers, leading to ethical dilemmas.

Evaluation and Conclusion

Epistemological implications and challenges surround testimony in advertisements. Testimony in advertising clearly has a big influence on the attitudes and actions of consumers. Consumers can be convinced and swayed by testimonials, which can help to build the legitimacy and trustworthiness of the items or services being promoted. However, it is imperative for consumers to engage in critical thinking by scrutinizing the information and

intentions of the individuals offering testimonies. To assess if testimonial assertions are consistent, confirmed, and supported by empirical data, skepticism is required. By critically analyzing the epistemological dimensions of testimony in advertising, consumers are better equipped to navigate the complexities of commercial persuasion. Consumers are empowered to make informed judgments, assessing the rationality and reliability of testimonial claims based on the expertise and credibility of the source, the consistency of information, and the presence of empirical evidence.

This critical analysis highlights the importance of consumer vigilance, skepticism, and engagement in the face of testimonial claims. It emphasizes the need for a balance between trusting testimonials as valuable sources of information and subjecting them to critical scrutiny to ensure that they align with consumers' values, interests, and decision-making processes. Ultimately, the epistemology of testimony in advertising calls for an ongoing dialogue and scrutiny, both by consumers and advertising practitioners. By fostering transparency, ethical practices, and a commitment to truthfulness, the impact of testimony can be maximized, allowing consumers to make well-informed decisions and fostering a healthier advertising landscape. Through a comprehensive understanding of the epistemology of testimony, we can navigate the complexities of advertising and make informed choices in our consumer-driven society.

Works Cited

- Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. "reductionism". *Encyclopedia Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/reductionism>. Accessed 1 May 2024
- Faulkner, P. "David Hume's Reductionist Epistemology of Testimony". *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 79 (4), 1998, 302-313.
- Fricker, E. "Telling and Trusting: Reductionism and Anti-Reductionism in the Epistemology of Testimony". *Mind*, Vol. 104, 1995, 393 – 411.
- Jalali, Gholamreza, Shafia, Mohammad A. and Nazari, Negar. "Examining different factors in effectiveness of advertisement". *Management Science Letters*, Vol. 2(3), 2012, 811-818.
- Jones, Benjamin A. "The Medium, the Message, and the Infomercial: Reconceptualizing Television Commercials as Persuasive Entertainment." *Journal of Communication*, Vol. 40(4), 1990, 455-480.
- Kotler, Philip, and Gary Armstrong. *Principles of Marketing*. 15th Edition, Pearson, 2018.
- Leandro Mateo, Bolaños Melgar and Robert J.F. Elsner. "A Review of Advertising in the 21st Century". *International Journal of Business Administration*, Vol. 7(4), 2016, 67-78.
- Leonard, Nick. "Epistemological Problems of Testimony". *The Standard Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2023 Edition), Edward N. Zalta & uri Nodelman (eds.). URL = <<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2023/entries/testimony-episprob/>>.
- Rehman, Fazal Ur, Farwida, Javed, Rosman Md Yusoff, Amran, Harun, Anwar, Khan and Fadillah, Ismail. "What is Advertising? A Short Review of Historical Development" *Academic Research International*, Vol. 10(4), 2019, 128 – 143.
- Seán Moran. "Knowledge from Testimony: Benefits and Dangers". *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, Vol. 47(3), 2013, 323-340.
- Sosa, E. *Knowledge in Perspective: Selected Essays in Epistemology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Storey, John. *Advertising and Society*. USA: Sage Publications, 2009.