

Algorithmic Ontology And Simulated Reality: Examining The Philosophical Implications

Fasiku, Gbenga Cornelius PhD¹ | Adejoh, Mark² | Suleiman, Jibrin³

Department of Philosophy, Prince Abubakar Audu University, Anyigba,

¹cfasiku@gmail.com or gbenga.fc@ksu.edu.ng

Tel:+2348169448844 ²markventures26@gmail.com Tel:+2349065590550

³jbsuleiman@gmail.com Tel:+2348106029733

Article Info

Article Type:

Research Article

Article History:

Received 29th October, 2024

Received in revised form

25th November, 2024

Accepted 3rd December,

2024

Published online:

7th April, 2025

Keywords: Ontology,
Simulation Hypothesis,
Algorithms, AI Systems,
Nature of Reality

Abstract

The developments of Artificial Intelligence (AI) have brought not only technological innovations but also philosophical questions concerning the nature of reality and existence. This paper therefore takes a cursory look into the ontology of algorithms and AI systems, focusing on how these technological entities influence our understanding of reality and the simulation hypothesis. By examining the simulation hypothesis i.e., the idea that our reality may be an artificial simulation created by advanced beings, this study investigates the philosophical implications of AI's increasing presence in shaping perceptions of existence. Using the philosophical method of analysis, the paper draws on the relationship between algorithms and ontological constructs, questioning whether the simulated environments built by AI can challenge or redefine the boundaries of what we consider real. The paper further looks into how AI systems, through their capacity for simulation, mirror or distort the fundamental nature of reality, raising profound questions about epistemology and metaphysics in the digital age. The paper concludes that, the potential for creating conscious AI or entities with emergent properties within these simulations demands a reevaluation of our moral obligations toward these entities, challenging current ethical standards and pushing the boundaries of scientific responsibility.

Cite this article:



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Cornelius, Fasiku Gbenga, Mark Adejoh, Jibrin Suleiman,
Algorithmic Ontology And Simulated Reality: Examining The Philosophical Implications, Nigerian Journal of Philosophical Studies, Vol.4. 1 March / April, 2025, pp 40-50

Publisher: Association of Philosophy Professionals of Nigeria (APPON)

Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought forth not only technological innovations but also profound philosophical questions regarding the nature of reality and human existence. One of the most interesting frameworks for understanding the implications of AI and algorithms is the simulation hypothesis, a concept popularized by Nick Bostrom. This hypothesis posits that what we perceive as reality could, in fact, be an artificial simulation created by an advanced civilization.¹ As AI systems continue to develop the capacity to simulate environments, events, and even complex decision-making processes, the line between simulated and “real” experiences becomes increasingly blurred.

Fundamental to this examination lies the ontology of algorithms, which refers to the nature of being and existence as mediated by computational processes. Algorithms, which are the foundation of AI systems, are designed to execute specific tasks, often creating simulations of various scenarios in virtual environments. These simulated realities raise fundamental questions about the epistemological nature of what we know and perceive. As David Chalmers notes, “If we are living in a simulation, our world is a creation of some artificial process, not the fundamental physical world”.² This consideration extends beyond mere technological curiosity, delving into deeper ontological concerns regarding the status of being within AI-constructed realities.

As AI systems increasingly simulate complex human environments from video games to virtual reality experiences, they challenge the traditional definitions of reality. What constitutes “real” experience when machines can replicate sensations, emotions, and even cognitive processes? These developments push the boundaries of classical metaphysical debates, particularly regarding the distinction between appearance and reality. This paper seeks to explore the implications of algorithms and AI systems on the nature of reality, especially in relation to the simulation hypothesis, by analyzing their potential to reshape human understanding of existence and epistemological boundaries. Through an examination of both philosophical and technological perspectives, this study aims to illuminate the ways in which AI and algorithms serve as mediators of reality. It also discusses how these technologies force us to reconsider the metaphysical foundations of what is considered real, drawing connections between digital simulation and the possibility that our entire existence might be part of a grand simulation. The intersection of AI and

1. Nick Bostrom. “Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?” *Philosophical Quarterly*, 53, no. 211, (2003): 243.

2. David Chalmers, *The Character of Consciousness* (Oxford University Press, 2010), 119.

philosophy thus opens up new avenues for inquiry into the very essence of being and the reality we inhabit.

The Ontology of Algorithms: Nature of Existence and Metaphysical Status of AI-Generated Contents

In examining the ontological status of algorithms and AI systems, it is crucial also to look at the nature of their existence and the metaphysical status of the content they generate. Algorithms, which function as structured sequences of instructions, and AI systems, which execute these algorithms, have raised profound questions about the very essence of what constitutes reality, agency, and being in the age of advanced technology. As they grow in complexity and capacity, these systems not only simulate real-world environments and experiences but also produce autonomous outputs raising questions about the metaphysical status of the realities they generate. How should we understand the ontological status of AI-generated content, such as synthetic images, virtual environments, and simulated interactions? And, more broadly, what does the existence of AI systems themselves imply about the nature of being in a world increasingly mediated by algorithms?

At its core, ontology concerns itself with the nature of existence what things are, how they come into being, and what it means for something to exist. Traditionally, this field has dealt with tangible objects or abstract entities with clear criteria for existence. However, the rise of AI and algorithmic systems challenges this perception. Unlike physical objects, algorithms exist as abstract instructions, immaterial yet integral to the functioning of digital systems. As John Searle points out, computational systems, including AI, function based on “syntax without semantics,” meaning that algorithms process symbols without any inherent understanding of the content they manipulate.³ This leads to the question: Can algorithms, as immaterial and abstract structures, possess ontological status, or are they merely functional tools devoid of independent existence?

While algorithms are typically considered abstract, AI systems, which embody these algorithms, manifest in more tangible forms. They are coded into machines that interact with the physical world, collecting data, processing information, and generating outputs that influence human actions. These systems exist at the intersection of the physical and the abstract, with their being tied not just to the software that drives them, but also to the hardware that enables their execution. AI systems thus occupy a unique ontological category neither purely physical nor purely abstract, but rather existing as “hybrid entities”.

³. John Searle. *Minds, Brains, and Science* (Harvard University Press, 1984), 18.

This hybrid existence prompts a reevaluation of how we conceptualize the reality and “being” of such systems. As Gunkel argues, AI systems “blur the traditional boundaries between subject and object, agency and instrumentality.”⁴ In doing so, they introduce new complexities to ontological discussions, challenging traditional metaphysical distinctions. One particularly significant aspect of the ontology of AI systems is the status of the content they generate. AI systems are increasingly capable of creating contents that mimics or surpasses human outputs, from artistic works and music to text, videos, and even entire virtual worlds. These AI-generated contents raise important metaphysical questions: Are they “real” in any meaningful sense? Should they be considered extensions of human creativity or distinct entities with their own ontological status? Philosophers like David Chalmers have discussed how virtual realities, including those created by AI, may represent a new type of existence, one that is synthetic but not necessarily inferior to physical reality.⁵ According to this view, AI-generated contents, while artificially constructed, can have genuine metaphysical significance if it provides coherent, immersive experiences akin to those in the physical world.

The metaphysical status of AI-generated contents also prompts inquiries into the nature of reality itself. For instance, in the realm of “deepfakes”, AI systems can generate hyper-realistic images and videos of people that are indistinguishable from real footage. These creations challenge our traditional understanding of reality, raising concerns about authenticity, trust, and the nature of representation. If AI can create content that convincingly simulates reality, what does this mean for our criteria for what is real? Some scholars, like Floridi, have argued that AI-generated realities represent an emerging class of “artificial entities” with their own ontological weight.⁶ They are not merely imitations but new forms of being that coexist with the physical world, necessitating a reassessment of what we consider authentic or real.

The simulation hypothesis adds another layer to this discussion by suggesting that AI-generated realities could, in principle, replicate the totality of human experience. According to Nick Bostrom's famous argument, it is possible that an advanced civilization could create a simulation so sophisticated that its inhabitants would be unaware that they are living within it.⁷ If AI systems were to reach such a level of complexity, their creations

4. David Gunkel. *The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics*. (MIT Press, 2012), 42.

5. Chalmers. *The Character of Consciousness*, 139.

6. Luciano Floridi. *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality* (Oxford University Press, 2014), 5

7. Bostrom. “Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?”, 245

could attain a status indistinguishable from what we perceive as “reality.” This possibility forces us to reconsider the metaphysical status of not just AI-generated contents, but of our entire existence. Are we, in essence, products of a higher-order algorithm, living in a simulated reality created by AI-like systems? While this remains a speculative hypothesis, it highlights the growing philosophical importance of understanding the ontological implications of AI.

Finally, the autonomy of AI systems also raises questions about agency and ethical responsibility in relation to their ontological status. As AI systems generate contents and make decisions independently of human input, they may begin to assume a form of “quasi-agency”, acting in ways that suggest intentionality or purpose. This leads to debates about whether AI systems could ever be considered true agents, with their own rights, responsibilities, and moral standing. As Gunkel points out, while AI does not possess consciousness or moral agency in the traditional sense, its growing role in shaping reality necessitates a rethinking of its ethical and ontological status.⁸ The boundaries between human and machine, creator and creation, real and artificial, continue to blur as AI systems evolve, compelling us to reevaluate long-held metaphysical assumptions.

The Connection between Algorithmic Ontology and Simulated Reality

The intersection of algorithmic ontology and simulated reality represents a profound shift in both philosophical and technological discourse. Algorithms, as structured sets of rules used for problem-solving and computation, form the foundation of artificial intelligence (AI) systems and simulation technologies. These systems are increasingly capable of creating simulated realities that not only mimic aspects of the physical world but also generate entirely new forms of existence. At this intersection, key philosophical questions arise concerning the nature of reality, ontology, and the metaphysical status of AI-generated simulations. How do algorithms, as abstract entities, contribute to the construction of reality? And to what extent can these simulated environments challenge or redefine our understanding of what is real?

Algorithmic ontology refers to the study of the nature of being in relation to algorithms and computational systems. In this context, algorithms exist as immaterial entities that, despite their lack of physical form, have a profound impact on the physical and digital worlds. Algorithms underpin the functionality of AI systems, driving their ability to process data, make decisions, and generate outputs. As Luciano Floridi argues, “Algorithms are not just

⁸. Gunkel. *The Machine Question*, 45

mathematical formulas; they are ontological agents that shape the world by determining how information is processed and interpreted.”⁹ From this perspective, algorithms can be seen as ontological actors, mediating between data and reality by creating new forms of experience and interaction in both physical and digital environments. In the realm of simulated reality, algorithms play a dominant role in creating digital worlds that can mimic or transcend the physical world. These simulations, often driven by AI systems, raise important questions about the ontological status of the virtual environments they produce. For instance, video games, Virtual Reality (VR), and other digital simulations offer users immersive experiences that closely resemble real-world sensations and interactions. As these technologies advance, they challenge traditional distinctions between reality and illusion. The philosophical implications of these developments are profound, as they suggest that reality itself can be algorithmically constructed. David Chalmers notes, “A sufficiently advanced simulation could be indistinguishable from the physical world, blurring the line between what is real and what is virtual.”¹⁰ In this view, algorithms do not merely represent reality but actively construct it, contributing to the ontological framework within which simulated realities exist.

Another key intersection between algorithmic ontology and simulated reality is the concept of emergent properties. Algorithms, when combined in complex systems, can produce behaviors and outputs that are not explicitly programmed but emerge from the interaction of simpler components. In the context of simulated reality, these emergent properties can lead to the creation of dynamic, evolving environments that are not entirely predictable or controllable by their creators. For example, AI-driven simulations such as “The Sims” or complex multiplayer online games like “World of Warcraft” exhibit emergent behaviors as players interact with the algorithmic systems, generating unpredictable outcomes and new forms of digital existence. These environments, while grounded in algorithms, take on a life of their own, raising questions about the reality of experiences and interactions within them. As Gunkel suggests, “Emergent behaviors in algorithmic systems highlight the capacity of these systems to create new ontological categories, blurring the distinction between the artificial and the real.”¹¹

The simulation hypothesis, as articulated by Nick Bostrom, further demonstrates the connection of algorithmic ontology and simulated reality. Bostrom's hypothesis posits that our reality could be an artificial simulation created by a highly advanced civilization,

9. Floridi. *The Fourth Revolution*, 25.

10. Chalmers. *The Character of Consciousness*, 151.

11. Gunkel. *The Machine Question*, 48

potentially driven by complex algorithms.¹² If true, this would imply that our entire experience of reality is the product of an algorithmic system, raising profound questions about the nature of existence and the metaphysical status of simulated worlds. According to Bostrom, “If a sufficiently advanced civilization could create such simulations, it is more likely that we are living in a simulation than in a base reality.”¹³ This hypothesis suggests that the ontological status of simulated realities is not fundamentally different from the reality we experience every day, challenging long-held distinctions between appearance and reality. In this framework, algorithmic systems have the potential to create realities that are indistinguishable from the “real” world, thus forcing a reevaluation of what it means for something to exist. Moreover, the increasing complexity of AI systems and their role in creating simulated realities introduces questions about epistemology—the nature of knowledge and how we come to know what is real. If algorithms can create convincing simulations of reality, to what extent can we trust our perceptions of the world around us? Jean Baudrillard's concepts of “simulacra” and “simulation” address this issue by suggesting that in a world increasingly mediated by simulations, the distinction between reality and representation becomes blurred.¹⁴ In Baudrillard's view, simulations can replace reality to the point where the simulation itself becomes “hyperreal,” more real than the reality it represents. AI-driven simulations, fueled by sophisticated algorithms, contribute to this “hyperreal condition”, where digital and simulated experiences are perceived just as, or even more, real than their physical counterparts.

Finally, intersection of algorithmic ontology and simulated reality raises ethical questions about the nature of AI-generated environments and the treatment of entities within them. As algorithms create increasingly sophisticated simulations, it becomes necessary to consider the moral status of the beings that inhabit these simulated worlds. Are AI-generated entities in simulations deserving of moral consideration? Should we treat them as mere constructs or as beings with their own ontological status? As pointed out by David J. Gunkel, “The development of AI and simulated realities compels us to rethink the boundaries of moral agency and the ethical treatment of artificial entities.”¹⁵ These questions highlight the need for a deeper understanding of the ontological implications of algorithms and the worlds they create, as well as the responsibilities that come with the creation of simulated realities.

^{12.} Bostrom. “Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?”, 245

^{13.} Bostrom. “Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?”, 245

^{14.} Jean Baudrillard. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser, (University of Michigan Press, 1994), 6.

^{15.} Gunkel. *The Machine Question*, 5

Simulated Reality and Its Implications for our Understanding of Reality

The simulation hypothesis, as famously articulated by Nick Bostrom, posits that our reality may be an artificial simulation created by an advanced civilization. This hypothesis suggests that, given the exponential advancement of computing technologies, it is possible for future civilizations to create simulations so advanced that the conscious beings within them would be unable to distinguish between the simulated world and a "base" or original reality. The simulation hypothesis has profound implications for how we understand reality, challenging long-held philosophical assumptions about existence, consciousness and perception. It also introduces significant consequences for how we relate to technology, questioning whether the digital worlds we create today could eventually surpass or mirror what we believe to be "real." According to Bostrom's argument, if a sufficiently advanced civilization can run realistic simulations of consciousness and reality, it is statistically more likely that we are living in one of these simulations rather than in the base reality.¹⁶ This assertion challenges traditional ontological categories by suggesting that our experiences of the physical world may not correspond to an objective reality, but instead to a highly sophisticated computational construct. The implication of this hypothesis is overwhelming, as it forces us to reconsider the nature of existence itself. If we accept the possibility of being in a simulation, concepts like space, time, matter and even identity might be understood not as fundamental aspects of reality but as parameters within a sophisticated computer program.

One of the major implications of the simulation hypothesis is the *epistemological uncertainty* it introduces. The nature of knowledge; how we know what we know, becomes radically uncertain if our entire reality could be a simulation. As David Chalmers notes, "If we are in a simulation, then many of our ordinary beliefs about the external world would be false or at least systematically misleading."¹⁷ This uncertainty raises questions about the reliability of empirical knowledge and scientific investigation. Can we trust the laws of physics and the findings of science if they only describe the inner workings of a simulation? Such questions echo the concerns of René Descartes, who famously doubted the certainty of his own perceptions in the face of possible deception by a higher power.¹⁸ In the case of the simulation hypothesis, the "deceiver" is not a malevolent being, but a computer program or an advanced civilization.

¹⁶. Bostrom. "Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?", 245

¹⁷. Chalmers. *The Character of Consciousness*, 144

¹⁸. René Descartes. *Meditations on First Philosophy*. Translated by Donald A. Cress, (Hackett Publishing, 1993), 34

Furthermore, the simulation hypothesis has significant metaphysical implications. Traditionally, metaphysics has sought to understand the nature of existence and the fundamental structure of reality. The simulation hypothesis disrupts this endeavor by suggesting that what we perceive as "reality" may not be fundamental at all. If we are in a simulation, then the true nature of reality lies outside of our accessible domain, perhaps in the form of a higher-dimensional space or an entirely different form of existence. This reframing of reality challenges the metaphysical assumption that there is a clear distinction between appearance and reality. As Plato's allegory of the cave illustrates, human beings often mistake shadows on a cave wall for reality.¹⁹ In the context of the simulation hypothesis, our perception of the world may be akin to those shadows, obscuring the true nature of existence beyond the simulated environment.

Another profound consequence of the simulation hypothesis is its potential impact on free will and agency. If we are living in a simulation, our choices and actions may be predetermined or influenced by the parameters set by the simulators. This raises questions about the autonomy of simulated beings and whether free will can exist in a reality controlled by external forces. As Daniel Dennett observes, "If our actions are programmed or predetermined by the simulators, then our sense of free will might be an illusion."²⁰ This suggests that even our most personal decisions may be the result of preordained processes within the simulation, rather than true expressions of individual autonomy. Moreover, the simulation hypothesis invites us to consider the ethical implications of creating simulated realities. If advanced civilizations are capable of creating simulations that contain conscious beings, what responsibilities do they hold toward those beings? Should the simulators be held accountable for the suffering or well-being of entities within the simulation? These questions raise profound ethical concerns about the treatment of conscious entities, whether they are biological or artificial. As David J. Gunkel argues, "The possibility that we could be living in a simulation compels us to consider the moral status of simulated entities and the ethical obligations we have toward them."²¹ This ethical dimension extends beyond the hypothetical simulators to our own practices in creating AI and virtual worlds. If we are creating simulations with conscious beings, do we have a responsibility to ensure their well-being and respect their autonomy?

The simulation hypothesis also carries implications for how we approach spirituality and religion. Many religious and spiritual traditions posit the existence of a higher reality or

^{19.} Plato. *The Republic*. Translated by G.M.A. Grube, (Hackett Publishing, 1992), 514a

^{20.} Daniel Dennett. *Freedom Evolves* (Viking Penguin, 2003), 97.

^{21.} Gunkel. *The Machine Question*, 65

divine being who created the universe. In this context, the simulation hypothesis can be interpreted as a technological version of creationism. The advanced beings or simulators who created our reality could be seen as gods or higher powers, responsible for the existence of our world. This perspective offers a new outlook for understanding the relationship between technology and the divine, suggesting that our creators may not be supernatural beings, but technologically advanced entities from another reality. As Yuval Noah Harari observes, "The simulation hypothesis introduces a new dimension to our understanding of divine creation, one that fuses traditional religious narratives with cutting-edge technology."²²

Conclusion:

The intersection of algorithmic ontology and simulated reality brings forth profound implications for philosophy, particularly in the realms of metaphysics and epistemology. As AI systems and algorithms increasingly construct sophisticated simulations, they challenge our traditional understanding of the nature of existence and what it means for something to be "real." In metaphysics, this leads to a reconsideration of the ontological status of entities in both digital and physical realms, forcing us to question whether the boundaries between simulation and reality are as distinct as previously thought. If simulated realities can mimic or even surpass the complexity and richness of the physical world, we may need to expand our definitions of being and reality to include AI-generated worlds.

From an epistemological perspective, the rise of AI-driven simulations undermines the certainty with which we perceive and understand the world. As simulations become more realistic and pervasive, it becomes harder to distinguish between what is "real" and what is algorithmically constructed, challenging the reliability of our senses and our ability to gain true knowledge about the world. This epistemological uncertainty invites a reexamination of how knowledge is acquired, and whether traditional empirical methods can still be trusted in a world mediated by simulations and AI. As such, the capacity to create realistic simulations holds great potential for advancing scientific research, allowing scientists to model complex systems and test hypotheses in controlled virtual environments. However, it also raises concerns about the future ethical responsibilities of those who create and interact with AI systems and simulated realities. The potential for creating conscious AI or entities with emergent properties within these simulations demands a reevaluation of our moral obligations toward these entities, challenging current ethical standards and pushing

²². Yuval Noah Harari. *Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow*. (Harper, 2017), 299.

the boundaries of scientific responsibility. Thus, the ongoing developments in algorithmic ontology and simulated reality not only reshape our philosophical understanding of existence and knowledge but also present new opportunities and challenges for science and technology. These advancements compel us to confront foundational questions about reality, perception, and morality as we navigate an increasingly digital and simulated world.

Bibliography

- Asimov, Isaac. *I, Robot*. Gnome Press, 1950.
- Baudrillard, Jean. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser, University of Michigan Press, 1994.
- Bostrom, Nick. "Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?" *Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 53, no. 211, 2003, pp. 243–255.
- Bostrom, Nick. *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford University Press, 2014.
- Chalmers, David J. *The Character of Consciousness*. Oxford University Press, 2010.
- Dennett, Daniel C. *Freedom Evolves*. Viking Penguin, 2003.
- Descartes, René. *Meditations on First Philosophy*. Translated by Donald A. Cress, Hackett Publishing, 1993.
- Floridi, Luciano. *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality*. Oxford University Press, 2014.
- Floridi, Luciano, and Josh Cows. "A Unified Framework of Five Principles for AI in Society." *Harvard Data Science Review*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2019, pp. 699-706
- Gunkel, David J. *The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics*. MIT Press, 2012.
- Harari, Yuval Noah. *Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow*. Harper, 2017.
- Ihde, Don. *Technology and the Lifeworld: From Garden to Earth*. Indiana University Press, 1990.
- Pasquale, Frank. *The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information*. Harvard University Press, 2015
- Plato. *The Republic*. Translated by G.M.A. Grube, Hackett Publishing, 1992.
- Searle, John R. *Minds, Brains, and Science*. Harvard University Press, 1984.
- Tegmark, Max. *Life 3.0: Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. Knopf, 2017.
- Verbeek, Peter-Paul. *What Things Do: Philosophical Reflections on Technology, Agency, and Design*. Penn State University Press, 2005.
- Zuboff, Shoshana. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. Public Affairs, 2019.