

SACRED SPACES AND SUSTAINABLE PLACES: INTEGRATING RELIGION AND GEOGRAPHY EDUCATION IN ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES AND PRESERVATION

Segun Ayotunde Olulowo, PhD

*Department of Religious Studies,
University of Lagos*

&

Gladys Adetoun Emiola, PhD

*Department of Social Sciences Education,
University of Lagos*

Abstract

This study explores the integrative potential of religious and geographical education in shaping ethically grounded and environmentally conscious learners. In response to the intensifying global ecological crisis, it examines how faith-based moral frameworks and geographical literacy can be merged within pedagogical contexts to foster holistic environmental responsibility. Geography, as a discipline, equips students with critical spatial thinking and analytical tools to understand human–environment interactions and sustainability challenges. However, knowledge alone often lacks the ethical and emotional impetus needed for transformative action. Religious education introduces moral imagination, sacred responsibility, and spiritual accountability—concepts deeply rooted in traditions that emphasize stewardship, interconnectedness, and reverence for creation. By synthesizing insights from Christian theology, environmental ethics, and place-based pedagogy, this study proposes an interdisciplinary model that nurtures learners in both mind and spirit. It posits that integrating sacred values into curriculum design can move environmental education beyond cognitive understanding toward ethical commitment and compassionate action. The research underscores the importance of culturally responsive and theologically informed teaching approaches that resonate with learners’ spiritual and communal identities. The findings advocate for a values-driven educational paradigm that is academically rigorous and morally responsive, calling educators and theologians to collaborate in equipping future generations for ecological discipleship and civic stewardship. Implications for curriculum reform and teacher preparation are also discussed.

Keywords: Sacred spaces, sustainable places, integrating religion, geography in environmental studies

Introduction

The world today faces a growing environmental crisis, including climate change, deforestation, biodiversity loss, and pollution. These are not only scientific or technical issues—they are deeply ethical and spiritual as well. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2023), the continuation of current unsustainable trends could cause irreversible harm to vital ecosystems. While global efforts are underway, they often lack moral and cultural grounding, relying heavily on technical knowledge. This signals the need for a more integrated educational model—one that combines science with ethics, critical thought with spiritual insight, and knowledge with compassion.

Religion, especially through teachings on stewardship, justice, and care for creation, offers powerful ethical tools for ecological responsibility. Meanwhile, geography helps learners understand spatial patterns, environmental systems, and human-environment relationships. Together, religion and geography can provide a fuller, more values-driven understanding of environmental issues. Sacred spaces, often spiritually significant and ecologically rich, show how closely linked faith and environmental preservation can be. Yet despite growing awareness, a major gap persists between scientific facts and the moral values needed to inspire lasting environmental action (Palmer, 2013; Orr, 2004). Most environmental education still lacks spiritual depth, weakening its transformative power. This study aims to bridge that divide by exploring how integrating religious values into geography education can nurture both understanding and responsibility.

By merging the moral frameworks of religion with the analytical tools of geography, this study contributes to a more holistic model of environmental education. It seeks to inspire not just intellectual knowledge but heartfelt commitment to sustainability, particularly among young people in both secular and faith-based learning settings. Ultimately, the goal is to foster a generation equipped to care for the Earth—mindfully, morally, and meaningfully.

Scope and Significance

This paper examines how integrating religion with geography education deepens students' understanding of environmental issues by merging spatial awareness with moral insight. Geography equips learners to analyze environmental systems and sustainability, but when taught without values, it can lack ethical urgency (UNESCO, 2020). Religious education fills this gap by offering spiritual accountability and ethical reasoning. Many faith traditions emphasize stewardship and harmony with nature, inspiring compassionate responses to ecological crises (Hessel & Ruether, 2000). Combining these disciplines nurtures what may be called *moral spatial literacy*—the capacity to understand place and

environment with ethical intent. For example, teaching about desertification alongside religious perspectives on land care fosters both critical thinking and moral reflection. This interdisciplinary approach supports global goals, such as UNESCO's Education for Sustainable Development, which encourages curricula that blend ecological, cultural, and spiritual dimensions. Ultimately, this fusion prepares students to become environmentally aware and ethically grounded citizens who are equipped to care for the Earth.

Research Questions

1. How can religious teachings on environmental stewardship be effectively integrated into geography education to promote ecological awareness and action?
2. How do religious beliefs and practices influence human relationships with the environment in sacred spaces?
3. What are the perceived roles of moral and spiritual values in shaping students' attitudes and behaviors toward environmental conservation and sustainable practices?
4. In what ways can place-based religious concepts (e.g., sacred landscapes, creation theology) be used to enhance students' understanding of geographical and ecological systems?
5. What interdisciplinary teaching strategies can be developed to combine religious ethics and geographical knowledge in environmental education?
6. How do students and educators respond to the integration of religious worldviews in geography-based environmental curricula?

Research Objectives

The study aimed at exploring the connections between sacred spaces and sustainable places, through the integration of religion and geography education in environmental studies and preservation. The objectives which guided the research are to:

1. explore ways in which religious teachings on environmental stewardship can be effectively integrated into geography education to promote ecological awareness and action,
2. determine how religious beliefs and practices influence human relationships with the environment in sacred spaces,
3. ascertain the perceived roles of moral and spiritual values in shaping students' attitudes and behaviors toward environmental conservation and sustainable practices,

4. examine ways in which place-based religious concepts (e.g., sacred landscapes, creation theology) can be used to enhance students' understanding of geographical and ecological systems,
5. determine which interdisciplinary teaching strategies can be developed to combine religious ethics and geographical knowledge in environmental education,
6. examine how students and educators respond to the integration of religious worldviews in geography-based environmental curricula.

Guiding Themes

Moral and Ethical Dimensions of Environmental Education: Exploring how religious values (e.g., stewardship, sacredness of nature) shape environmental responsibility.

Interdisciplinary Pedagogy: Investigating curriculum and teaching methods that blend religious studies with geographical concepts. **Sacred Spaces and Spatial Awareness:** Understanding how religiously significant places can be used to teach about geography, sustainability, and ecology. **Faith-Based Environmental Responsibility:** Examining the role of religion in motivating personal and collective ecological action.

Transformative and Value-Based Learning: Promoting education that engages both cognitive understanding and spiritual commitment to environmental preservation.

Theoretical Foundations

Geography Education and Environmental Awareness

Geography education equips learners with tools to understand and respond to environmental challenges. Lambert and Morgan (2010) highlight its role in developing spatial thinking, sustainability awareness, and environmental stewardship through inquiry and fieldwork. Kent (2022) reinforces this by emphasizing geography's potential in systems thinking and its interdisciplinary nature, linking science, ethics, and civic engagement. Brooks (2020) adds that connecting students to real-world issues fosters moral agency and civic responsibility. However, Catling (2014) points out inconsistent teaching of environmental ethics, while Mitchell (2015) critiques the dominance of technical content over moral and cultural aspects. Although the literature values geography's role in environmental education, it often overlooks spiritual or religious dimensions. This study fills that gap by integrating faith-based ethics to promote a holistic, values-driven environmental education model.

Definitions and Goals of Geography Education

Geography education involves learning about the relationships between people and their physical environment across various settings—schools, religious spaces, and beyond. It nurtures lifelong learning, spatial awareness, and environmental literacy, helping learners understand concepts like space, place, and distance. Lambert and Morgan (2010) opined that geography empowers students to think critically about interdependence and sustainability, making it vital for global citizenship. Catling and Willy (2018) also emphasize geography's role in fostering inquiry and informed decision-making. However, tensions remain around its goals. While Rawling (2014) stresses technical and scientific competencies, others advocate for ethical and value-based learning. Bednarz (2010) observes that geography's civic potential is often overlooked, with many curricula emphasizing memorization over meaningful engagement with global issues. A key gap in the literature is the insufficient inclusion of moral and spiritual perspectives. This study addresses that gap by proposing an integrated model that merges religious ethics with geography education to inspire a deeper sense of environmental responsibility.

Geography's role in understanding environmental processes, patterns, and problems

Geography is central to understanding environmental processes, though scholars offer both support and critique of its role. Castree et al. (2013) highlight geography's strength in interpreting environmental change through spatial patterns and human-environment dynamics, while Morgan (2012) emphasizes its capacity to build environmental awareness and civic responsibility. However, critics argue it has limitations. Bonnett (2007) cautions that geography often emphasizes technical content, sidelining ethical and emotional engagement needed for genuine stewardship. Slater (2013) further critiques its Western-centric lens, which can marginalize indigenous knowledge and spiritual connections to nature. This study responds to these gaps by blending geography with religious and ethical values to inspire more holistic and responsible environmental understanding.

Key concepts

1. *Spatial Thinking*: Spatial thinking enables learners to interpret relationships across locations, supporting decisions in planning and disaster response (Gersmehl & Gersmehl, 2007).
2. *Systems Thinking*: Systems thinking reveals environmental and social issues as interconnected, promoting holistic, critical approaches to complex problems (Sterling, 2003).

3. *Sustainability*: Sustainability promotes justice-driven, responsible action by balancing environment, economy, and equity for future generations (Brundtland Report, 1987).

Religious Worldviews on Nature

Overview of environmental ethics in major religions

Christianity: Christianity has played a vital role in shaping environmental ethics, especially through ideas like stewardship and creation care. White (1967) argued that the biblical call for dominion encouraged environmental exploitation, sparking theological reassessment. Responding to this, Hessel and Ruether (2000) urge a reinterpretation of dominion as stewardship, where humans act as caretakers of creation. DeWitt (2006) reinforces this with the concept of “earth keeping,” framing environmental responsibility as a sacred duty. Yet, Northcott (2007) cautions that such views may still center too much on human needs. Bouma-Prediger (2010) therefore advocates for a theology that values all of creation intrinsically. This study addresses the gap by integrating Christian ecological ethics with geography education to inspire informed and ethical environmental action.

Islam: Islamic environmental ethics are grounded in the concepts of *mīzān* (balance) and *khilāfah* (trusteeship), with the Qur’an presenting nature as a divinely balanced system human must protect (Foltz, 2003; Nasr, 1996). *Mīzān* reflects ecological harmony, while *khilāfah* emphasizes humanity’s duty as stewards accountable to God. These principles offer strong ethical foundations for sustainability. However, their impact is often limited in practice. Kamali (2010) observes that despite their richness, these concepts are seldom applied effectively, partly due to weak institutional support. Saniotis (2012) adds that socio-political and economic pressures often sideline ecological teachings in Muslim societies. This study responds by proposing a practical framework that integrates Islamic ecological ethics into environmental education—bridging spiritual values, geography, and sustainability for real-world impact.

African Traditional Religion: African Traditional Religion (ATR) offers a distinctive environmental ethic rooted in sacred ecology and ancestor reverence. Mawere (2013) explains that African cosmologies view nature as spiritually alive, with rivers, trees, and animals seen as homes of deities and spirits—protected through taboos and rituals. Mugambi (2003) adds that ancestor veneration reinforces care for the land, linking moral duty to both past and future generations. However, scholars like Onah (2017) argue that ATR’s eco-values, while profound, are often localized and unwritten, making them hard to integrate into formal education. Agang (2016) also critiques certain traditional practices

that may clash with global conservation ethics. Despite these limitations, ATR's spiritual ecology remains rich but underutilized. This study addresses that gap by transforming ATR's environmental values into practical, interdisciplinary tools that connect faith, geography, and sustainability education. It highlights ATR not merely as tradition, but as a viable ethical framework for contemporary environmental learning.

Contribution of moral Frameworks to Ecological Behavior

Moral frameworks are widely seen as influential in shaping environmental behavior, though scholars vary in their views on how this influence plays out. Schultz et al. (2005) argue that values rooted in empathy strongly predict pro-environmental behavior, a view echoed by Kearns (2015), who emphasizes the role of religious teachings on stewardship. However, Kollmuss and Agyeman (2002) point out a persistent "value-action gap," where people express environmental values but don't act on them—often due to habits or socioeconomic barriers. Head and Muir (2006) also warn that moral messaging alone is insufficient without structural and educational support. While consensus exists on the value of moral influence, these frameworks—especially spiritual and religious ones—are underutilized in geography education. This study proposes a model integrating ethical-religious values with spatial learning to help close the gap between intention and action.

Spiritual Ecology

Definition and principles: Sustainability is commonly defined as meeting current needs without compromising future generations (WCED, 1987), forming a basis for educational and policy agendas. Scholars like Blewitt (2014) and Sterling (2001) promote a holistic, transformative model that balances environmental integrity, economic strength, and social equity, while fostering critical thinking and ethical responsibility. However, critics raise valid concerns. Dobson (2007) argues that sustainability is often vague and easily co-opted by economic interests, lacking clear moral direction. Wals (2010) further critiques its overly technical application, especially in education, where emotional and cultural dimensions are sidelined. While the literature calls for ethical, holistic sustainability, it often overlooks deeper spiritual or moral motivations. This study fills that gap by integrating geography's spatial systems with religious ethical teachings—bridging science, values, and education for lasting environmental stewardship.

Interconnectedness of life and moral responsibility

Scholars widely affirm that recognizing the interconnectedness of life deepens moral responsibility toward the environment. Berry (1999) sees Earth as a sacred community where all species share a common destiny. In the same spirit, Tucker and Grim (2001)

promote a spiritual ecology that calls religious traditions to reawaken their ecological ethics. These perspectives frame care for nature as part of caring for ourselves and others. Yet, Latour (2004) critiques the simplistic divide between nature and society, arguing that such narratives may ignore human power dynamics. Soper (1995) adds that genuine moral responsibility demands political and structural transformation. While the literature emphasizes spiritual interconnectedness, few educational models combine these values with geographic understanding. This study addresses that gap.

The spiritual dimension of environmental sustainability

Environmental sustainability is increasingly viewed not only as a scientific or political challenge but also as a spiritual one. Berry (2009) emphasizes that reconnecting with the sacredness of the Earth can inspire lasting care and ecological responsibility. Tucker and Grim (2001) also affirm that diverse faith traditions—like Christianity, Buddhism, and Indigenous beliefs—offer valuable ethical foundations for environmental stewardship. In contrast, White (1967) critiques Western religious traditions, especially Christianity, for encouraging a human-centered view that fuels environmental harm. Taylor (2010) further warns that relying too heavily on spiritual narratives may overlook the need for structural reforms and scientific solutions. While many scholars support the role of spirituality in sustainability, few propose actionable models for embedding it in education. This study addresses that gap by integrating religious ethics with geography education to foster both ecological awareness and moral responsibility.

Integrative Pedagogical Approaches

Place-Based Religious Geography

Teaching sacred sites and environmental significance: Many scholars agree that sacred sites like forests, rivers, and shrines carry deep ecological and cultural significance, making them valuable for environmental education. Posey (1999) and Odzuck (2019) highlight how such sites, grounded in indigenous and religious traditions, foster environmental reverence and biodiversity protection through taboos and spiritual teachings. However, De Lacy and Lawson (1997) warn that presenting sacred sites in secular or diverse classrooms risks misrepresenting or oversimplifying complex beliefs. Hill (2011) further cautions against romanticizing indigenous traditions without addressing modern environmental issues; while the educational potential of sacred sites is clear, a structured, ethical approach is lacking. This study addresses that gap by developing an interdisciplinary framework that blends geography and religious education to promote ecological literacy.

Combining physical geography with religious meaning: Several scholars advocate blending physical geography with religious meaning to deepen environmental awareness. Kong (2001) highlights that sacred places like mountains and rivers carry both geographic and spiritual significance, fostering ethical attachment to the land. Grim and Tucker (2014) also suggest that religious worldviews can frame the Earth as a sacred trust, encouraging environmental care through spiritual responsibility. However, not all perspectives are aligned. Soper (2007) cautions that religious interpretations may exclude secular or pluralistic understandings of place, while Mitchell (2009) warns against simplifying complex systems through religious narratives. Although the integration of faith and geography shows promise, existing literature lacks clear educational models. This study addresses that gap by proposing an inclusive, structured pedagogy that combines sacred geography with environmental stewardship and spatial understanding.

Ethics and Environmental Decision-Making

Developing moral reasoning through religious education: Religious education is widely seen as a tool for developing moral reasoning, though scholars offer varied perspectives. Wright (2004) and Cooling (2010) highlight its value in fostering empathy, ethical reflection, and engagement with justice and responsibility through real-life contexts. These views emphasize its transformative role. However, Jackson (2014) cautions that religious education can become indoctrinated if overly confessional, limiting open dialogue. Gearon (2013) further questions its inclusivity when framed within a single tradition, especially in diverse societies. While there's consensus on its moral influence, a notable gap exists: its limited integration with secular disciplines like geography. This study addresses that gap by proposing a blended approach—merging religious ethical insight with geography's spatial reasoning to promote holistic environmental responsibility.

Supporting informed decision-making using geographic data: Geographic data plays a key role in evidence-based environmental decisions. Goodchild (2007) highlights how GIS and spatial tools improve policy-making and planning by clarifying complex spatial patterns. Likewise, Sui and Goodchild (2011) show that location-based data helps tailor responses to local risks like flooding. Yet, concerns remain. Leszczynski (2012) warns that geographic data often overlooks ethical and cultural insights, while Elwood (2006) argues it can marginalize local voices, especially in indigenous communities. This study addresses those gaps by combining religious education and geography, offering a model that values spiritual, moral, and cultural perspectives in environmental decision-making.

Values-based vs. utilitarian approaches to sustainability: Sustainability discourse often falls into two camps: values-based and utilitarian. The values-based view emphasizes

ethics and moral responsibility. Orr (1994) sees sustainability as a moral issue needing cultural and educational reform, while Sterling (2003) promotes “whole systems thinking” rooted in ethical change. In contrast, utilitarian thinkers like Lomborg (2001) focus on outcomes, using economic measures to guide sustainable decisions. However, Naess (1995) warns this can result in shallow, convenience-based environmentalism. Critics of the values-based approach argue it may be too idealistic. This study addresses the gap by blending religious ethics with geographic reasoning to inspire both values and practical action.

Curriculum Innovations

Interdisciplinary teaching strategies: Interdisciplinary teaching enhances critical thinking by connecting knowledge across subjects. Beane (1997) highlights its strength in linking student experiences to real-world challenges, while Drake and Burns (2004) show its relevance in tackling complex issues like climate change and urbanization through integrated learning. However, Repko (2012) warns that despite its promise, poor implementation and teacher preparation can hinder effectiveness. Wineburg and Grossman (2000) add that disciplinary boundaries serve a purpose—ensuring methodological clarity—which may be lost without proper integration. A key gap remains: most interdisciplinary approaches neglect religious and ethical dimensions in environmental education. This study addresses that by proposing a faith-informed model, blending geography with moral and spiritual perspectives to nurture value-driven, eco-conscious learners.

Sample modules and themes

Sacred Landscapes and Ecological Degradation: Scholars agree that sacred landscapes—forests, rivers, mountains, and shrines—carry deep spiritual and ecological meaning. Berger (2008) highlights that such sites are often conserved due to religious reverence, not just ecological value. Likewise, Studley (2005) notes that sacredness in indigenous and faith-based communities helps protect biodiversity by discouraging exploitation. Yet, concerns arise as Ramakrishnan (2001) warns that modernization and weakened traditional beliefs are undermining these protections. Carmichael et al. (1994) add that sacred sites can sometimes limit access or hide harmful power shifts when local stewardship declines. While research often treats sacred landscapes as conservation tools or cultural casualties, few connect them to education. This study addresses that gap by integrating sacred values with geography teaching to promote lasting environmental care.

Religion, Climate Change, and Environmental Activism: Religion holds significant potential to inspire environmental responsibility. Tucker and Grim (2001) emphasize that

religious traditions offer moral narratives—like stewardship and sacred duty—that can motivate climate action. Hitzhusen (2007) echoes this, highlighting how faith-based teachings ground sustainability in divine purpose and community ethics. Yet, not all scholars are optimistic. White (1967) famously criticized Western Christianity for encouraging a dominion mindset that fosters ecological harm. Gottlieb (2006) also points out that, despite scriptural references to nature, religious institutions often show selective or limited engagement, especially when challenged by politics or economics. While the moral capacity of religion is acknowledged, few studies explore how geography education can practically apply religious values for local environmental activism. This study addresses that gap.

Climate Change and Environmental Activism: Religion holds powerful potential to shape environmental consciousness. Tucker and Grim (2001) highlight how spiritual traditions offer moral narratives like stewardship and sacred duty that can motivate climate action. Hitzhusen (2007) reinforces this by showing how faith-based ethics can ground sustainable living in divine obligation. Yet, critics urge caution. White (1967) famously critiqued Western Christianity for encouraging dominion over nature, leading to ecological harm. Gottlieb (2006) adds that despite ecological themes in sacred texts, many religious institutions remain passive or selective, often hindered by politics or economics. Although scholars recognize religion's ethical influence, little attention has been given to how geography education can practically use religious values to drive place-based environmental action. This study addresses that gap by blending religious ethics with spatial thinking to promote community-rooted climate responsibility.

Environmental Justice from Faith and Geographic Perspectives: Environmental justice explores the fair sharing of environmental benefits and harms, especially among vulnerable communities. Bullard (2000) reveals how geography exposes systemic inequalities, showing that marginalized groups often inhabit environmentally degraded areas. Geography thus offers powerful tools to analyze and confront these injustices. From a faith-based lens, scholars like Gottlieb (2006) highlight the moral responsibility of caring for creation, with values like justice, stewardship, and compassion driving environmental activism. However, tensions persist. Holifield (2001) critiques geography for lacking moral urgency, while White (1967) cautions that some religious doctrines have historically enabled environmental exploitation. Although both geography and religion contribute meaningfully to environmental justice, existing studies rarely merge geography's analytical rigor with faith's ethical vision. This study addresses that gap by proposing a practical framework where spatial thinking and moral responsibility align to promote equitable and sustainable environmental solutions.

Case Study Possibilities

Nigeria: The Ogoni struggle in Nigeria is often portrayed as a fusion of environmental activism and spiritual resistance. Saro-Wiwa (1992) emphasized the moral and ecological crisis, highlighting the sacredness of Ogoni land. Okonta and Douglas (2001) similarly described the resistance as deeply spiritual, rooted in indigenous beliefs where land symbolized life and pollution defiled identity. Boele, Fabig, and Wheeler (2001) echoed these sentiments, exposing Shell's environmental neglect and the Ogonis' spiritually driven demands for justice. In contrast, Obi (2010) argued that the movement, while enriched by spiritual language, was primarily motivated by political and economic concerns.

Existing studies focus on activism and cultural expression but seldom explore how Ogoni eco-spirituality can shape environmental education. This study addresses that gap by integrating Ogoni spiritual ecology into geography and religious education to promote morally grounded, place-based environmental stewardship.

Morocco: Islamic environmentalism in Morocco has attracted scholarly interest as a faith-driven model of ecological engagement. Grewal (2016) and Krause (2018) highlight the country's initiative to green over 600 mosques—installing solar panels and water-saving systems—as a blend of Islamic ethics and climate action. Rooted in values like *khalifa* (stewardship) and *mīzān* (balance), these efforts link sustainability with spiritual duty. However, Magharebia (2017) points to limited grassroots involvement, noting that many imams and worshippers remain unaware of the initiative's ecological aims. Toumi (2019) critiques the government's top-down approach, suggesting that environmental faith language is often used more for political visibility than genuine community transformation. While Morocco's green mosque program is praised for aligning faith and sustainability, existing research largely overlooks how geography education can reinforce these values at the grassroots. This study addresses that gap by exploring how religious and geographic frameworks can jointly enhance local environmental awareness and action.

Latin America: Christian liberation theology in Latin America has long connected faith with the fight for justice, especially for the oppressed. Leonardo Boff (1995) stresses the unity of the “cry of the Earth and the cry of the poor,” calling for a theology that treats nature as sacred and advocates for environmental justice from the grassroots. Ivone Gebara (1999) furthers this by combining feminist and ecological insights, urging liberation that embraces both people and planet. Yet, Cleary (2011) critiques the movement's ecological contributions as underdeveloped, often symbolic rather than

systemic. Peña (2005) also warns that its class-based focus can overshadow indigenous ecological knowledge.

While liberation theology has embraced ecological themes, it has yet to translate these values into practical frameworks for environmental and geography education. This study addresses that shortfall by integrating liberation theology into environmental pedagogy—uniting ethical, theological, and spatial insights to foster deeper environmental responsibility.

Teaching Application and Classroom Integration of Case Studies: Case studies are recognized as powerful tools for linking theory with real-world environmental challenges. Roberts (2013) highlights their ability to foster critical thinking and contextual learning in geography and environmental education, while Creswell (2017) underscores how they clarify complex systems and local responses to global issues. Yet, Lambert and Morgan (2010) caution that case studies are often used superficially, limiting deeper analysis. Critics further note a lack of interdisciplinary depth—particularly the omission of ethical, cultural, and spiritual insights. This study addresses this shortfall by embedding moral and faith-based dimensions into case-based pedagogy, fostering more holistic environmental understanding and action.

Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges

Tensions between secularism and religious content in formal education: Tensions between secularism and religious content in education persist. Jackson (2014) advocates for a pluralist model, suggesting that religious education fosters intercultural understanding without breaching secular values. However, Bailey and Till (2006) warn that explicit religious content may challenge secular inclusivity. Gearon (2013) notes that some secular systems sideline religion, narrowing students' grasp of moral and cultural complexity. Existing literature often polarizes the debate. This study proposes a balanced, integrative framework linking religion and geography for ethical environmental education in pluralistic classrooms.

Lack of teacher training for cross-disciplinary education: Many scholars identify inadequate teacher preparation as a core barrier to effective cross-disciplinary education. Beane (1997) emphasizes the challenge of teachers being trained within narrow subject lines, making integration across disciplines like religion and geography difficult. Drake and Burns (2004) reinforce this by noting that, without proper training, teachers often struggle to build thematic connections. However, Apple (2006) suggests the real issue is

structural—rigid curricula and time constraints, rather than teacher incapacity. This suggests a dual challenge of limited skills and systemic barriers. Furthermore, while religion can inspire ecological care, its doctrinal rigidity often inhibits inclusive environmental engagement. White (1967) critiques Christianity's anthropocentrism, whereas Hitzhusen (2007) and Tucker and Grim (2001) note that inclusive readings of doctrine can foster strong stewardship ethics. Existing literature lacks models that actively combine moral-spiritual and spatial-environmental competencies. This study fills that gap by proposing an integrated pedagogical framework that equips teachers to merge religion and geography for inclusive, interdisciplinary environmental education.

Opportunities

Creation of ethically grounded, eco-conscious learners: Many scholars advocate integrating ethics into environmental education to shape eco-conscious learners. Orr (2004) insists that education must foster ecological responsibility, not just transfer knowledge. Sterling (2001) supports this, urging transformative learning that builds environmental ethics and active citizenship. Yet, Jickling and Wals (2008) caution against rigid moral instruction, arguing it may stifle critical thought and risk indoctrination. While ethical engagement is emphasized, few studies explore religious worldviews as ethical anchors. This study fills that gap by blending religious education with geography to develop value-based, culturally grounded environmental learning.

Cultural relevance and localizing global environmental narratives: Many scholars emphasize that integrating indigenous knowledge enhances environmental sustainability. Leach et al. (2010) highlight that local values provide grounded, practical insights often missed by global strategies. Agrawal (1995) agrees, arguing that local communities actively shape ecological responses. Yet, Escobar (1996) cautions against romanticizing these cultures, which may mask internal inequalities. Büscher and Dressler (2007) add that cultural narratives can obstruct wider reforms. This study addresses the limited incorporation of religious-cultural worldviews in environmental education by embedding faith-based perspectives into localized geography curricula.

Building bridges between faith communities and science education: Many scholars support blending faith and science education to foster well-rounded learning. Barbour (2000) sees religion and science as complementary—morality from faith and knowledge from science. Berry (2009) also encourages a sacred view of Earth that unites spiritual values with ecological science. However, critics like Dawkins (2006) warn that religion may hinder critical thinking, while Scott (2009) highlights conflicts between literal scriptural readings and scientific understanding. Though the dialogue between science and

faith is well documented, few studies demonstrate how their integration can enhance environmental education. This study addresses that gap by uniting religious ethics with geography education to inspire ecological responsibility.

Conclusion

Integrating religion and geography into environmental education offers a transformative pathway for nurturing ethically grounded and environmentally responsible learners. Geography, with its emphasis on spatial thinking and environmental systems, provides students with the analytical tools to understand environmental patterns, processes, and challenges. It promotes critical reflection on issues such as climate change, urban planning, and resource use. However, knowledge alone often lacks the emotional and moral weight needed to inspire sustained commitment. Religion, in this context, becomes a powerful complement—offering ethical guidance, spiritual meaning, and cultural relevance.

Religious teachings introduce values such as stewardship, the sacredness of nature, and accountability to a higher power, which can infuse environmental learning with a profound sense of purpose. When geography's critical insights are paired with religion's moral vision, students are empowered to act—not just as thinkers, but as caretakers. Education becomes both intellectual and deeply personal.

This integrated model is rooted in three key pillars: moral imagination, sacred responsibility, and spatial awareness. Moral imagination encourages learners to envision just, compassionate futures. Sacred responsibility frames ecological care as a divine trust. Spatial thinking connects people and places, highlighting global interdependence. Ultimately, this approach transforms education into a catalyst for change. It moves students beyond awareness to meaningful action, fostering empathy, resilience, and shared responsibility. As ecological challenges intensify, this study calls for a values-driven pedagogy that merges scientific understanding with spiritual insight—cultivating a generation equipped to care for the Earth not just with their minds, but with their hearts and convictions.

References

- Agang, F. (2016). *The Environmental Crisis and African Traditional Religion*. ACTS Press.
- Agrawal, A. (1995). Dismantling the divide between indigenous and scientific knowledge.
- Apple, M. W. (2006). *Educating the "Right" Way*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Educating-the-Right-Way/Apple/p/book/9780415949127>
- Bailey, A. R., & Till, K. E. (2006). *Placing religion and spirituality in geography*. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649360500452935>
- Barbour, I. G. (2000). *When Science Meets Religion*. HarperOne.
- Beane, J. A. (1997). *Curriculum Integration: Designing the Core of Democratic Education*. Teachers College Press.
- Bednarz, S. W. (2010). *Geography education research in the journal of geography 1988–2007*. *Journal of Geography*, 109(1), 2–12.
- Berger, P. (2008). *Religion and ecology: Developing a field of study*. <https://fore.yale.edu/Publications/Books/Religion-and-Ecology>
- Berry, T. (1999). *The Great Work: Our Way into the Future*. Bell Tower.
- Berry, T. (2009). *The Sacred Universe: Earth, Spirituality, and Religion in the 21st Century*. Columbia University Press <https://cup.columbia.edu/book/the-sacred-universe/9780231149525>
- Blewitt, J. (2014). *Understanding Sustainable Development*. Routledge.
- Boele, R., Fabig, H., & Wheeler, D. (2001). Shell, Nigeria and the Ogoni. *Sustainable Development*, 9(2), 74–86. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.161>
- Boff, L. (1995). *Cry of the Earth, Cry of the Poor*. Orbis Books.
- Bonnett, M. (2007). Environmental education and the issue of nature. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 39(6), 707–721.
- Bouma-Prediger, S. (2010). *For the Beauty of the Earth: A Christian Vision for Creation Care*. Baker Academic.
- Brooks, C. (2020). *Geography Education and Environmental Awareness: Challenges and Opportunities*. Routledge.
- Büscher, B., & Dressler, W. (2007). Linking neoprotectionism and environmental governance. *Conservation and Society*, 5(4), 586–611. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26392984>
- Carmichael, D. L., et al. (1994). *Sacred Sites, Sacred Places*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Sacred-Sites-Sacred-Places/Carmichael/p/book/9780415095619>
- Castree, N., Adams, W. M., Barry, J., et al. (2013). Changing the intellectual climate. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 763–768. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2339>

- Catling, S. (2014). *Children's Geographies and the Environment*. In J. Davies & D. Wyse (Eds.), *Geography in Education*.
- Catling, S., & Willy, T. (2018). *Understanding and Teaching Primary Geography*. Routledge.
- Cleary, E. L. (2011). *The Rise and Decline of Liberation Theology*.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287258994>
- Cooling, T. (2010). *Doing God in Education*. Theos.
<https://www.theosthinktank.co.uk/research/2010/09/07/doing-god-in-education>
- Dawkins, R. (2006). *The God Delusion*. Houghton Mifflin.
- De Lacy, T., & Lawson, B. (1997). Nature conservation and sacred sites. *The George Wright Forum*, 14(1), 36–46.
- Development and Change*, 26(3), 413–439. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.1995.tb00560.x>
- DeWitt, C. B. (2006). *Earthwise: A Biblical Response to Environmental Issues*. Faith Alive.
- Dobson, A. (2007). *Environmental Citizenship*. MIT Press.
- Drake, S. M., & Burns, R. C. (2004). *Meeting Standards Through Integrated Curriculum*. ASCD. <https://www.ascd.org/books/meeting-standards-through-integrated-curriculum>
- Elwood, S. (2006). Negotiating knowledge production: The everyday inclusions, exclusions, and contradictions of participatory GIS research. *The Professional Geographer*, 58(2), 197–208. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9272.2006.00526.x>
- Escobar, A. (1996). Constructing nature: Elements for a poststructural political ecology. *Futures*, 28(4), 325–343. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-3287\(96\)00011-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-3287(96)00011-0)
- Foltz, R. (2003). *Islam and Ecology: A Bestowed Trust*. Harvard University Press.
- Gearon, L. (2013). *Masterclass in Religious Education*. Bloomsbury.
- Gebara, I. (1999). *Longing for Running Water: Ecofeminism and Liberation*. Fortress Press.
- Gersmehl, P. J., & Gersmehl, C. A. (2007). Spatial thinking by young children: Neurologic evidence for early development and “educability.” *Geography*, 92(3), 127–140.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/40574119>
- Goodchild, M. F. (2007). Citizens as sensors: The world of volunteered geography. *GeoJournal*, 69(4), 211–221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-007-9111-y>
- Gottlieb, R. S. (2006). *A Greener Faith: Religious Environmentalism and Our Planet's Future*. Oxford University Press. <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/a-greener-faith-9780195183098>

- Grewal, Z. (2016). Islam, Eco-Consciousness, and Morocco's Green Mosques. *The Huffington Post*. https://www.huffpost.com/entry/islam-eco-consciousness-and-moroccos-green-mosques_b_9576836
- Grim, J., & Tucker, M. E. (2014). *Ecology and Religion*. Island Press. <https://islandpress.org/books/ecology-and-religion>
- Head, L., & Muir, P. (2006). Suburban life and the boundaries of nature: Resilience and rupture in Australian backyard gardens. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 31(4), 505–524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5661.2006.00228.x><https://theecologist.org/2007/may/01/rethinking-sacred>
- Hessel, D., & Ruether, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *Christianity and Ecology*. Harvard University Press.
- Hill, K. (2011). Sacred natural sites: Cultural integrity and ecological sustainability. Routledge.
- Hitzhusen, G. E. (2007). Judeo-Christian theology and the environment. *Journal for the Study of Religion, Nature and Culture*, 1(4), 441–449.
- IPCC. (2023). *Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-cycle/>
- Jackson, R. (2014). *Signposts: Policy and practice for teaching about religions and non-religious worldviews in intercultural education*. <https://rm.coe.int/16802f8e6e>
- Jickling, B., & Wals, A. E. (2008). Globalization and environmental education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 40(1), 130–144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-5812.2007.00333.x>
- Kamali, M. H. (2010). Environmental Care in Islam: A Moral and Legal Perspective. *Islamic Studies*, 49(3), 385–409. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20839144>
- Kearns, L. (2015). Religious environmentalism and our planet. *Worldviews*, 19(3), 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685357-01903002>
- Kent, A. (2022). *Geography and Sustainability Education*. Springer.
- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior? *Environmental Education Research*, 8(3), 239–260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620220145401>
- Kong, L. (2001). Mapping 'new' geographies of religion: Politics and poetics in modernity. *Progress in Human Geography*, 25(2), 211–233. <https://doi.org/10.1191/030913201678580485>
- Krause, K. (2018). Green Islam in Morocco: Faith-Based Environmentalism in Practice. *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 29(3), 389–410.
- Lambert, D., & Morgan, J. (2010). *Teaching Geography 11–18: A Conceptual Approach*. Open University Press.

- Lambert, D., & Morgan, J. (2010). *Teaching Geography 11–18: A Conceptual Approach*. Open University Press.
- Latour, B. (2004). *Politics of Nature*. Harvard University Press.
- Leach, M., Scoones, I., & Stirling, A. (2010). *Dynamic Sustainability*. Routledge.
<https://www.routledge.com/Dynamic-Sustainabilities/Leach-Scoones-Stirling/p/book/9781849710930>
- Leszczynski, A. (2012). Situating the geoweb in political economy. *Progress in Human Geography*, 36(1), 72–89. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132511408458>
- Lomborg, B. (2001). *The Skeptical Environmentalist*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/skeptical-environmentalist/ABEDB6D3197649E83CFCF83A3BD97DE2>
- Magharebia. (2017). Morocco's Green Mosque Project Falter. *Al-Monitor*.
<https://www.al-monitor.com/originals/2017/11/morocco-mosques-green-initiative-renewable-energy.html>
- Mawere, M. (2013). *Culture, Indigenous Knowledge and Development in Africa*. Langaa RPCIG. <https://www.africanbookscollective.com/books/culture-indigenous-knowledge-and-development-in-africa>
- Mitchell, D. (2009). *Cultural Geography: A Critical Introduction*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Mitchell, D. (2015). *Geography Teachers and Environmental Ethics*. Available online: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00131857.2015.1030851>
- Morgan, J. (2012). Teaching geography for a better world? *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 21(4), 277–282.
- Mugambi, J. N. K. (2003). *Christianity and African Culture*. Acton Publishers.
- Naess, A. (1995). The shallow and the deep, long-range ecology movement. *Inquiry*, 16(1-4), 95–100.
- Nasr, S. H. (1996). *Religion and the Order of Nature*. Oxford University Press.
- Northcott, M. S. (2007). *A Moral Climate: The Ethics of Global Warming*. Orbis Books.
- Obi, C. (2010). Oil extraction, dispossession, resistance, and conflict in Nigeria's oil-rich Niger Delta. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies*, 30(1-2), 219–236.
- Odzuck, D. (2019). Sacred natural sites in Africa. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 31(3), 255–270.
- Okonta, I., & Douglas, O. (2001). *Where Vultures Feast: Shell, Human Rights, and Oil in the Niger Delta*. Verso.
- Onah, G. I. (2017). Environmental Ethics in African Tradition: A Critique. *UJAH*, 18(3), 45–61. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ujah/article/view/165660>
- Orr, D. (1994). *Earth in Mind: On Education, Environment, and the Human Prospect*. Island Press.

- Orr, D. W. (2004). *Earth in Mind: On Education, Environment, and the Human Prospect*. Island Press. <https://islandpress.org/books/earth-mind>
- Palmer, J. A. (2012). *Environmental education in the 21st century: Theory, practice, progress and promise*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Environmental-Education-in-the-21st-Century/Palmer/p/book/9780415195097>
- Palmer, J. A. (2013). *Environmental Education in the 21st Century: Theory, Practice, Progress and Promise*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Environmental-Education-in-the-21st-Century/Palmer/p/book/9780415287994>
- Peña, D. G. (2005). *Mexican Americans and the Environment: Tierra y Vida*. University of Arizona Press. <https://uapress.arizona.edu/book/mexican-americans-and-the-environment>
- Posey, D. A. (1999). Cultural and spiritual values of biodiversity. UNEP. <https://www.cbd.int/doc/books/2009/B-03125.pdf>
- Ramakrishnan, P. S. (2001). *Ecology and Sustainable Development*. NISTADS. <https://www.nistads.res.in/all-publications/ecology-and-sustainable-development>
- Rawling, E. (2014). *The development of the geography national curriculum: New priorities and challenges for geography education*. Available online: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00221341.2014.878299>
- Repko, A. F. (2012). *Interdisciplinary Research: Process and Theory*. SAGE.
- Wineburg, S., & Grossman, P. (2000). *Interdisciplinary Curriculum: Challenges to Implementation*. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED440930>
- Saniotis, A. (2012). Muslim perspectives on ecology: Spirituality and ethics. *Journal for the Study of Religion, Nature & Culture*, 6(1), 77–90. <https://www.equinoxpub.com/home/journal-for-the-study-of-religion-nature-and-culture/>
- Saro-Wiwa, K. (1992). *Genocide in Nigeria: The Ogoni Tragedy*. Port Harcourt: Saros.
- Schultz, P. W., et al. (2005). Values and their relationship to environmental concern and conservation behavior. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 36(4), 457–475. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022105275962>
- Scott, E. C. (2009). *Evolution vs. Creationism*. University of California Press. <https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520261877/evolution-vs-creationism>
- Slater, F. (2013). *Contesting Indigenous Geography in Environmental Education*. <https://www.routledge.com/Contesting-Indigenous-Geography/Slater/p/book/9780415591371>
- Soper, K. (1995). *What is Nature? Culture, Politics and the Non-Human*. Blackwell.
- Soper, K. (2007). Rethinking the sacred. *The Ecologist*, 37(5), 20–22.
- Sterling, S. (2001). *Sustainable Education*. Green Books.

- Sterling, S. (2001). *Sustainable Education: Re-visioning Learning and Change*. Green Books.
<https://www.greenbooks.co.uk/sustainable-education>
- Sterling, S. (2003). *Whole Systems Thinking as a Basis for Paradigm Change in Education: Explorations in the Context of Sustainability*. University of Bath.
<https://researchportal.bath.ac.uk/en/publications/whole-systems-thinking-as-a-basis-for-paradigm-change-in-educati>
- Sterling, S. (2010). *Transformative learning and sustainability: Sketching the conceptual ground*. *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education*, (5), 17-33.
https://www.plymouth.ac.uk/uploads/production/document/path/2/2412/LTHE_5_2.pdf
- Studley, J. (2005). Sacred landscapes: The cultural and spiritual values of ecosystems. *Ecological Applications*, 15(4), 1385–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1610>
- Sui, D., & Goodchild, M. (2011). The convergence of GIS and social media: Challenges for GIScience. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 25(11), 1737–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2011.604636>
- Taylor, B. (2010). *Dark Green Religion: Nature Spirituality and the Planetary Future*. University of California Press <https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520261006/dark-green-religion>
- Toumi, H. (2019). Religion and Environmental Policy in North Africa. *Environmental Politics and Policy Review*, 12(2), 202–214.
- Tucker, M. E., & Grim, J. (2001). *Religion and Ecology: Can the Climate Change?* <https://fore.yale.edu/>
- Tucker, M. E., & Grim, J. (2001). *Religion and Ecology: Can the Climate Change?* *Daedalus*, 130(4), 1–20.
- Tucker, M. E., & Grim, J. (2001). *Religion and Ecology: Can the Climate Change?* <https://fore.yale.edu/Publications/Books/Religion-and-Ecology>
- UNESCO. (2020). *Education for Sustainable Development: A Roadmap*.
<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000374802>
- UNESCO. (2021). *Education for Sustainable Development: A Roadmap*.
<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000374802>
- Wals, A. E. J. (2010). *Between knowing what is right and knowing that is it wrong to tell others what is right*. *Environmental Education Research*, 16(1), 143–151.
- WCED. (1987). *Our Common Future*.
<https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf>

- White, L. (1967). The historical roots of our ecologic crisis. *Science*, 155(3767), 1203–1207.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.155.3767.1203>
- White, L. (1967). The Historical Roots of Our Ecologic Crisis. *Science*, 155(3767), 1203–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.155.3767.1203>
- White, L. (1967). The historical roots of our ecological crisis. *Science*, 155(3767), 1203–1207.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.155.3767.1203>
<https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf>
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our Common Future* (The Brundtland Report). Oxford University Press.
- Wright, A. (2004). *Religion, Education and Post-modernity*. Routledge.
<https://www.routledge.com/Religion-Education-and-Post-Modernity/Wright/p/book/9780415302922>.