

MEDIA COMMUNICATION, REACHING THE TARGET AUDIENCE: CASE STUDY OF DEAF INDIVIDUALS WATCHING

Albert Ulutorti Green

*Department of Special Education
St. Paul's Theological College Awka*

&

Blessing Ogechukwu Onuorah

Abstract

This study examines the dynamics of media communication in reaching deaf individuals, highlighting the barriers, strategies, and opportunities for improving accessibility. Media plays a crucial role in informing, educating, and entertaining society, yet its ability to cater for diverse audiences, particularly those with hearing impairments, is often limited. Deaf individuals frequently encounter challenges such as inadequate closed captions, limited sign language interpretations, and a lack of visually engaging content. By exploring existing practices and emerging technologies, this study identifies effective strategies such as real-time captioning, speech-to-text software, and interactive visual tools that can bridge the accessibility gap. Furthermore, it emphasizes the importance of a user-centered approach, drawing insights from case studies that demonstrate successful integration of accessibility features. The findings underscore the transformative potential of inclusive media practices, not only in empowering deaf audiences but also in enriching the overall media experience. Recommendations focus on standardizing accessibility features, leveraging advanced technologies, fostering collaboration between stakeholders, and involving the deaf community in media development. This research contributes to a growing body of knowledge that advocates for equitable access to media, urging stakeholders to embrace inclusivity as a fundamental principle. The implications of these findings extend beyond media communication, reflecting broader societal commitments to equity and inclusion.

Keywords: Media, Communication, Target Audience, Deaf Individuals

Introduction

Modern society is based on media communication, which provides a forum for cultural exchange, education, and the spread of knowledge (Savolainen, 2017). It unites disparate communities worldwide, influences public opinion, and propels societal narratives. Its

ability to meet the demands of all audience segments—especially those of marginalized groups like the deaf—remains a serious concern, nevertheless. Traditional and digital media's emphasis on audio-based content frequently leaves out users who prefer textual and visual formats (Martikainen, 2020; Shepstone, 2015). This disparity brings up significant issues regarding inclusivity, equity, and the function of the media in promoting social justice.

With an estimated 466 million people worldwide, deaf people make up a sizable audience segment with particular communication requirements (Cepak, 2022; Green & Ahlstrand, 2021). Even with technological developments, many media channels do not give priority to accessible elements like visually driven narratives, closed captioning, and sign language interpretation. Deaf people's engagement in media consumption is restricted by this oversight, which also keeps them at the margins of social and cultural debate (Ellcessor, 2016). To address these issues, a thorough grasp of the obstacles they encounter and the creation of solutions are necessary.

The accessibility of media content for deaf people is still insufficient, despite technical developments (Botelho, 2021). Standardized accessibility elements are frequently absent from media platforms, leaving deaf consumers with inconsistent and unreliable options (Ntoa et al., 2024). This discrepancy makes it difficult for them to interact with the material in a meaningful manner, resulting in a communication gap that keeps them out of important social discussions. The issue is made worse by stakeholders and media creators who are unaware of how important inclusion is (Del-Real et al., 2023). This disparity will keep growing in the absence of focused measures, undermining initiatives to promote fairness in media communication.

The purpose of this study is to investigate how media communication tactics might be modified to more successfully reach deaf people. In order to provide practical answers that improve inclusion, the research looks at the obstacles they encounter and identifies best practices in accessibility. The project also seeks to demonstrate how cutting-edge technologies and user-centered design may revolutionize communication (Kaledio et al., 2023). The ultimate objective is to offer insights that help stakeholders, legislators, and media producers create material that appeals to all audience segments.

This study is important because it could help create a media environment that is more inclusive. The study emphasizes the significance of equality in media practices by attending to the unique requirements of deaf people. In addition to helping underrepresented groups, inclusive media improves communication in general by making it more interesting and approachable for a range of audiences (Bush & Lindsey, 2024). The study's conclusions are especially pertinent to media creators, advocates for

accessibility, and legislators because they provide practical advice that encourages technology advancement and social inclusion.

The study also emphasizes how media accessibility affects society as a whole. Media companies may play a crucial role in advancing diversity, representation, and inclusion by creating a more equal communication environment (Bernstein et al., 2020). Building a society where everyone has an equal chance to participate and contribute, regardless of ability, requires these ideals.

The scope of this study is restricted to particular case studies and geographic settings. It does not cover all communication channels, such as print or audio-only platforms, even if it offers insights on accessible features for visual and audiovisual materials. Additionally, by concentrating on the experiences of deaf people, the study acknowledges that other disability groups can have unique needs and difficulties that need for additional research. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, the study presents a framework for further research as well as a fundamental understanding of media accessibility for deaf viewers.

Media Communication

The methods and resources used to disseminate information to a variety of audiences are included in media communication. This entails incorporating systems that guarantee content is intelligible and interesting for deaf people. Closed captions, subtitles, sign language interpretation, and visually stimulating storytelling are essential elements. Technical fixes are only one aspect of the inclusive media communication framework. It necessitates knowledge of the subtleties of deaf populations' language and culture. For communication to be effective, accessibility technologies, text-based materials, and visual aids must be in line with these cultural settings (Chun et al., 2016; Rao, 2015). This study is grounded in theories of communication and social inclusion. The ethical requirement of creating media content that is accessible to all is highlighted by social inclusion, which highlights the necessity of systems that accommodate various populations. Universal design principles, for instance, support elements that benefit both the general public and deaf people. The goal of communication theories like the Shannon-Weaver model is to optimize the processes of message encoding and decoding (Tzafestas & Tzafestas, 2018). Encoding entails producing text-based and graphically rich features that guarantee comprehension and engagement for deaf audiences (Bernabé, 2020). The development and assessment of media accessibility programs are guided by these theoretical understandings.

Studies reveal wide disparities in media accessibility for deaf individuals across platforms (Bitman & John, 2019; Romero-Fresco, 2018). While some organizations have adopted

features like closed captions and sign language interpretation, the quality and availability of these tools remain inconsistent. This lack of uniformity creates barriers that prevent deaf individuals from fully engaging with content(DeMare, 2019; Fonseca, 2020).

Emerging technologies have shown promise in addressing these gaps(Mineraud et al., 2016). Speech-to-text software and AI-driven solutions offer real-time captioning capabilities, significantly enhancing the accessibility of live broadcasts and online content. However, the adoption of these technologies is uneven, with smaller media outlets often lacking the resources to implement them effectively. This discrepancy highlights the need for scalable and cost-effective solutions.

Despite developments, current research frequently ignores user experiences and preferences in favor of concentrating only on technical elements of accessibility. User-centered research is necessary because there is little data on how deaf people view and use accessibility feature(Pappas et al., 2018; Prietch et al., 2022). Furthermore, nothing is known about the cooperation between the deaf community and media professionals. Effective partnerships can guarantee that accessibility technologies are both technically robust and culturally relevant(Zallio & Clarkson, 2022). A comprehensive strategy that incorporates user feedback, cultural awareness, and technological innovation is needed to close these gaps. The research emphasizes notable advancements in media accessibility while also pointing out enduring difficulties. Although technical advancements present promising answers, their efficacy is hampered by uneven application and a lack of attention to user experiences (Ray, 2023; Yan et al., 2024; Yu, 2023). To overcome these obstacles, a comprehensive strategy integrating technological, cultural, and experiential viewpoints is necessary.

Media Consumption Habits of the Deaf Community

The deaf community has unique media consumption habits that differ from those of the general population, probably because they do not speak or hear, they spend more time on visual consumption, especially with the captioned display (Downey, 2008; Kushalnagar et al., 2014). While some deaf individuals may not watch or read traditional media, others may have a strong interest in certain types of content. In this discussion, we will explore the media consumption habits of the deaf community, including their preferences for print media and video media. Print media, such as newspapers, magazines, and books, can be an effective way to communicate with the deaf community(Haller et al., 2006; Leigh et al., 2020). However, the accessibility of print media can be a challenge for some deaf individuals. For example: Limited accessibility: Print media may not be accessible to deaf individuals who are unable to read or write in the dominant language of their country.

Print media may not provide adequate representation of sign language, which can be a barrier to understanding and engagement, the word order of the hearing and speaking people is different from that of the persons with hearing and speech impairment (Haller et al., 2006; Neog et al., 2023; Rao et al., 2023). Despite these challenges, some deaf individuals may still prefer print media for certain types of content, such as: News and current events (Murangira, 2022). Deaf individuals may be interested in staying up-to-date on current events and news, and print media can provide a valuable source of information. Print media can be an effective way to provide educational content, such as textbooks, instructional materials, and educational magazines.

Video media, such as television, movies, and online videos, can be a popular form of entertainment and education for the deaf community (Murangira, 2022), since, what they see is exactly what they hear. But if every video media is captioned, it will be better for the deaf persons (Alonzo et al., 2022; Fathallah et al., 2024; Firth, 2024). However, the accessibility of video media can be a challenge for some deaf individuals. Some video media may not provide adequate captioning or sign language representation, which can be a barrier to understanding and engagement. Technical issues: Technical issues, such as poor video quality or slow internet speeds, can also impact the accessibility of video media.

Deaf-focused media, such as sign language television channels and online platforms, can provide a unique and accessible way for the deaf community to engage with media content (Friedner, 2022; Haller, 2024; TCHEPIKOVA-TREON, 2022). For example: Sign language programming: Deaf-focused media can provide programming in sign language, which can be more accessible and engaging for deaf individuals. Deaf-focused media can also provide content that is created and led by deaf individuals, which can be more relatable and relevant to the deaf community (Bernhardt, 2021; Law, 2022; Mack et al., 2020). The media consumption habits of the deaf community are complex and varied, and there is no one-size-fits-all approach to media accessibility. However, by providing more accessible and inclusive media content, media producers and distributors can better serve the needs of the deaf community (Mack et al., 2020; Romero-Fresco, 2018; Uzzo & Madonia, 2024; Wardle, 2017; Youngblood et al., 2018).

To this effect, media producers and distributors should prioritize accessibility when creating and distributing media content, including providing captioning, sign language representation, and other forms of accessibility. Deaf-led content can be more relatable and relevant to the deaf community (Moredo Pereira, 2021; Pereira, 2021; Tang et al., 2023), and media producers and distributors should consider partnering with deaf individuals and organizations to create more inclusive content. The deaf community has

diverse media consumption habits, and media producers and distributors should provide a range of media options to cater to different preferences and needs.

Barriers to Media Accessibility

Many obstacles prevent deaf people from accessing and interacting with media material. Many systems provide captions that are either poorly timed or lack context, making inadequate closed captioning a common problem(Venugopalan et al., 2017). Another difficulty is interpreting sign language because it is rarely used in mainstream media(Camgoz et al., 2018). These obstacles are further exacerbated by media producers' ignorance of the unique requirements of deaf listeners. Deaf people's ability to participate in public conversation and entertainment is limited by this lack of inclusivity.

Effective Communication Strategies

Achieving successful deaf audience outreach tactics requires a smooth integration of accessibility features into media creation(Mondonico, 2021; Wardle, 2017). When done right, closed captions can be a useful tool for expressing audio cues and dialogue. Usability is improved by innovations like interactive subtitles, which let viewers alter the font's size, color, and placement. When used regularly, sign language interpreting helps close language gaps and encourages higher levels of participation. Effectively communicating complicated information also requires the use of visual storytelling tools, such as infographics and animations(Bhat & Alyahya, 2023; Botsis et al., 2020).

Role of Technology

Technology has revolutionized the way media is consumed and accessed, making it more inclusive and accessible to diverse audiences. One of the key factors that has improved media accessibility is the development of speech-to-text software and AI-powered captioning systems (Kalolo, 2019). These technologies have enabled real-time transcription of audio content, making live broadcasts more accessible to audiences with hearing impairments.

Speech-to-Text Software

Speech-to-text software has been a game-changer in media accessibility, allowing for real-time transcription of audio content. This technology uses advanced algorithms to recognize spoken words and convert them into text, which can then be displayed as captions or subtitles. The benefits of speech-to-text software include:

Improved accessibility: Real-time transcription of audio content makes live broadcasts more accessible to audiences with hearing impairments.

Increased accuracy: Speech-to-text software can provide accurate captions, reducing the risk of errors and misinterpretations.

Enhanced user experience: Captions and subtitles can enhance the user experience, making it easier for audiences to follow and understand the content.

AI-Powered Captioning Systems

AI-powered captioning systems have taken media accessibility to the next level, providing more accurate and efficient captioning solutions. These systems use machine learning algorithms to recognize spoken words and generate captions in real-time. The benefits of AI-powered captioning systems include:

Improved accuracy: AI-powered captioning systems can provide highly accurate captions, reducing the risk of errors and misinterpretations.

Increased efficiency: AI-powered captioning systems can generate captions in real-time, reducing the need for human intervention and increasing the speed of content production.

Enhanced user experience: AI-powered captioning systems can provide a more seamless user experience, making it easier for audiences to follow and understand the content.

Sign Language Overlays

Developments in video editing software have made it easier to incorporate sign language overlays into media content. Sign language overlays can provide a more inclusive and accessible way for audiences with hearing impairments to engage with the content. The benefits of sign language overlays include:

Improved accessibility: Sign language overlays can provide a more inclusive and accessible way for audiences with hearing impairments to engage with the content.

Increased understanding: Sign language overlays can help audiences with hearing impairments to better understand the content and engage with it more effectively.

Enhanced user experience: Sign language overlays can provide a more seamless user experience, making it easier for audiences to follow and understand the content.

Challenges and Limitations

While technology has improved media accessibility, there are still challenges and limitations that need to be addressed. These include:

Accuracy and usability: The accuracy and usability of these technologies can vary, and media companies need to invest in infrastructure and training to get the most out of these tools.

Cost and accessibility: The cost of these technologies can be a barrier to adoption, and media companies need to consider the accessibility of these tools for diverse audiences.

Quality and consistency: The quality and consistency of these technologies can vary, and media companies need to ensure that they are providing high-quality and consistent captions and sign language overlays.

Technology has revolutionized media accessibility, providing new and innovative ways for audiences to engage with content. Speech-to-text software, AI-powered captioning systems, and sign language overlays are just a few examples of the technologies that are improving media accessibility. However, there are still challenges and limitations that need to be addressed, and media companies need to invest in infrastructure and training to get the most out of these tools.

Insights from Case Studies

Case studies of media platforms that have successfully implemented accessibility features reveal critical lessons (Grajales III et al., 2014). These platforms prioritize user-centered design, involving deaf individuals in the development and testing of features. For instance, interactive feedback mechanisms allow users to report issues with captions or suggest improvements. Such participatory approaches ensure that accessibility tools are aligned with user needs and expectations, setting a benchmark for the industry.

Inclusive Media Communication

Inclusive media communication is essential for ensuring that video content is accessible and engaging for diverse audiences, including those with impairments or language problems. Several tactics can be employed to make video media communication more inclusive, including:

1. Speech-to-Text and Subtitles

Providing accurate subtitles for pre-recorded content and real-time captions for live videos is crucial for ensuring that audiences with hearing impairments can understand and engage with the content. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Use AI techniques or expert captioning services: Utilize AI-powered captioning tools or hire expert captioning services to ensure that captions are accurate and timely.

- Provide subtitles in multiple languages: Offer subtitles in several languages to cater to a global audience and increase the content's reach.
- Use clear and concise language: Ensure that the language used in the captions is clear, concise, and easy to understand.

2. Use of Sign Language

Incorporating sign language into video content can help to make it more accessible and engaging for audiences who are deaf or hard of hearing. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Include a sign language interpreter: Feature a sign language interpreter in the frame of the video for both live and recorded content.
- Ensure good visibility and contrast: Ensure that the sign language interpreter is visible and that the background provides sufficient contrast to facilitate understanding.
- Offer alternatives for different sign languages: Provide alternatives for different sign languages, such as American Sign Language (ASL) or British Sign Language (BSL), depending on the target audience (Perlman et al., 2018).

3. Acting the Words Said

Using visual storytelling techniques can help to make video content more engaging and accessible for audiences with low literacy or hearing difficulties. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Enact key ideas or role-play: Use acting or role-playing to convey key ideas or concepts and make the content more engaging.
- Highlight actions or emotions: Use visual cues to highlight actions or emotions that emphasize the spoken words and facilitate understanding.
- Use expressive body language and gestures: Utilize expressive body language and gestures to enhance spoken communication and make the content more engaging.

4. Audio Descriptions

Providing audio descriptions of non-verbal features can help to make video content more accessible for audiences with visual impairments. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Describe non-verbal features: Provide audio descriptions of non-verbal features, such as crucial actions, visual cues, or on-screen information.

- Use clear and concise language: Ensure that the language used in the audio descriptions is clear, concise, and easy to understand.

5. Accessible Formats

Providing accessible formats can help to make video content more accessible for audiences with visual impairments. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Provide transcripts: Offer transcripts of the video content to facilitate understanding and accessibility.
- Use accessible color schemes: Utilize color schemes that are accessible to audiences with visual impairments, such as color blindness, high contrast images, and legible typefaces.

6. Technology Integration

Integrating technology can help to make video content more accessible for audiences with particular needs. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Use adapted technology: Utilize adapted technology, such as voiceovers, braille displays, or screen readers, to facilitate accessibility.
- Offer downloadable resources: Provide downloadable resources that enhance the video's content and facilitate understanding.

7. Simplified Content

Using simplified content can help to make video content more accessible for audiences with cognitive or learning impairments. To achieve this, the following strategies can be employed:

- Use clear and concise language: Ensure that the language used in the content is clear, concise, and easy to understand.
- Avoid jargon and complex concepts: Avoid using jargon or complex concepts unless they are explained in a clear and concise manner.

Conclusion

The study's conclusions highlight how urgently inclusive media communication tactics are needed. The fact that deaf people still encounter major obstacles when trying to access media emphasizes how crucial it is to have thorough accessibility procedures (Leigh et al., 2020; Souza et al., 2017). Media companies may create a more welcoming atmosphere that empowers all audience groups by removing these obstacles. In addition to helping

deaf people, inclusive media techniques also improve the general caliber and accessibility of media material. Features that help people with different needs, like non-native speakers and people in noisy situations include closed captioning and sign language interpretation. This universality emphasizes how important it is to make accessibility a strategic priority.

Furthermore, developing solutions that have an impact requires incorporating user-centered design concepts. Media producers can guarantee that accessibility features are efficient and culturally appropriate by incorporating deaf people in their design and assessment (Mazur & Vercauteren, 2019). An environment of communication that is more egalitarian is made possible by such interactive methods. The study concludes by highlighting how inclusive media practices have the capacity to evolve. Media companies may help create a more just society where everyone has equal access to opportunities and information, regardless of ability, by adopting these principles (Grizzle et al., 2014; Melkote & Steeves, 2015). The way forward calls for cooperation, creativity, and an unwavering dedication to inclusivity.

Recommendations

1. Media organizations should adopt standardized guidelines for accessibility features, such as closed captions and sign language interpretation, to ensure consistency across platforms.
2. Media houses should invest in advanced technologies such as AI-driven captioning and real-time speech-to-text software to enhance accessibility.
3. The Media houses should involve deaf individuals in the design, testing, and evaluation of accessibility features to ensure they meet user needs.
4. There should be training programs for media producers and developers to increase awareness of accessibility issues and best practices.
5. The policy makers should in collaboration with the government foster partnerships between media organizations, accessibility experts, and advocacy groups to drive innovation and implementation of inclusive practices.
6. Media houses should regularly assess the effectiveness of accessibility features through user feedback and make necessary improvements to enhance their impact.

References

Alonzo, O., Shin, H. V., & Li, D. (2022). Beyond subtitles: captioning and visualizing non-speech sounds to improve accessibility of user-generated videos. Proceedings of the 24th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility,

- Bernabé, R. (2020). Easy audiovisual content for all: Easy-to-Read as an enabler of easy, multimode access services.
- Bernhardt, J. N. (2021). *Reaching the Least Reached People Group in the World: Communicating the Christian Faith to the Deaf Culture* Regent University].
- Bernstein, R. S., Bulger, M., Salipante, P., & Weisinger, J. Y. (2020). From diversity to inclusion to equity: A theory of generative interactions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167, 395-410.
- Bhat, S. A., & Alyahya, S. (2023). Infographics in Educational Settings: A Literature Review. *IEEE Access*.
- Bitman, N., & John, N. A. (2019). Deaf and hard of hearing smartphone users: Intersectionality and the penetration of ableist communication norms. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 24(2), 56-72.
- Botelho, F. H. (2021). Accessibility to digital technology: Virtual barriers, real opportunities. *Assistive Technology*, 33(sup1), 27-34.
- Botsis, T., Fairman, J. E., Moran, M. B., & Anagnostou, V. (2020). Visual storytelling enhances knowledge dissemination in biomedical science. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 107, 103458.
- Bush, L., & Lindsey, K. (2024). *Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Strategic Communications: Becoming Culturally Proficient Communicators*. Taylor & Francis.
- Camgoz, N. C., Hadfield, S., Koller, O., Ney, H., & Bowden, R. (2018). Neural sign language translation. Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition,
- Cepak, R. (2022). Subtitling for the deaf and the hard of hearing in Spain: a study on media accessibility, accessibility studies and the standard une 153010: 2012.
- Chun, D., Kern, R., & Smith, B. (2016). Technology in language use, language teaching, and language learning. *The Modern Language Journal*, 100(S1), 64-80.
- Del-Real, C., Ward, C., & Sartipi, M. (2023). What do people want in a smart city? Exploring the stakeholders' opinions, priorities and perceived barriers in a medium-sized city in the United States. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, 27(sup1), 50-74.
- DeMare, A. R. (2019). *Barriers facing deaf and hard-of-hearing people in outdoor education*. Alaska Pacific University.
- Downey, G. J. (2008). *Closed captioning: Subtitling, stenography, and the digital convergence of text with television*. JHU Press.
- Ellcessor, E. (2016). *Restricted access: Media, disability, and the politics of participation* (Vol. 6). NYU Press.

- Fathallah, N., Bhole, M., & Staab, S. (2024). Empowering the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Community: Enhancing Video Captions Using Large Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.00342*.
- Firth, A. (2024). Deafness and hard of hearing. In *Practical Web Accessibility: A Comprehensive Guide to Digital Inclusion* (pp. 147-182). Springer.
- Fonseca, D. F. P. (2020). *Unveiling Teachers' Beliefs About Inclusion and Discovering Factors that Facilitate and Hinder Language Work with Hearing-Impaired Students* Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas (Colombia)].
- Friedner, M. I. (2022). *Sensory futures: deafness and cochlear implant infrastructures in India*. U of Minnesota Press.
- Grajales III, F. J., Sheps, S., Ho, K., Novak-Lauscher, H., & Eysenbach, G. (2014). Social media: a review and tutorial of applications in medicine and health care. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 16(2), e2912.
- Green, A., & Ahlstrand, C. (2021). Technological Advancements in the Hearing Aid Industry. Assessing the effect of technological advancements on the adoption of hearing aids for people with mild-moderate hearing loss.
- Grizzle, A., Moore, P., Dezuanni, M., Asthana, S., Wilson, C., Banda, F., & Onumah, C. (2014). *Media and information literacy: policy and strategy guidelines*. Unesco.
- Haller, B., Dorries, B., & Rahn, J. (2006). Media labeling versus the US disability community identity: a study of shifting cultural language. *Disability & Society*, 21(1), 61-75.
- Haller, B. A. (2024). *Disabled people transforming media culture for a more inclusive world*. Taylor & Francis.
- Kaledio, E., Oloyede, J., & Olaoye, F. (2023). Exploring the Evolution of Computer Science: From Theoretical Foundations to Cutting-Edge Applications.
- Kushalnagar, R. S., Behm, G. W., Stanislow, J. S., & Gupta, V. (2014). Enhancing caption accessibility through simultaneous multimodal information: visual-tactile captions. Proceedings of the 16th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers & accessibility,
- Law, M. P. (2022). *Democratic Disharmony: Indecorous and Uncontrollable Rhetorics of Black Lives and Disability Justice*. Indiana University.
- Leigh, I. W., Andrews, J. F., Harris, R. L., & Ávila, T. G. (2020). *Deaf culture: Exploring deaf communities in the United States*. Plural Publishing.
- Mack, K., Bragg, D., Morris, M. R., Bos, M. W., Albi, I., & Monroy-Hernández, A. (2020). Social app accessibility for deaf signers. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 4(CSCW2), 1-31.
- Martikainen, K. (2020). *Audio-based stylistic characteristics of Podcasts for search and recommendation: a user and computational analysis* University of Twente].

- Mazur, I., & Vercauteren, G. (2019). Media accessibility training. *Linguistica Antverpiensia, New Series–Themes in Translation Studies*, 18.
- Melkote, S. R., & Steeves, H. L. (2015). Communication for development: Theory and practice for empowerment and social justice.
- Mineraud, J., Mazhelis, O., Su, X., & Tarkoma, S. (2016). A gap analysis of Internet-of-Things platforms. *Computer Communications*, 89, 5-16.
- Mondonico, M. (2021). Accessibility in Social VR platforms for deaf people: research on accessibility in physical and digital interaction, and definition of guidelines for an inclusive human-to-human communication in VR.
- Moredo Pereira, J. (2021). *Deaf on stage: The cultural impact of performing Signed Songs* UCL (University College London)].
- Murangira, A. (2022). 'My eyes are my ears': Deaf people appropriating AIDS education messages in Uganda. *Africa*, 92(4), 467-483.
- Neog, A. P., Kalita, A., & Pandiyarajan, M. N. (2023). Speech/text to indian sign language using natural language processing. *Indian Journal of Computer Science and Engineering (IJCSE)*, 14(3).
- Ntoa, S., Margetis, G., Adami, I., Balafa, K., Antona, M., & Stephanidis, C. (2024). Digital accessibility for users with disabilities. In *Designing for Usability, Inclusion and Sustainability in Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 406-460). CRC Press.
- Pappas, M. A., Demertzi, E., Papagerasimou, Y., Koukianakis, L., Kouremenos, D., Loukidis, I., & Drigas, A. S. (2018). E-Learning for deaf adults from a user-centered perspective. *Education Sciences*, 8(4), 206.
- Pereira, J. M. (2021). *Deaf on stage: the cultural impact of performing Signed Songs*. University of London, University College London (United Kingdom).
- Prietch, S., Sánchez, J. A., & Guerrero, J. (2022). A systematic review of user studies as a basis for the design of systems for automatic sign language processing. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing*, 15(4), 1-33.
- Rao, K. (2015). Universal design for learning and multimedia technology: Supporting culturally and linguistically diverse students. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 24(2), 121-137.
- Rao, P. S., Rohit, T. V., Manasa, B., & Lingamaiah, V. (2023). Multiple languages to sign language using NLTK. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 10(2), 12-17.
- Ray, P. P. (2023). ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems*, 3, 121-154.
- Romero-Fresco, P. (2018). In support of a wide notion of media accessibility: Access to content and access to creation. *Journal of Audiovisual Translation*, 1(1), 187-204.

- Savolainen, R. (2017). Information sharing and knowledge sharing as communicative activities. *Information Research: an international electronic journal*, 22(3), n3.
- Shepstone, S. E. (2015). Selected Topics in Audio-based Recommendation of TV Content.
- Souza, M. F. N. S. d., Araújo, A. M. B., Sandes, L. F. F., Freitas, D. A., Soares, W. D., Vianna, R. S. d. M., & Sousa, Á. A. D. d. (2017). Main difficulties and obstacles faced by the deaf community in health access: an integrative literature review. *Revista CEFAC*, 19, 395-405.
- Tang, X., Chang, X., Chen, N., Ni, Y., LC, R., & Tong, X. (2023). Community-driven information accessibility: Online sign language content creation within d/deaf communities. Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems,
- TCHEPIKOVA-TREON, O. (2022). FROM DEAF TO CULT FILM: DEAFULA'S UNAUTHORIZED TRANSFER. *Wide Screen*, 9(1).
- Tzafestas, S. G., & Tzafestas, S. G. (2018). Information I: Communication, Transmission, and Information Theory. *Energy, Information, Feedback, Adaptation, and Self-organization: The Fundamental Elements of Life and Society*, 157-217.
- Uzzo, G., & Madonia, F. P. (2024). Accessibility at Film Festivals: Guidelines for Inclusive Subtitling. *Unpublished doctoral thesis, Università degli Studi di Palermo*.
- Venugopalan, S., Anne Hendricks, L., Rohrbach, M., Mooney, R., Darrell, T., & Saenko, K. (2017). Captioning images with diverse objects. Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition,
- Wardle, J. G. (2017). *Political socialization of the deaf community through new media accessibility*. Georgetown University.
- Yan, L., Greiff, S., Teuber, Z., & Gašević, D. (2024). Promises and challenges of generative artificial intelligence for human learning. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 8(10), 1839-1850.
- Youngblood, N. E., Tirumala, L. N., & Galvez, R. A. (2018). Accessible media: The need to prepare students for creating accessible content. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 73(3), 334-345.
- Yu, H. (2023). Reflection on whether Chat GPT should be banned by academia from the perspective of education and teaching. *Frontiers in psychology*, 14, 1181712.
- Zallio, M., & Clarkson, P. J. (2022). Designing the metaverse: A study on inclusion, diversity, equity, accessibility and safety for digital immersive environments. *Telematics and Informatics*, 75, 101909.