

SOLA FIDE REEXAMINED: A HISTORICAL THEOLOGICAL APPRAISAL OF JUSTIFICATION BY FAITH ALONE FROM A REFORMATION PERSPECTIVE

Timothy O. E. Popoola, PhD

*Department of Religious Studies,
Ajayi Crowther University, Oyo*

Abstract

Justification by faith alone (sola fide), the hallmark of Protestant theology, has been a subject of intense debate and scrutiny within Christian theology since Reformation on account of its theological complexities and obscurity in the biblical scholarship. Observably, despite its historic fundamentalism, this doctrine of sola fide remains contested, with ongoing debates surrounding its theological implications and relationship to other Christian doctrines. The relevance of sola fide in contemporary Christian discourse necessitates a deeper understanding of its historical and theological development, exclusively given the contentious debates on its biblical foundations and ecclesiastical applications. This study reexamined the doctrine of sola fide from historical perspective, exploring its theological and epistemological underpinning; addressing the need for its comprehensive examination purposely to clarify its theological significance and practical implications in the salvation of humankind with focuses on the theological stance of Augustine, Anselmian Matrix, and John Wycliffe, Hus, Calvin, Thomas Aquinas and Luther. The study deploys a qualitative research approach, combining historical, theological and literary analysis to develop a comprehensive understanding of the historical and development of sola fide. Significantly, the study enriches Christian theology and ecclesiastical dialogue, underscoring the significance of justification in shaping Christian doctrine and experience by restoring to the church the central doctrine of redemption through divine grace. The findings show that collective insights from these theologians on sola fide have yielded profound theological insights underscoring the pivotal role of faith in Christ's redemptive work and illuminating the intricate dynamics between faith, works and salvation. The paper, therefore, recommends exploration of sola fide aimed at fostering spiritual growth, informing Christian practice and promoting a more profound appreciation of God's grace.

Keywords: Justification by Faith Alone, Reformation Theology, Sola Fide, Theology, Epistemology.

Historical Background

The sola fide debate is rooted fundamentally disparate theological foundations. Protestant Reformers like Martin Luther and John Calvin grounded their insistence on justification by faith alone in scriptural *loci classici* such as Ephesians 2:8-9, emphasizing the imputation of Christ's righteousness and the primacy of faith in salvation. In contrast, Catholics and others, such as the Catholic Church, emphasise the integral role of works and charity in justification, drawing on theological foundations that highlight the importance of human cooperation and transformation in the salvific process. For instance, Anabaptist thought reconfigures justification as Christomorphic transformation, emphasizing theosis and conformity to Christ, while scholars like James Dunn and N.T. Wright propose traditional interpretations of sola fide. These theological debates underscore the complexity and richness of Christian soteriology, highlighting the need for ongoing reflection. Historical and theological reexamination of the contentious issue of sola fide is *sine-qua-non* in recent historical studies for theological balance in the Church as touching the foundation of the Christian faith.

For centuries, the doctrine of justification by faith has been a theological flashpoint sparking intense debates and shaping the very fabric of Christian thought. Historical studies divulge that theologians continue to grapple with an enduring exegetical *aporia* pertaining to Paul's articulation of justification, specifically the contested interpretation of (*pistis Christou*) in Romans and Galatians, where scholars debate peradventure the genitive construction denotes justification by faith in Christ (*fiducia*) or through the faithfulness of Christ (*fides Christis*), a debate that has profound implications for deciphering Pauline soteriology and Christology. Meanwhile, as scholars continue to grapple with the implications of sola fide, the debates rages on, challenging the foundations of Christian faith and sparking fresh investigation into the nature of salvation. Amidst this ongoing discourse, one thing is evident; the doctrine of sola fide remains a contentious issue in Christian theology and theological circle, with far-reaching consequences for our comprehension of *Theo* (God), humanity, and the path to redemption. For Luther, a great reformer, the crucial error of the church was neither the corruption of the papacy, nor laxity of the priesthood, but rather the substitution of a righteousness based on works for salvation by faith alone. It becomes evident in the historical studies that the debate over Paul's use of justification by faith in Christ or faithfulness of Christ' remains an unsettled one. How could Christ be our righteousness if we were not organically united to Him? The imputation of Christ's righteousness to believers is contingent upon an ontological union with Him, whereby our existential incorporation into Christ enables God to reckon His righteousness as ours.

Fundamentally, the doctrine of justification by faith alone, which is the act of being declared righteous by God through faith in Jesus Christ, rather than by good works or human merit, stands as a central tenet of Christian soteriology on account of biblical emphasis, salvation, reformation significance and theological implications, shaping theological discourse and influencing the trajectory of church history in academic theology, church settings and interfaith dialogue. For centuries, the Reformers' insistence on sola fide stood in stark contrast to the medieval Church's sacramental system, highlighting differing views on the believer's role in salvation. These debates not only shaped theological doctrine but also had lasting consequences on church authority, ecclesiastical practices, and individual spirituality. Even in contemporary Christianity, discussions on justification persist across different denominations, illustrating its continued relevance and significance in theological discourse. Thus, the focus here is to re-appraise sola fide and its significant theological stance in the salvation of the redeemed as unraveled by the reformers with the purpose of interpreting and understanding its application to Christian faith.

Upon historical analysis, while it is often attributed to the Protestant Reformation, particularly through Martin Luther's advocacy, its roots extend far deeper into the theological reflections of pre-Reformers. The belief that salvation is granted through faith in Christ, rather than human merit, was not a novel concept of the 16th century but was echoed by earlier theological voices. Augustine of Hippo (354–430 AD), for instance, emphasises the divine grace as the sole means of salvation, laying an intellectual foundation that later Reformers would build upon¹². Similarly, Anselm of Canterbury (1033–1109 AD), through his *Cur Deus Homo*, argued that Christ's atonement was necessary for humanity's justification before God.¹³ This doctrine found early resistance in medieval scholasticism, particularly within the works of Thomas Aquinas (1225–1274 AD), who, though acknowledging grace, incorporated human cooperation in justification¹⁴. Historical figures such as John Wycliffe and Jan Hus articulated a soteriological paradigm that emphasized faith in Christ alone as the sole means of securing salvation, thereby challenging the prevailing Catholic doctrines of their time. By positing that justification is grounded in Christ's atonement and accessed through faith, they contested the institutional mediation of sacraments and human works as necessary for salvation. Their writings, rooted in biblical exegesis and patristic tradition, laid the

groundwork for Protestant Reformation's emphasis on sola fide and sola gratia, underscoring the sufficiency of Christ's work or justification.¹⁵

Theological Landscapes of Justification in the Formative Epoch of Christianity

Fundamentally, the doctrine of justification is central to Christian theology, addressing how individuals are made righteous before God. Early Christianity inherited its understanding of justification from Jewish thought, particularly from Old Testament concepts of divine righteousness and covenantal faithfulness. The apostle Paul played a pivotal role in shaping early Christian perspectives, arguing that justification comes by faith apart from works of the Law (Romans 3:28; Galatians 2:16). This Pauline doctrine is a foundation for later theological developments. The early Church Fathers, including Clement of Rome, Irenaeus, and Augustine, further engaged with this doctrine, interpreting justification in light of grace, faith, and obedience. Their contributions established a theological framework that influenced medieval and Reformation-era discussions on salvation. Paul's teachings on justification emphasise faith as the means by which believers attain righteousness. In Romans 4:3, Paul cites Genesis 15:6, where Abraham's faith was "credited to him as righteousness." This idea underscores that justification is a divine act rather than a human achievement. The early Christian community wrestled with the balance between faith and works, particularly in the context of Jewish-Christian relations. The Epistle of James, for example, argues that "faith without works is dead" (James 2:26), highlighting a complementary view of faith and obedience. While Paul and James appear to hold differing views, early theologians sought to reconcile them, understanding that faith produces works as evidence of justification rather than as its cause¹⁶.

Historically explored, one of the earliest post-apostolic discussions on justification appears in Clement of Rome's First Epistle to the Corinthians (c. 96 AD). Clement acknowledges that believers are justified by faith but also stresses the fundamentality of good works as a natural outcome of faith. This nuanced approach prefigures later debates between Augustinian and Pelagian views on grace and human effort¹⁷. Similarly, Irenaeus of Lyons (c. 130–202 AD) emphasised justification as part of God's redemptive plan, arguing that Christ's incarnation and atonement restored humanity to righteousness. His argument against Heresies contrasts divine grace with Gnostic teachings, affirming that salvation is God's gift rather than a secret knowledge or human effort¹⁸. The **Council of**

Carthage (418 AD) condemned Pelagianism, affirming that salvation depends entirely on God's grace. Later, the Council of Orange (529 AD) built upon Augustine's teachings, reinforcing that faith itself is a gift of grace. These theological affirmations shaped medieval scholasticism, leading to figures such as Anselm of Canterbury (1033–1109 AD), who developed the satisfaction theory of atonement, emphasizing Christ's sacrificial role in justifying sinners¹⁹.

Meanwhile, the theological foundations of justification in early Christianity established a dynamic discourse that later influenced the Protestant Reformation. Martin Luther's emphasis on *sola fide* (justification by faith alone) was rooted in Augustinian theology but also represented a departure from medieval interpretations. The continuity between early Christian thought and Reformation doctrine highlights the enduring significance of the justification debate. Contemporary theological discussions continue to engage with these foundational views, considering how justification relates to grace, faith, and works in a modern context.²⁰ Understanding justification in early Christianity provides valuable insights into the broader narrative of Christian theology. The contributions of Paul, the Church Fathers, and early councils underscore that justification has always been a matter of divine grace and human response. While theological nuances have evolved over centuries, the central truth remains: justification is a transformative act of God's grace, offered through faith in Christ. As Christian thought progresses, revisiting these early foundations helps maintain doctrinal continuity and informs contemporary perspectives on salvation and righteousness.

Augustine's Theology of Justification

The doctrine of justification is one of the most significant aspects of Christian theology, dealing with how human beings are made right before God. Among the early Church Fathers, Augustine of Hippo (354–430 AD) played a foundational role in shaping this doctrine, especially through his emphasis on divine grace. Living in North Africa, Augustine's theological insights were deeply shaped by both personal experience and doctrinal controversies. His battle against Pelagianism, a belief system that emphasized human free will over divine grace, led him to articulate a view of justification that underscored humanity's dependence on God. Augustine's teachings not only influenced medieval Christian thought but also laid the groundwork for later theological debates during the Protestant Reformation²¹. For Augustine, justification was not merely a legal declaration by God but a transformative process. He argued that humans, tainted by original sin, could not achieve righteousness on their own. Instead, justification was an act

of divine grace that infused righteousness into the believer, making them holy rather than simply declaring them as such. This notion, deeply rooted in Pauline theology (Romans 5:1, Ephesians 2:8–9), became a cornerstone of Catholic soteriology and was later debated during the Protestant Reformation²². His most famous opponent, Pelagius (c. 360–418 AD), believed that human beings had the natural ability to choose good and earn salvation through moral effort. Augustine strongly opposed this idea, arguing that even the first step toward faith was a gift of grace. In his work *De Spirituet Littera (On the Spirit and the Letter)*, he asserted that human will alone could not lead to salvation; rather, divine grace had to transform the heart. His doctrine of prevenient grace, which taught that God's grace precedes human action, became a key theological concept that influenced both medieval and Reformation thought.²³ Augustine's views on justification also shaped the early ecumenical councils. The Council of Carthage (418 AD) formally condemned Pelagianism, reinforcing Augustine's teaching that salvation depended entirely on God's grace. Later, the Council of Orange (529 AD) built upon his ideas, rejecting any notion that human beings could earn justification without divine intervention. This theological stance remained central to Roman Catholic doctrine, particularly in debates surrounding the nature of grace and free will²⁴. Augustine of Hippo significantly shaped the doctrine of justification in early Christianity. In opposition to Pelagius (c. 360–418 AD), who taught that humans could attain righteousness through free will and moral effort, Augustine argued that justification is an act of God's grace, transforming sinners into righteous individuals rather than merely declaring them righteous²⁵. His interpretation of justification influenced later Catholic and Protestant thought, particularly regarding original sin and the role of grace. The early ecumenical councils did not formally define justification, but their Christological decisions had implications for soteriology.

During the medieval period, Augustine's influence extended into scholastic theology, particularly through Thomas Aquinas (1225–1274 AD). Aquinas synthesized Augustinian theology with Aristotelian philosophy, arguing that justification was both a divine gift and a cooperative effort between God and man. However, the Protestant Reformation (16th century) brought renewed scrutiny to Augustine's teachings, particularly his emphasis on grace. Martin Luther (1483–1546 AD), himself an Augustinian monk, rediscovered Augustine's writings and built upon them to develop his doctrine of justification by faith alone (*sola fide*). Despite his significant influence on Reformation thought, Augustine's view of justification remained distinct from Lutheran and Calvinist theology. While

Augustine emphasized transformative righteousness, where grace actually makes a person righteous, the Reformers taught imputed righteousness, where God declares sinners righteous through faith in Christ alone. This subtle yet crucial distinction became one of the defining differences between Catholic and Protestant soteriology²⁶. Augustine's doctrine of justification shaped not only early Christian theology but also medieval and Reformation thought. His emphasis on grace, original sin, and divine sovereignty set the foundation for centuries of theological debate; while his view of infused righteousness remained central to Roman Catholic doctrine, his stress on God's unmerited grace inspired Reformers to re-examine the true nature of salvation.

Anselmian Matrix of Justification

Apparently, the doctrine of justification underwent significant development in the medieval period, with Anselm of Canterbury (1033–1109 AD) offering one of the most influential perspectives. Anselm proposed the Satisfaction Theory of Atonement, which argued that humanity owed a debt of honour to God due to sin. Since humans could not pay this debt on their own, Christ's sacrifice served as the ultimate satisfaction to restore divine justice²⁷. This view had profound implications for the understanding of justification in Western Christianity. Anselm's theory differed from Augustinian perspectives, which emphasized divine grace as transformative. Instead, Anselm framed justification as a legal transaction, where Christ's death provided the necessary payment to satisfy God's justice. This idea laid the groundwork for later penal substitution theories, particularly in Protestant theology, where Christ's atonement was seen as a legal imputation of righteousness²⁸. A key implication of Anselm's doctrine was the shift toward objective atonement, where justification was seen as an act of divine justice rather than divine transformation. His view became central to Roman Catholic sacramental theology, influencing later scholastic theologians like Thomas Aquinas, who integrated satisfaction theory with grace-infused justification. During the Reformation, Protestant thinkers such as John Calvin (1509–1564 AD) drew from Anselm's legal framework but reinterpreted it within the doctrine of justification by faith alone. While Anselm emphasized the necessity of human cooperation, Calvin rejected this, insisting that justification was purely an act of divine grace received through faith. Despite this divergence, Anselm's legalistic interpretation of justification remained influential in Reformed and Evangelical thought. Anselm's Satisfaction Theory introduced a legalistic framework to justification that shaped medieval, Catholic, and Protestant soteriology. While his emphasis on satisfaction

and justice became central to Catholic theology, Reformers adapted his ideas to fit a more grace-centered understanding of justification. His work continues to influence modern theological discussions on the atonement and justification.

John Wycliffe's Theological Stance on the Authority of Scripture in Justification

John Wycliffe (c. 1328–1384 AD), often called the "Morning Star of the Reformation," was a medieval theologian and reformer who challenged the Catholic Church's teachings on justification. At the heart of Wycliffe's doctrine was the belief that Scripture alone (*sola scriptura*) held supreme authority in defining salvation. This contrasted sharply with the prevailing Catholic view, which placed equal weight on church traditions and papal authority. His emphasis on justification by faith through the teachings of Scripture foreshadowed key Protestant doctrines later championed by Martin Luther and John Calvin (McGrath, 1998). Wycliffe opposed the sacramental system of the Catholic Church, particularly the belief that justification was mediated through priestly absolution and the Eucharist. He argued that human righteousness came solely through faith in Christ, not through clerical intervention or good works. This stance directly challenged the Roman Church's control over salvation, making him a controversial figure in 14th-century England²⁹.

One of Wycliffe's most radical contributions was his translation of the Bible into English, allowing common people to access Scripture without relying on church officials. He believed that the truth of justification could only be properly understood when individuals engaged with the Word of God directly. This move was condemned by church authorities, and his writings were later banned, but his ideas paved the way for the Protestant Reformation. Despite church opposition, Wycliffe's influence continued through his followers, the Lollards, who spread his teachings across England. The movement emphasized that salvation was granted through faith in Christ alone, a belief that deeply influenced later Reformers. His teachings were particularly foundational for Jan Hus, who carried Wycliffe's doctrines into Bohemia, furthering the pre-Reformation call for doctrinal reform³⁰. Wycliffe's insistence on Scripture's authority in justification was a defining moment in church history. His rejection of papal authority, criticism of sacramental justification, and emphasis on faith helped lay the groundwork for Protestant theology. Though condemned as a heretic, his legacy endured, shaping the *sola scriptura* and *sola fide* principles that became central to Reformation theology.

Hus' Theological Legacy on Justification

Jan Hus (c. 1372–1415 AD), a Bohemian reformer, built upon the teachings of John Wycliffe, particularly in his critique of works-based salvation; Hus rejected the prevailing Catholic doctrine that justification was achieved through a combination of faith and good works, arguing instead that salvation depended solely on Christ's grace. His defiance of papal authority and emphasis on faith-based justification made him a significant forerunner of the Protestant Reformation³¹. Hus's rejection of indulgences and sacramental absolution placed him in direct conflict with the Roman Church. He condemned the practice of selling indulgences, arguing that forgiveness of sins could not be purchased but was instead a free gift from God. This stance anticipated Martin Luther's 1517 attack on indulgences, which sparked the Reformation (Fudge, 2010). A central element of Hus's theology was his belief that Christ, not the pope, was the true head of the Church. This led to his excommunication and eventual execution at the Council of Constance (1415 AD), where he was burned at the stake for heresy. Despite his martyrdom, his teachings lived on, particularly among the Hussites, a movement that influenced later Protestant traditions. Hus's theological contributions resonated with Martin Luther, who saw him as a predecessor in the struggle for justification by faith alone. Luther even declared at the Leipzig Debate (1519 AD) that "we are all Hussites" when defending his own views on salvation. This connection highlights Hus's enduring impact on Reformation theology. Jan Hus's critique of works-based salvation was a catalyst for later Protestant movements. His belief in justification by faith, opposition to indulgences, and defiance of papal authority positioned him as a key precursor to the 16th-century Reformation. Though martyred, his theological legacy helped reshape Christian thought on salvation.

Quest for Certitude on Justification: Theological and Epistemological Perspectives

A historical examination of the medieval period reveals a complex and nuanced theological landscape, marked by intense debates concerning the intricate nexus between faith, grace, works and justification. Scholasticism, a prevailing methodological framework for theological and philosophical inquiry during this period, played a fundamental role in shaping this discourse. Building upon the foundational writings of early Church Fathers, notably, Augustine, scholastic theologians strove to reconcile the dialectical tension between human reason and divine revelation. The focal point of their deliberations centered on the dynamics of divine grace in the justification process, specifically interrogating the extent to which human agency contributed to salvific efficacy. This medieval theological discourse laid the foundation for the subsequent

development of both Catholic and Protestant comprehensions of justification thereby exerting a profound influence on the trajectory of Christian theology.³² One of the central questions in scholastic debates was whether justification was solely an act of divine grace or required human cooperation. Thomas Aquinas (1225–1274) argued that while grace was the primary cause of justification, human free will played a role in responding to it. He maintained that grace infused righteousness into the believer, enabling good works that contributed to salvation. This view, later adopted by the Catholic Church, opposed the idea that faith alone was sufficient for justification.

Other medieval theologians, such as Duns Scotus (1266–1308) and William of Ockham (1287–1347), introduced different perspectives. Scotus emphasized divine predestination and the necessity of grace, but also suggested that human actions had merit when aligned with God's will. Ockham, on the other hand, developed a more voluntarist approach, arguing that justification depended on God's sovereign decision rather than any inherent righteousness in human works. These debates created a complex theological landscape that shaped medieval Catholic doctrine and later influenced Reformation thinkers. The disagreements within scholasticism provided both a challenge and a framework for the Protestant Reformation. Reformers such as Martin Luther and John Calvin reacted vehemently against the scholastic emphasis on works and merit, asserting that justification was by faith alone. They rejected the notion that human effort contributed to salvation, emphasizing instead that justification was a forensic declaration by God, based solely on Christ's righteousness. This sharp contrast between scholastic and Protestant views fueled the theological rift between Catholicism and emerging Protestant movements in the sixteenth century. The scholastic debates on faith, grace, and works significantly influenced the doctrine of justification in both Catholic and Protestant traditions. The emphasis on grace and human cooperation in medieval theology became a cornerstone of Catholic soteriology, while its rejection by Reformers helped define Protestant identity. The legacy of scholasticism remains evident in contemporary theological discussions on salvation and divine grace.

Thomas Aquinas's Theological Argument on Justification

Thomas Aquinas was one of the most influential medieval theologians in shaping the Catholic doctrine of justification. His theological framework, developed within the scholastic tradition, sought to reconcile divine grace with human free will. Aquinas argued that justification was fundamentally an act of God's grace, yet, he also maintained that human cooperation was necessary for the process to be complete. His teachings on

justification later became central to Catholic soteriology and stood in contrast to the Protestant doctrine of justification by faith alone³³. Aquinas built upon the teachings of Augustine but introduced a more structured explanation of how grace operates. He distinguished between sanctifying grace, which transforms the soul, and actual grace, which enables individuals to perform righteous deeds. According to Aquinas, justification involved both the infusion of grace and the believer's active participation in moral living. He asserted that while grace-initiated salvation, human effort was required to cultivate it through faith and good works³⁴. Aquinas also introduced the concept of merit in relation to justification. He argued that although grace was a divine gift, the believer could cooperate with it to perform meritorious works that contributed to their final salvation. This view became foundational in Catholic theology and was later reaffirmed at the Council of Trent in response to the Protestant Reformation. Aquinas' emphasis on the transformative aspect of grace contrasted with the forensic view of justification that emerged in Protestantism. Reformers such as Martin Luther and John Calvin rejected Aquinas' idea of human cooperation in justification, arguing instead that justification was a legal declaration by God, based solely on faith in Christ. They contended that human righteousness was imputed rather than infused, meaning that salvation was entirely dependent on Christ's work rather than human effort. This disagreement became a major theological dividing line between Catholicism and Protestantism.

Thomas Aquinas' doctrine of justification emphasized the interplay between divine grace and human effort. His teachings formed the basis of Catholic theology on salvation, particularly regarding the role of sanctifying grace and meritorious works. While later Reformers rejected his framework in favor of justification by faith alone, his influence remains significant in Catholic thought and continues to shape discussions on the relationship between grace and human action.

Pre-Reformers and Protestant Reformers' Shared Theological Perspectives on Justification

The Protestant Reformation of the sixteenth century did not emerge in isolation but was preceded by centuries of theological development. Various pre-Reformers, including John Wycliffe, Jan Hus, and the Waldensians, laid the groundwork for the doctrines that would later define Protestantism, particularly in relation to justification. While these early figures did not fully develop the principle of justification by faith alone, their critiques of church corruption and their emphasis on Scripture as the ultimate authority created fertile

ground for the Reformers' theological breakthroughs.³⁵ One of the most significant points of doctrinal continuity between the pre-Reformers and the Protestant Reformers was their shared concern over the role of grace in salvation. Wycliffe (1328–1384), an English theologian, argued that the authority of the Bible surpassed that of the Church, an idea that later became a cornerstone of Reformation theology. He also emphasized God's sovereign grace, stating that salvation was an act of divine election rather than human merit. Similarly, Jan Hus (1369–1415) challenged the sale of indulgences and clerical corruption, advocating for a return to a purer understanding of Christian faith based on Scripture rather than church tradition.

Despite their theological insights, these pre-Reformers still maintained some medieval understandings of justification. While they opposed the excessive legalism of the Catholic Church, they did not fully articulate the doctrine of justification by faith alone in the way that Martin Luther and John Calvin later would. However, their insistence on the primacy of Scripture and their critiques of works-based salvation directly influenced the Reformers, who built upon these ideas to develop a more systematic theology of justification (Lindberg, 2009). The Protestant Reformers took the foundations laid by their predecessors and expanded upon them, particularly in their articulation of *sola fide* (justification by faith alone). Martin Luther (1483–1546) famously declared that justification was entirely a work of God's grace, independent of human works. John Calvin described Justification by faith as the principle of religion; like Luther, Calvin also asserted that original sin left man guilty before God and man, unable to earn salvation by his own efforts, was completely dependent upon divine mercy. Those to whom God extended his mercy were the "elect"—those who had been predestined to salvation. The rest of mankind, by virtue of what Calvin himself called a "dread (horrible) decree," was predestined to destruction³⁶. The pre-Reformers played a crucial role in shaping the theological landscape that allowed the Protestant Reformation to take root. While they did not fully develop the doctrine of justification by faith alone, their challenges to church authority, emphasis on Scripture, and critiques of works-based righteousness paved the way for the Reformers' doctrinal advancements. The continuity between these movements highlights the gradual evolution of Christian thought and the enduring impact of their theological contributions.

Ecclesiastical Stance against Justification before the Reformation

Before the Protestant Reformation, the idea of justification by faith alone faced significant opposition from the Roman Catholic Church and various theological traditions. The medieval Church maintained a sacramental view of salvation, where grace was believed to be infused through the sacraments, particularly baptism and the Eucharist. Justification was seen as a process rather than a single event, requiring cooperation between divine grace and human effort. This perspective stood in direct contrast to the emerging Protestant view that justification was an instantaneous act of divine grace, received by faith alone. One of the strongest arguments against justification by faith alone came from the Church's understanding of merit. The concept of congruent and condign merit, developed by medieval theologians like Thomas Aquinas, held that while salvation was initiated by grace, human beings could earn merit through good works. This belief was institutionalized through practices such as penance, indulgences, and the intercession of saints. The sale of indulgences, in particular, became a point of contention, as it suggested that individuals could reduce their time in purgatory through financial contributions rather than through faith and repentance³⁷.

The official stance of the Church against justification by faith alone was formalized in the decrees of the Council of Trent (1545–1563), which condemned the Protestant doctrine as heretical. The council affirmed that while grace was necessary for salvation, faith alone was insufficient. Justification, according to Trent, involved both faith and works, with human cooperation playing an essential role. This doctrinal position reinforced the Catholic sacramental system and rejected the forensic justification proposed by the Reformers. The opposition to justification by faith alone was not merely theological but also political. The medieval Church had a vested interest in maintaining its authority over salvation, as it controlled the sacraments and the means by which grace was dispensed. Allowing individuals to claim salvation through faith alone would have undermined the Church's power, particularly its role in absolving sins and administering indulgences. As a result, the doctrine was suppressed, and those who promoted it, such as John Wycliffe and Jan Hus, were persecuted or excommunicated³⁸. The resistance to justification by faith alone before the Reformation was deeply rooted in both theological and institutional concerns. The Catholic Church's sacramental view of salvation, its reliance on the doctrine of merit, and its political authority all contributed to its rejection of *sola fide*.

Conclusion

Fundamentally, the doctrine of sola fide constitutes a pivotal theological construct within Protestantism, positing that justification before God is effaced solely through faith in Jesus Christ. By foregrounding faith as the primary soteriological mechanism, this concept underscores the paramount importance of trust in Christ's redemptive work. As a foundational tenet of Reformation theology, sola fide continues to inform and shape Christian doctrine, hermeneutics and praxis, warranting ongoing scholarly scrutiny and theological reflections.

Recommendations

The doctrine of sola fide holds enormous significance, underscoring the need for several key recommendations to deepen theological insight and facilitate inter-dimensional dialogue. It becomes clear that the concept of sola fide requires thorough investigation, highlighting the need for in-depth theological investigation and interfaith collaboration. Educational institutions like theological seminaries can provide a foundation for exploring the doctrine's development and significance. By engaging in rigorous study and discussion, scholars, theologians and seminarians can gain a deeper comprehension of salvation's complexities. Organisations that promote ecumenical dialogue such as CAN (Christian Association of Nigeria) and PFN (Pentecostal Fellowship of Nigeria), can also play a crucial role in fostering cooperation among diverse Christian groups. Through joint initiatives and declarations, participants can work towards a shared comprehension of biblical teachings and their practical implications. As we explore the doctrine of sola fide, it is evident that its application is fundamental for Christian living. By integrating theological insights with practical experience, believers can cultivate a deeper understanding of faith and its relationship to love, service, and moral integrity. Ultimately, Orthodox and Pentecostal churches should emphasize the practical implications of justification in Christian living. Understanding justification by faith alone should not lead to passive faith but rather inspire believers to live out their faith through love and good works. A balanced theological approach can ensure that the doctrine of justification remains a source of both spiritual assurance and ethical responsibility in the Christian life.

Notes and References

1. H. Bavinck, *Reformed Dogmatics: Sin and Salvation in Christ*. Baker Academic. 2006, 88.
2. P. Brown, *Augustine of Hippo: A Biography*, University of California Press. 2000, 65.
3. J. D. G. Dun, *The Theology of Paul the Apostle*, Eerdmans. 1988, 8.

4. R. M. Grant, *Irenaeus of Lyons*. Routledge. 1997, 34.
5. M.W. Holmes, *The Apostolic Fathers: Greek Texts and English Translations*. Baker Academic. 2007, 39.
6. M. Levering, *Biblical Natural Law: A Theocentric and Teleological Approach*. Oxford University Press. 2009, 116.
7. C. Lindberg, *The European Reformations*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2009, 53.
8. E. McGrath, *Iustitia Dei: A History of the Christian Doctrine of Justification*. Cambridge University Press. 1998, 103.
9. F. Oakley, *The Western Church in the Later Middle Ages*, Cornell University Press, 1985, 47.
10. H.A. Oberman, *The Harvest of Medieval Theology: Gabriel Biel and Late Medieval Nominalism*. Harvard University Press, 1963, 216.
11. H.A. Oberman, *Forerunners of the Reformation: The Shape of Late Medieval Thought*, Fortress Press. 1981, 21.
12. S. Ozment, *The Age of Reform (1250–1550)*. Yale University Press, 1980, 101.
13. J. Pelikan, J. *The Christian Tradition: A History of the Development of Doctrine, Volume 3*. University of Chicago Press, 1971, 45.
14. J.P. Torrell, *Saint Thomas Aquinas: The Person and His Work*. Catholic University of America Press. 2005, 53.
15. A.N. Williams, *The Theology of Anselm*, Oxford University Press, 1999, 213.
16. N.T. Wright, *Paul and the Faithfulness of God*, Fortress Press, 2013.
17. P. J. Klassen, *Europe in the Reformation*, United State of America, 1979, 138-139.