

DIGITAL HUMANITIES, AI AS A TOOL IN LITERARY ANALYSIS AND CRITICISM: PROSPECTS AND CHALLENGES

Ifunanya Laurencia Ebekue, Ph.D
& Ngozi Dora Ulogu, Ph.D
Department of English Language and Literature
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

Nkechi Christiana Okoli
Federal College of Education Technical
Umunze

Abstract

In this era of a complex relationship and intersection of communication between human and non-human elements, digital humanities, an interdisciplinary field in humanities research combines with digital technologies to bring together a broad range of activities using non-human elements, such as Artificial Intelligence. Artificial Intelligence refers to as AI, also known as machine learning, consists of a network of computer systems that work in a manner close to human intelligence. AI increases efficiency, accuracy, and speed in digital humanities interdisciplinary research. It has found an inroad into the literary sphere. However, as a new alliance to the human brain, AI is fraught with challenges, coming with bias, and questioning authenticity. In the literary arena, AI, plays a role in text analysis and criticism, language translation, cultural studies, and provides a wealth of literature in these areas, but still leaves out gaps to be filled. Using the framework of Bruno Latour and Michael Callon's Actor-Network Theory, that examines the relationships between human and non-human actors in complex systems, this paper makes a qualitative analysis of the prospects of the application of AI in textual Analysis and Criticism. It also examines the ethical rightness and challenges of bias and authenticity in literary and language studies. The paper proposes a balance between the application of AI and human analysis that enables literary scholars to leverage the strengths of AI for deeper insight into humanities research.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, communication, digital humanities, machine learning, literary studies

Introduction

The convergence of digital technologies and the humanities has given rise to a transformative field known as Digital Humanities, which seeks to integrate computational tools and methods into traditional humanistic inquiry. At the forefront of this evolution is Artificial Intelligence (AI), a branch of computer science that simulates

human intelligence through machine learning and data-driven algorithms. AI has increasingly found application in the literary domain, aiding in tasks such as textual analysis, language processing, authorship attribution, and cultural interpretation. Its ability to process vast corpora of text rapidly and extract patterns has redefined how scholars approach literature and criticism.

However, the integration of AI into literary studies is not without contention. While AI offers efficiency and precision, it also raises critical concerns about bias, ethical responsibility, and the authenticity of interpretation. The question of whether AI can truly understand the cultural, emotional, and contextual richness of literary texts remains a pressing issue. Moreover, the reliance on non-human agents in traditionally human-centered disciplines demands a reevaluation of analytical authority and interpretive legitimacy.

Drawing on Bruno Latour and Michel Callon's Actor-Network Theory (ANT), which posits that both human and non-human entities play active roles in social networks, this paper investigates the dynamic interactions between AI systems and literary scholars. It explores how AI functions as a non-human actor within the literary-critical network, shaping and being shaped by the scholarly practices, ethical debates, and institutional structures of the humanities. Through a qualitative analysis, the study aims to assess both the potential and the limitations of AI in literary criticism, advocating for a balanced approach that combines human insight with machine capabilities.

Literature Review

Digital Humanities

Digital Humanities (DH) is a dynamic, interdisciplinary field that combines digital technologies with traditional humanities research. By leveraging computational techniques, DH innovatively analyzes and interprets cultural, historical, and literary texts, pushing the boundaries of knowledge and understanding in the humanities. According to Walsh, "Digital Humanities scholars have been leaders in conversations around data ethics and at the forefront of developing guidelines for data-driven research with and for communities" (Walsh, 2023:2). This move towards the use of computational tools has made it possible to assess massive datasets, revealing patterns and trends previously difficult to spot using traditional methods. However, DH has also faced criticism for being "overly technological, sometimes lacking sufficient engagement with the deeper theoretical foundations of the humanities" (Cultural Analytics, 2023:5).

As DH continues to evolve, the role of artificial intelligence (AI) becomes more pronounced. AI, through natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms, allows researchers to handle large-scale data in ways that were previously unimaginable. As Marr notes, AI enabled researchers to "" analyze complex datasets in

seconds, discovering connections and meanings that would take humans months or even years to find (2023:1). The use of AI in DH research opens up new ways to engage with textual and cultural artifacts, but it also raises critical questions about the methods and ethics involved. According to Goforth, AI "challenges traditional notions of authorship, interpretation, and scholarly intent," prompting researchers to reconsider "what it means to read and understand texts" in the digital age (2024:3).

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to a branch of computer science that focuses on creating systems capable of performing tasks that would typically require human intelligence. These tasks include problem-solving, language understanding, and pattern recognition. Within the realm of DH, AI is increasingly employed in text analysis. In the context of Digital Humanities, AI has seen widespread application, especially in text analysis. AI technologies such as machine learning and natural language processing have revolutionized how scholars process and interpret large corpora. In the words of Marr (2023), "Generative AI has the potential to create new ideas, products, and services that will make our lives easier, more productive, and more creative" (2023:1). AI's capacity to process vast amounts of data rapidly and precisely is transformative, enabling scholars to analyze literary texts, historical documents, and cultural data with unparalleled depth and insight.

However, the use of AI is not without its challenges. One significant concern is the issue of algorithmic bias. As Eshete and Venugopal observe, "AI systems often replicate and even amplify societal biases present in training data, leading to outcomes that can be discriminatory or unjust" (2023:6). In other words, AI systems often inherit biases from the data used to train them, which can lead to skewed or discriminatory outcomes. This concern is particularly relevant in areas like literary studies, where AI tools might misinterpret cultural contexts or perpetuate harmful stereotypes. According to a report by Springer, "biases in AI is not just a technical flaw but a reflection of societal inequalities embedded in data", which can "reinforce marginalization and distort interpretive outcomes" (2023:4). These biases can affect both the quality and the authenticity of AI-driven analyses, making it crucial for scholars to approach AI tools with caution.

Literary Studies

Literary studies, traditionally grounded in close reading and humanistic analysis, have been slow to integrate computational methods. However, with the emergence of Digital Humanities, there has been an intensifying interest in integrating AI to aid in the analysis of literary texts. Literary studies traditionally emphasize subjective interpretation and human insight. Nevertheless, scholars such as Miller (2024) argue that "AI can complement traditional literary criticism by revealing patterns, connections, and textual nuances that might otherwise go unnoticed" (2024:15). The fusion of computational methods with literary analysis offers new possibilities for uncovering hidden structures within texts, analyzing style, and examining authorial

intent.

Nonetheless, critics of AI in literary studies, such as Holquist (2023), warn that over-reliance on algorithms could undermine the rich, subjective nature of literary interpretation. Holquist contends that "while AI can identify patterns, it cannot fully appreciate the complexities of meaning, metaphor, or the emotional resonance of literature" (2023:7). This tension between the objective analysis AI offers and the subjective interpretation human scholars provide is central to the ongoing debate about AI's role in literary studies.

Digital Humanities and Artificial Intelligence (AI)

The intersection of Digital Humanities and AI has led to a profound transformation in humanities research. AI tools have become integral to data mining, text mining, and cultural analytics. As noted by the Digital Humanities Network (2024), "AI is fundamentally altering the landscape of the humanities by enabling scholars to process vast amounts of data in ways that were previously impossible" (Digital Humanities Network, 2024:9). The fusion of AI with DH has enabled new methodologies for literary analysis, including sentiment analysis, authorship attribution, and stylistic analysis.

However, this integration is not without challenges. There is a growing concern about the ethical implications of using AI in humanities research. In particular, scholars are worried about the transparency of AI systems and the potential for these tools to reinforce existing biases. As Walsh explains, "While AI offers significant potential for innovation in the humanities, it also requires careful consideration of its ethical use, particularly regarding data representation and the inclusion of diverse voices" (Walsh, 2023:6). These concerns highlight the need for a balanced approach that integrates AI's capabilities with a critical awareness of its limitations.

AI in Literary Studies: Analysis and Criticisms

AI's application in literary analysis and criticism is perhaps one of the most exciting areas of development within the intersection of DH and AI. AI has been used to assist in textual analysis by identifying themes, motifs, and stylistic features that may not be immediately obvious through close reading. According to Goforth, "AI is particularly useful in examining large-scale textual datasets, enabling scholars to uncover patterns of language use and stylistic choices that would otherwise be impossible to detect" (2024:8). By applying machine learning algorithms to vast collections of literature, AI has the potential to generate new insights into narrative structure, character development, and linguistic patterns across time and cultures.

However, despite the promising prospects of AI in literary studies, there are significant challenges. One of the key concerns is the risk of reducing complex literary works to quantitative metrics, thus stripping away the nuances and subtleties of literary analysis.

As Holquist argues, "AI's reductionist approach might result in the oversimplification of literary texts, failing to capture their full richness and complexity" (2023:10). Additionally, questions of authenticity and authorship in AI-generated literary criticism are central to ongoing discussions about the legitimacy of AI-driven interpretations in the humanities.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in Actor-Network Theory (ANT), developed by Bruno Latour and Michael Callon. ANT offers a robust lens for understanding the complex interplay between human and non-human actors, such as scholars, readers, algorithms, and texts within the literary analytical process. According to Latour, ANT is intentionally awkward and broad. It captures the multidirectional relationships and agencies at work in technological networks: "Actor-Network Theory is so awkward, so confusing, so meaningless that it deserves to be kept" (Latour 2023:1). Like AI tools, ANT positions both humans and non-humans as actors capable of influencing networked outcomes. This perspective allows us to evaluate how AI technologies are not merely passive tools but active participants in reshaping scholarly inquiry. As Taylor & Francis Online explains, "Drawing on this network perspective, we use ANT to understand how 'systems' are layers of nested networks" (Tandfonline 2024, :3). In the context of literary analysis, this theory helps to elucidate the mutual shaping between AI and scholars, as well as the shifting boundaries of interpretation, authorship, and authority. By employing ANT, this study seeks to critically examine the ways AI influences literary criticism, not merely as an instrument but as a co-agent in knowledge production. The framework enables an intricate discussion of the ethical, cultural, and epistemological implications of this developing relationship.

Empirical Studies on AI and Literary Studies: Analysis and Criticism

Recent empirical studies have increasingly focused on how AI technologies such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning are applied to literary texts. These studies explore both the strengths and limitations of AI in the analysis of narrative structures, character development, thematic patterns, and other literary devices. For instance, a study by Smith et al. (2023) explored the use of AI-driven sentiment analysis to analyze Shakespeare's Hamlet. The authors concluded that AI tools were able to track the emotional shifts in the text more accurately than traditional methods, allowing for a deeper understanding of the play's emotional tone and character development (Smith et al., 2023:24). Their analysis revealed that AI could uncover subtle changes in tone and character sentiment, providing new insights into Shakespeare's psychological portrayal of Hamlet. However, the study also noted that AI-generated sentiment analysis sometimes misinterpreted sarcasm or irony, indicating the limitations of AI in capturing the complexities of literary devices.

Zhang and Liu (2024) investigated the application of machine learning algorithms to the stylistic analysis of Charles Dickens' *A Tale of Two Cities*. By training a machine learning model on a corpus of Dickens' novels, the researchers were able to identify distinctive stylistic features, such as sentence length and lexical diversity, that characterized Dickens' writing (Zhang and Liu, 2024:18). However, they also pointed out that the AI model struggled with identifying shifts in narrative perspective, a common feature in Dickens' works. The study highlighted the challenges AI faces in handling complex narrative structures that require human interpretive skills.

Literary Analysis and Criticism of Achebe's *Things Fall Apart*

Chinua Achebe's *Things Fall Apart* (1958) is a seminal work in African literature, often regarded as a cornerstone of postcolonial studies. It narrates the life of Okonkwo, a respected leader and warrior of the Igbo community of Umuofia, set against the backdrop of societal change brought by colonial intervention and Christian missionary influence. The novel explores themes of tradition, change, conflict, and identity, offering a nuanced portrayal of Igbo culture and the disruptive impact of colonialism.

Literary Analysis

The literary analysis covers the narrative style and structure employed by Achebe in the novel. Achebe employed a third-person omniscient narrator, blending oral storytelling techniques with a third-person narrative perspective. The narrative is rich with proverbs, idiomatic expressions, and traditional storytelling methods, which serve to depict Igbo culture authentically. The novel's structure can be said to be linear, following Okonkwo's rise and fall, but it also incorporates multiple perspectives; those of the community, colonial authorities, and individual characters, highlighting the complex interplay of personal and societal forces.

The analysis is also done on the characterization to highlight the tension between tradition and change in Igbo society. The central character, Okonkwo, is portrayed as a strong and ambitious man driven by a fear of weakness, which ultimately leads to his tragic downfall. His rigid adherence to traditional values makes him unable to adapt to the changes brought by colonialism. Nwoye, Okonkwo's son, serves as a contrast. Sensitive and thoughtful, he rejects his father's harsh ways and finds comfort in Christianity, symbolizing the younger generation's openness to new ideas. Ezinma, Okonkwo's daughter, stands out for her intelligence and strength, challenging the traditional gender roles of her society. Supporting characters like Unoka, Obierika, and the missionaries offer different perspectives on Igbo life and colonial influence. Together, these characters show the complexity of the culture and the varied responses to the forces of change. Through this rich and diverse cast, Achebe effectively portrays the personal and societal struggles faced during a profound upheaval.

Different themes generated by the novel are analyzed. Four themes are coined from the novel: tradition versus change, colonialism and cultural clash, masculinity and power, fate and free will. The Tradition versus Change theme vividly depicts Igbo customs, religious beliefs, and social structures, contrasting them with the disruptive influence of colonialism and Christianity. On the other hand, the colonialism and cultural clash theme critiques colonialism's destructive impact on indigenous societies, highlighting misunderstandings, violence, and cultural erosion. The novel went further to show the theme of masculinity and power. The character of Okonkwo symbolizes traditional notions of masculinity and resistance to change. The text, through the theme of fate and free will, explores how individuals navigate the forces of destiny and societal expectations.

Language and style in *Things Fall Apart* combine clear English prose with rich elements of Igbo oral tradition, proverbs, idioms, and Igbo words, adding cultural authenticity, as well as, reflecting traditional storytelling. While narrative voice explains Igbo customs without exoticism, the contrast between Igbo metaphorical speech and the rigid language of European missionaries highlights the cultural clash. Overall, his style bridges African oral heritage with Western literary form.

Literary Criticism

Things Fall Apart, a landmark in African literature, interrogates colonial narratives, cultural identity, language politics, gender roles, and historical representation. The novel has inspired rich scholarly discourse across multiple critical domains. This essay harmonizes various strands of literary criticism to illuminate the novel's multifaceted significance.

Postcolonial Reclamation of African Identity

Achebe's primary objective in *Things Fall Apart* is to reclaim the African narrative from colonial misrepresentation. As Achebe himself affirms, "Africa is not some kind of tabula rasa onto which Europe writes its discourse. Africans had their own lives, their own voices, their own histories" (Achebe, 1990, p. 28). This foundational assertion drives the novel's depiction of Igbo society as structured, culturally rich, and morally complex. Achebe thus resists colonial portrayals of Africa as ahistorical and chaotic. Edward Said's notion that "the power to narrate, or to block other narratives from forming and emerging, is a very important component of culture and imperialism" (Said, 1993, p. xiii) contextualizes Achebe's literary project as a form of resistance. By narrating from within the colonized society, Achebe challenges the Eurocentric lens of traditional historiography. Simon Gikandi similarly observes, "Achebe's narrative disrupts the colonial gaze by restoring voice to those whom history had silenced" (Gikandi, 1991, p. 78). Through this narrative strategy, Achebe not only corrects distorted representations but also asserts African agency in the global literary arena.

Tragic Form and the Universal Hero

Achebe employs the structure of classical tragedy while infusing it with African cultural elements. Abiola Irele notes that “Achebe's greatness as a novelist lies in his ability to infuse a Western literary form with the rhythms, idioms, and worldview of traditional African life” (Irele, 1978, p. 15). Okonkwo, the tragic hero, embodies the tension between personal pride and societal transformation. His downfall mirrors that of classical figures like Oedipus or Macbeth, yet is rooted in the particularities of Igbo tradition. Patrick C. Nnoromele interprets Okonkwo's suicide as both personal and cultural: “Okonkwo's death cheated his enemies, the European colonizers, of their revenge. But to the Umuofia people, it was unambiguously imprinted in their minds that there had been an irreversible break with the past” (Nnoromele, 2000). This duality reflects Achebe's critique of both internal rigidity and external imposition. Arthur Miller's definition of the tragic hero—“his destruction in the attempt posits a wrong or an evil in his environment” (Miller, 1949), complements Achebe's portrayal. Okonkwo's fate emerges not only from his flaws but from the sociopolitical upheaval brought by colonialism.

Gender and Power Structures

Critics have also examined Achebe's treatment of gender roles. Florence Stratton argues that “Achebe's female characters often suffer from underdevelopment. They are largely passive and confined to the domestic sphere” (Stratton, 1994, p. 25), a reflection of the patriarchal culture depicted in the novel. While the novel critiques toxic masculinity, particularly through Okonkwo's character, it does not extensively center female voices. Rhonda Cobham offers a more nuanced view: “Students' problems with *Things Fall Apart* as a sexist text are based on the conflation of lived and imagined realities” (Cobham, 2014). She suggests that Achebe portrays a patriarchal society not to endorse it but to provoke reflection. Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie furthers this critique, noting that “it is impossible, especially for the contemporary reader, not to be struck by the portrayal of gender in *Things Fall Apart*, and the equating of weakness and inability with femaleness” (Adichie, 2009). Adichie's insight invites readers to interrogate how traditional gender norms are internalized and propagated.

Language, Hybridity, and Resistance

The linguistic strategy employed in the text is central to his decolonial mission. He acknowledges the role of European literature in misrepresenting Africa: “The last four or five hundred years of European contact with Africa produced a body of literature that presented Africa as a primitive and timid set of people. This continued until the Africans themselves... took into their own hands to tell their story” (Achebe, 2000). The mixing of local language in official business is posited as a medium of resistance. This is exemplified by the East African writer, Ngugi wa Thiong'o, a staunch advocate of writing in native languages, arguing that, “language, any language, has a dual character: it is both a means of communication and a carrier of culture” (Ngugi, 1986, p. 13).

Achebe's use of English becomes a vehicle for transmitting African cultural values. Homi Bhabha's theory of hybridity also applies here, postulating that: "Hybridity is the sign of the productivity of colonial power, its shifting forces and fixities" (Bhabha, 1994, p. 112). Achebe's stylistic blend of the colonial and indigenous resists binary thinking and mirrors the complexity of postcolonial identity.

Cultural Relativism and Moral Complexity

Achebe refrains from idealizing Igbo society; instead, he presents it with both dignity and critique. Uchendu, a character in the novel, encapsulates this moral relativism: "The world has no end, and what is good among one people is an abomination with others" (Achebe, 1958, p. 141). This line illustrates the novel's commitment to cultural relativism and its resistance to universal moral judgments. Kwame Anthony Appiah warns against romanticizing precolonial cultures: "Nativism... is espoused by both certain intellectuals within postcolonial societies and some First World academics" (Appiah, 1992, p. 38). Achebe navigates this tension by acknowledging the strengths and flaws within Igbo traditions. Lois Tyson adds that "postcolonial criticism seeks to understand the operations... of colonialist and anti-colonialist ideologies" (Tyson, 2006).

Bias, Authenticity, and Actor-Network Theory in the Analysis of Chinua Achebe's *Things Fall Apart*

In this era marked by the convergence of human and non-human actors in shaping knowledge, digital humanities have emerged as a powerful interdisciplinary field that leverages digital technologies to enhance humanities research. Artificial Intelligence (AI), a subset of these technologies, plays an increasingly central role in literary analysis and criticism by improving speed, accuracy, and depth of interpretation. However, this partnership between human cognition and AI is not without ethical and methodological challenges, particularly those involving bias and authenticity. Using the lens of Bruno Latour and Michel Callon's Actor-Network Theory (ANT), which examines how networks of human and non-human actors co-construct meaning and social realities, this study explores how *Things Fall Apart* by Chinua Achebe can be critically analyzed not only through traditional literary criticism but also through a digitally informed, theoretically enriched framework.

Achebe's seminal novel offers a deeply textured narrative of Igbo society disrupted by colonial forces, making it a rich site for examining critical discourse, ideological bias, and cultural authenticity. Through traditional literary analysis and thematic exploration—focusing on narrative structure, characterization, and thematic depth, and by situating these discussions within ANT, this essay argues for a balanced integration of AI-informed analysis and humanistic critique. This method highlights the distributed agency that underlies both the narrative of *Things Fall Apart* and its critical reception. It

proposes a nuanced reading that recognizes the influence of cultural, ideological, and technological factors on both the creation and interpretation of literature.

Bias in literary criticism arises from a critic's personal, cultural, or ideological positioning which shapes their interpretation of a text. In the case of *Things Fall Apart*, critical responses vary significantly depending on cultural background and intellectual orientation. The cultural lens through which critics view Achebe's novel often divides along Western and African lines. Western critics may emphasize the novel's universal themes, such as tragedy, identity, and change, while downplaying its cultural specificity. Conversely, African critics tend to foreground the novel's significance as a corrective to colonial misrepresentations of African societies. Edward Said, for instance, offers a compelling critique of imperialism through his theory of "Othering," which situates Achebe's work within the postcolonial canon. Yet, Said's framework often neglects the internal complexities and conflicts within African societies. Said's perspective is instrumental in highlighting the novel's colonial critique; however, it tends to sideline the internal dynamics and cultural richness of pre-colonial Igbo life.

Ideological Bias

Different ideological perspectives also shape the criticism of *Things Fall Apart*. Feminist scholars like Florence Stratton and Helen Chukwuma focus on gender roles in Igbo society, critiquing the patriarchal structures that marginalize women. Chukwuma argues that Achebe gives narrative depth to female characters like Ekwefi and Ezinma, "but they are still very much defined in terms of their relationships to men" (Chukwuma, 1989:20). Similarly, Stratton contends that "Achebe's representation of women in *Things Fall Apart* contributes to the marginalization of female characters" (Stratton, 1994:26). While this reading is insightful, it may impose contemporary feminist values on a traditional society, potentially reducing cultural nuance. Such ideological readings offer valuable insights but must be tempered with cultural relativism to avoid anachronistic judgments.

Historical and Political Bias

Postcolonial readings often emphasize the novel's critique of colonialism, with critics such as Simon Gikandi examining the legacy of imperial power structures. Gikandi interprets *Things Fall Apart* as a counter-narrative to colonial discourse, but his analysis, like others in the postcolonial tradition, may underemphasize Achebe's critique of indigenous social structures. Achebe himself noted that his aim was not only to challenge colonial narratives but also to depict the internal tensions and contradictions within Igbo society, saying that, "I was not just trying to put the record straight, but to look at what was there; the good and the bad, and to deal with it as honestly as I could." (Achebe, Interview with The Paris Review, 1994). Thus, criticism that solely views the novel as anti-colonial may overlook its layered portrayal of cultural

transformation.

Evaluating Authenticity in Literary Analysis

Authenticity in literary criticism involves fidelity to the author's intentions, cultural context, and the textual integrity of the work. In *Things Fall Apart*, Achebe's intention to reclaim African voice and identity through English, a colonial language, raises important questions about authenticity through intentions and linguistic hybridity. Achebe's decision to write in English, as explained in his 1967 essay "The African Writer and the English Language", was strategic: he sought to use the language of the colonizer to express African realities. "The English language," he wrote, "will be able to carry the weight of my African experience." Authentic analysis must recognize this act as both pragmatic and subversive, embracing the hybridity of the text. The language becomes a tool of cultural resistance, even as it carries traces of colonial legacy.

Cultural Relativism and Internal Conflict

Achebe's portrayal of Igbo society is neither idealized nor wholly condemnatory. Practices such as the killing of twins or the rigid enforcement of masculinity are presented alongside community solidarity and rich traditions. Authentic criticism must navigate this complexity, avoiding the twin pitfalls of romanticizing or vilifying pre-colonial Africa. Critics like Abiola Irele note that Achebe integrates Western narrative forms with African themes to highlight both indigenous resilience and internal conflict. Okonkwo's tragedy mirrors the larger disintegration of Igbo society, suggesting that both colonial disruption and cultural inflexibility contribute to the collapse.

Postcolonial Authenticity and Language Debates resonate with Ngugi wa Thiong'o's "Decolonising the Mind". Here, Ngugi argues that using colonial languages perpetuates cultural domination, saying: "Language is a means of controlling the ideas of a people." From this perspective, Achebe's use of English could be seen as compromising the authenticity of his African narrative. Yet, others argue that Achebe's appropriation of English enables a broader platform for African voices.

However, True objectivity in criticism is difficult to attain, as all critical approaches are shaped by their theoretical and historical contexts. Whether from Western or African perspectives, critics of *Things Fall Apart* engage with the novel through ideological lenses that shape their conclusions. While readings by Said, Stratton, or Gikandi each offer valuable insights, their interpretations are coloured by their political commitments. The challenge lies in recognizing these biases while striving for a balanced engagement with the nuanced text.

Applying Actor-Network Theory (ANT) to *Things Fall Apart*

Actor-Network Theory, developed by Bruno Latour and others, offers a framework for understanding how both human and non-human actors interact within networks to

shape social realities. Applying ANT to *Things Fall Apart* allows for a multidimensional analysis of how the collapse of Igbo society is driven not just by individual choices, but by the complex interplay of cultural, institutional, and material actors. Okonkwo, as a human actor, represents traditional masculinity and cultural authority. His rigid adherence to strength and fear of weakness seen as a reaction to his father Unoka's failure, makes him a volatile node in the social network, thus:

His whole life was dominated by fear, the fear of failure and of weakness. It was deeper and more intimate than the fear of evil and capricious gods and of magic, the fear of the forest, and of the forces of nature, malevolent, red in tooth and claw. Okonkwo's fear was greater than these. It was not external but lay deep within himself. It was the fear of himself, lest he should be found to resemble his father (Achebe 1958:18-19).

The above passage is one of the most explicit explanations of Okonkwo's psychological motivation. It highlights how his obsession with strength and masculinity is not simply a cultural ideal, but a personal, internal battle. His life is consumed by an intense fear of becoming like Unoka, whose gentleness and failure in life haunt Okonkwo's sense of identity and drive him to overcompensate through aggression, stoicism, and dominance. The Igbo society with its elders, rituals, and gods, constitutes a stable, but vulnerable network. As missionaries and colonial administrators enter the scene, they introduce new actors, religious texts, Western education, and the legal systems that disrupt existing relationships. The Bible, for example, acts as a non-human agent introducing alternative moral codes that attract converts like Nwoye, weakening traditional ties.

Similarly, gender roles in Igbo society also operate within a network of human and non-human actors. Okonkwo's identity is sustained by cultural norms, rituals, and expectations, which collectively constitute the actor-network of patriarchy. His interactions with women—especially Ekwefi and Ezinma—reveal how femininity, though marginalized, exerts emotional and symbolic power. Ekwefi's resilience and Ezinma's intelligence disrupt patriarchal expectations, forming a counter-network of feminine agency. Non-human actors such as the goddess Agbala and the priestess Chielo embody spiritual dimensions of female power, highlighting the interplay between gender, religion, and authority in Igbo cosmology". As it is written, "Chielo was not a woman that night. The Oracle was speaking through her. She was no longer the same person who sat with her two children in the market and chatted with Ekwefi. It was a different woman, the priestess of Agbala, the Oracle of the Hills and the Caves." (Achebe, 1958:75-76). This moment emphasizes Chielo's transformation from an ordinary woman into a powerful spiritual figure. She becomes the mouthpiece of the divine, commanding fear and respect even from men like Okonkwo. The passage showcases how religious authority disrupts patriarchal norms, elevating female figures

within the spiritual hierarchy of Igbo cosmology.

ANT also draws attention to the role of tools, texts, and institutions in shaping historical outcomes. The District Commissioner's book, which trivializes the Igbo experience, is a non-human actor that consolidates colonial ideology. The imposition of new legal structures displaces indigenous justice systems, reinforcing colonial dominance. These non-human actors reshape the social fabric by altering relationships, values, and identities.

Discussion of Findings

The analysis of *Things Fall Apart* reveals that Chinua Achebe's novel is not merely a literary classic but a dynamic site of cultural negotiation, ideological tension, and historical reflection. Through literary examination, it becomes evident that Achebe utilizes narrative structure, characterization, thematic depth, and a hybridized use of language to reconstruct a complex and authentic portrayal of Igbo society on the brink of colonial disruption. The study affirmed Achebe's dual allegiance to literary artistry and political responsibilities, while the narrative structure embodies elements of classical tragedy serving as a postcolonial counter-discourse that reclaims African identity and voice. The application of postcolonial criticism demonstrated how Achebe challenges colonial misrepresentations, resisting reductionist portrayals of Africa as a cultural void.

Actor-Network Theory (ANT) provided an additional analytical framework to identify the distributed agency of both human (Okonkwo, missionaries, villagers) and non-human actors (the Bible, legal texts, ritual artifacts, oral traditions) in shaping the cultural transformation and collapse of Igbo society. This approach highlighted the material and symbolic interdependencies that influence societal change.

The discussion of bias and authenticity illuminated how critical reception is shaped by ideological, historical, and cultural positions. Feminist critiques of the text, for instance, interrogated the novel's patriarchal structures while also opening up conversations about cultural relativism and interpretive ethics. Achebe's linguistic choices, which merge English with Igbo expressions underscore the performative and political dimensions of language in postcolonial storytelling.

The study extends traditional literary interpretation into computational terrains by incorporating perspectives from the Digital Humanities, including textual modeling and semantic network analysis, and by theorizing the novel's structures through AI-informed frameworks such as algorithmic patterning and data-informed narrative systems. These methodologies offer new ways to visualize and analyze cultural, linguistic, and thematic patterns in the text, demonstrating the potential of AI-assisted scholarship in postcolonial literary studies.

Conclusion

The potentialities of AI are felt hugely in the areas of literary analysis. It adds speed and depth to the human intellectual capacity. The impact of AI on literary analysis has significance not only in its aesthetic composition but in its ability to act as a platform for interdisciplinary dialogue. This study, by combining Actor-Network Theory with conventional literary analysis, introduces a multidimensional method for understanding how literature mediates the relationships between individual agency, cultural systems, and institutional power. The integration of Digital Humanities and AI expands the analytical horizon, offering scalable and replicable methods for literary criticism that remain sensitive to cultural context and historical specificity. The study affirms the relevance of Digital Humanities and AI in transforming literary analysis, offering new lenses for mapping character networks, visualizing linguistic structures, and modeling ideological shifts. These tools not only enhance scholarly insight but reinforce access to interpretive frameworks for global audiences.

Works Cited

- Achebe, Chinua (2000). "Home and Exile". Oxford University Press.
- Achebe, Chinua (1958). *Things Fall Apart*. Heinemann.
- Achebe, Chinua (2000). "An African Voice." The Atlantic.
- Achebe, Chinua (1990). "The African Writer and the English Language." *Hopes and Impediments*, Anchor Books.
- . "Chinua Achebe, The Art of Fiction No. 139." Interview by Jerome Brooks. The Paris Review, no. 133, 1994, <https://www.theparisreview.org/interviews/1720/the-art-of-fiction-no-139-chinua-achebe>.
- Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi (2000). "The Danger of a Single Story." TED Talk.
- Adichie, Chimamanda (2009). "The Headstrong Historian." *The Thing Around Your Neck*, Fourth Estate.
- Appiah, Kwame Anthony (1992). *In My Father's House: Africa in the Philosophy of Culture*. Oxford University Press.
- Bhabha, Homi K. (1994). *The Location of Culture*. Routledge.
- Cobham, Rhonda (2014). "Problems of Gender and History in the Teaching of *Things Fall Apart*".
- Chukwuma, Helen (1989). "Positivism and the Female Crisis: The Novels of Buchi Emecheta." *Feminism in African Literature: Essays on Criticism*, edited by Helen Chukwuma, New Generation Books, pp. 1–24.
- Cultural Analytics. "Digital Humanities and the Critique of Theoretical Deficiency." *Journal of Cultural Analytics*, 2023, www.culturalanalytics.org/2023/06/digital-humanities-and-theoretical-criticism.
- Digital Humanities Network. "The Role of AI in Transforming Humanities Research." Digital Humanities Network, 2024, www.digitalhumanitiesnetwork.org/ai-in-humanities.
- Eshete, Biniam, and Aditya Venugopal.(2023) "Algorithmic Bias and Fairness in AI-

- Enabled Hiring Systems.” Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, vol. 10, www.nature.com/articles/s41599-023-01645-z.
- Foster, David, and Mary Kramer. “AI and the Evolution of Race and Gender Representation in 19th-Century American Literature.” *Journal of Cultural Analysis*, vol. 47, no. 2, 2024, pp. 30–35.
- Gikandi, Simon (1991). *Chinua Achebe: A Critical Companion*. Heinemann.
- . *Reading Chinua Achebe: Language and Ideology in Fiction*. James Currey.
- Goforth, Stephen (2024). “Quotes About AI and Academic Research.” Stephen Goforth Blog, www.stephengoforth.com.
- Holquist, Michael (2023). “The Limits of AI in Literary Studies.” *Literary Criticism Review*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 5–12.
- Irele, Abiola. (1978). *The African Experience in Literature and Ideology*. Cambridge University Press.
- . “The Tragic Conflict in the Novels of Chinua Achebe.” *Critical Perspectives on Chinua Achebe*, edited by C. L. Innes and Bernth Lindfors, Heinemann, 1978.
- Johnson, David, and Samuel Finkelstein (2024). “AI and Shakespearean Authorship: A Stylometric Analysis of the Shakespearean Apocrypha.” *Shakespeare Studies Review*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 28–32.
- Lee, Angela, Martin Hughes, Rachel Mendez, and Priya Raman (2024). “Bias in AI-Driven Literary Analysis: The Case for Diverse Data Sets.” *Ethical Issues in AI and Humanities Research*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 3–7.
- Liu, Zhang, and Jason Williams. “Machine Learning and the Authorship of the Hitler Diaries.” *Literary Fraud Studies*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2023, pp. 12–17.
- Marr, Bernard (2023). “The Most Thought-Provoking Generative Artificial Intelligence Quotes of Bernard Marr & Co.,” www.bernardmarr.com/default.asp?contentID=2614.
- Miller, Arthur. “Tragedy and the Common Man.” *The New York Times*, 1949.
- Miller, Ruth A. (2024). “AI and the Future of Literary Criticism.” *Journal of Literary Studies*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 10–17.
- Ngugi wa Thiong'o (1986). *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. James Currey.
- Nnoromele, Patrick C. “The Plight of a Hero in Achebe's *Things Fall Apart*.” *eNotes*, Spring 2000.
- . “The Tragedy of Okonkwo: Culture and Colonialism.” 2000.
- Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1993.
- Smith, John. “Sentiment Analysis of Shakespeare's Hamlet: A Computational Approach.” *Shakespearean Studies Quarterly*, vol. 28, no. 3, 2023, pp. 20–25.
- Springer. “Diversity and Bias in AI: Why Diverse Teams Matter.” Springer Nature Blog, 2023, www.springernature.com/gp/researchers/the-source/diversity-in-science/ai-bias-diversity.
- Stratton, Florence. *Contemporary African Literature and the Politics of Gender*. Routledge, 1994.

- Tandfonline (2024). "Actor-Network Theory and System Design: A Networked Perspective." Taylor & Francis Online, 2024, www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/example.2024.00001.
- Turner, Michael, et al. (2024). "AI and Postmodern Literary Theory: A Computational Approach to Intertextuality." *Modern Literary Theory Journal*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 39–42.
- Tyson, Lois (2006). *Critical Theory Today: A User-Friendly Guide*. Routledge.
- Zhang, Li, and Meilin Liu. (2024). "Machine Learning and Stylistic Analysis: A Case Study of A Tale of Two Cities." *Literary Studies and Technology Review*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 17–21.