

HARMONIZING SCIENCE AND HUMANITY: THE ROLE OF GOD IN BRIDGING ETHICAL INNOVATION IN THE AFRICAN CONTEXT

Chibuzo Emmanuel Nwagwu,
Sunset International Bible Institute, Lubbock Texas,
Lagos Study center
emmanuelchibuzo03@gmail.com / +234-7039-020-867

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper explores the convergence of science, ethics, and theology, with a focus on how the concept of God can provide a moral compass for scientific innovation within the African cultural and philosophical landscape. Grounded in African ethical humanism and the theological framework of theonomous ethics, the research critically examines the tension between technological advancement and moral responsibility in African societies. Drawing on both qualitative content analysis and historical-theological methodology, this study interrogates the roles of indigenous spirituality and Christian theology in shaping an ethically responsive scientific culture. The research identifies core ethical dilemmas arising from unregulated technological innovation, such as bioethics, artificial intelligence, environmental degradation, and inequality in access to healthcare technologies. African scholars like John Mbiti, Kwame Gyekye, Mercy Oduyoye, and Emmanuel Asante have contributed significantly to discourse on African moral thought and theological ethics, laying the groundwork for contextualizing innovation within a value-laden framework.

The paper seeks to resolve five core problems:

1. The ethical dislocation between science and African communal values.
2. The secularization of scientific practice and its detachment from moral accountability.
3. The marginalization of indigenous knowledge systems in global scientific discourse.
4. The absence of a unified ethical framework guiding technological innovation in Africa.
5. The neglect of theological resources in shaping public policy on science and innovation.

Theoretical Framework This research adopts the *theonomous ethics* approach, which posits that moral norms are divinely derived, as opposed to autonomous (human-centered) or heteronomous (externally imposed) ethics (Tillich 12). The study also draws from African ethical humanism, which emphasizes community, respect for life, and harmony with nature as moral imperatives (Gyekye 15).

Methodology A qualitative methodology was used, combining content analysis of scholarly literature, theological texts, and African philosophical writings. The historical-theological method enabled the tracing of ethical ideas through African Christian theology and indigenous traditions.

Keywords: Science, Ethics, Theology, African Innovation, Theonomous Ethics

Science in the African Context Science is "a systematic enterprise that builds and organizes knowledge in the form of testable explanations and predictions about the universe" (Wilson 14). In Africa, science must engage not only empirical evidence but also contextual realities such as poverty, healthcare disparity, and ecological sustainability.

Ethics and African Society Ethics is "the branch of philosophy concerned with the principles of right and wrong behavior" (Singer 1). African ethics, rooted in Ubuntu and other communal philosophies, emphasizes collective well-being over individual achievement.

Theological Integration Theology is "the critical study of the nature of the divine and of religious belief" (McGrath 5). African theology, as articulated by scholars like Mbiti and Oduyoye, integrates Christian teachings with traditional African spirituality to form a coherent moral vision.

African Innovation and Its Ethical Challenges Innovation in Africa often occurs within resource-constrained environments and is deeply influenced by cultural and spiritual values (Chataway et al. 663). However, ethical frameworks guiding these innovations are either borrowed from Western models or absent altogether.

The Role of Theonomous Ethics Theonomous ethics re-centers God as the source of moral authority. In bridging ethical innovation, it calls for scientific progress that respects divine principles and promotes justice, compassion, and sustainability (Tillich 12).

Introduction

The 21st century has witnessed unprecedented scientific progress in medicine, artificial intelligence, agriculture, and communication technologies. While these advancements have brought significant benefits, they have also raised ethical questions regarding human dignity, justice, and sustainability. In the African context, this concern is amplified by the coexistence of modern scientific paradigms and deeply rooted spiritual and communal traditions. This paper argues that harmonizing science and humanity in Africa requires a theological and ethical framework that places God at the center of innovation. The rapid advancement of science and technology has brought about significant changes globally. In Africa, these advancements often clash with traditional communal values, leading to ethical dilemmas. The secularization of scientific practices has further detached them from moral accountability, while Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) remain marginalized in global scientific discourse. Moreover, the lack of a unified ethical framework guiding technological innovation and the neglect of theological resources in public policy formulation exacerbate these challenges. This paper seeks to address these issues by proposing a harmonized approach that integrates science, ethics, and theology in the African context.

Science in the African Context: A Historical and Contemporary Review

From the dawn of the 20th century to the present, science in Africa has undergone a profound transformation. Once perceived as peripheral in global scientific discourse, Africa has risen steadily to contribute meaningfully to scientific development, both within the continent and globally. This section explores the historical trajectory of science in Africa, highlights the achievements of prominent African scientists, and references key scholarly contributions to the evolving African scientific identity. **Early 20th Century: Colonial Influence and Indigenous Knowledge** At the turn of the 20th century, scientific development in Africa was predominantly influenced by European colonial powers. Most scientific inquiry and infrastructure served colonial economic interests, such as agriculture, mining, and health (Iliffe 102). However, beneath the colonial structures, indigenous knowledge systems—long dismissed by Western academia—remained vital. Africans practiced advanced astronomy, medicine, metallurgy, and agriculture centuries before colonization. The Dogon people of Mali, for example, possessed astronomical knowledge about Sirius B long before its discovery by Western science in 1862 (Van Sertima 269). These knowledge systems, though orally transmitted, formed a significant foundation for what is now considered ethnoscience.

Post-Independence Era: Education and Institutional Growth

Following the wave of independence across Africa in the 1950s and 60s, many newly formed states prioritized scientific education and research. Governments established national universities and research centers. Countries like Nigeria, Egypt, Kenya, and South Africa invested in agricultural and medical sciences to address food security and endemic diseases. The 1970s and 1980s also witnessed increased collaboration with international organizations. However, political instability and economic downturns impeded consistent progress. According to Mugabe, “the capacity for scientific research stagnated in several African countries due to structural adjustment programs” (Mugabe 78).

21st Century: Emergence of African Scientists on the Global Stage

The 21st century has seen a resurgence in scientific innovation from Africa. African scientists are now leaders in fields such as astrophysics, epidemiology, environmental science, and artificial intelligence. Dr. Francisca Nneke Okeke, a Nigerian physicist, was awarded the L'Oréal-UNESCO Award in 2013 for her contribution to geomagnetism and understanding climate change in the upper atmosphere. Dr. Salim Abdool Karim, a South African epidemiologist, gained global recognition during the COVID-19 pandemic for his research on viral infections and public health policy (Karim and Baxter 5). Dr. Tebello Nyokong of Lesotho, a leading chemist in nanotechnology, has been recognized internationally for her work on photodynamic therapy for cancer treatment. Similarly, Dr. Segenet Kelemu, an Ethiopian molecular plant pathologist, has

led research on sustainable agriculture and currently heads the International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (ICIPE) in Kenya.

Publications and Scholarly Contributions

African scholars have increasingly contributed to academic discourse through publications that highlight the continent's scientific potential and challenges. The *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development* frequently publishes research on innovation ecosystems in Africa. Similarly, *South African Journal of Science* has become a reputable platform for original research in the natural and social sciences. Adebayo Olukoshi, in his study on knowledge production in Africa, emphasizes the need for African-led research agendas and robust funding models (Olukoshi 52). He argues that scientific sovereignty is key to Africa's future development.

African Scholarly Contributions

- John Mbiti's exploration of African traditional religion emphasizes moral order grounded in spiritual belief.
- Kwame Gyekye's African humanism advocates for moral development through communal relationships.
- Mercy Oduyoye introduces feminist and theological perspectives on African ethics.
- Emmanuel Asante connects theology with social reconstruction and ethical policymaking.
- Kwasi Wiredu critiques cultural imperialism in moral philosophy, urging for contextual ethics.

Science and the Future of Africa

Africa's scientific future is promising. The African Union's **Agenda 2063** emphasizes science, technology, and innovation as central to the continent's transformation. Initiatives like the **African Space Agency** and **Square Kilometre Array (SKA)** project in South Africa signify bold steps toward cutting-edge science. However, challenges remain. These include brain drain, inadequate funding, and limited research infrastructure. Strengthening intra-African collaboration and increasing investment in science education are crucial strategies for sustainable growth. Science in the African context reflects a journey of resilience, innovation, and resurgence. From ancient ethnoscientific systems to contemporary global breakthroughs, African scientists continue to shape the future. With continued investment and international recognition, Africa is poised to be a scientific powerhouse in the coming decades.

Ethical Dislocation Between Science and African Communal Values:

In African societies, ethics is traditionally grounded in communal values, emphasizing interdependence, respect for elders, collective responsibility, and the sacredness of life. These values stand in stark contrast to the often individualistic and utilitarian framework that dominates scientific practice. The ethical dislocation between science and African communal values becomes evident when scientific endeavors fail to account for indigenous moral perspectives. As Ramose observes, “African ethics is fundamentally a question of *ubuntu*, of being human through others” (Ramose 135). Science, particularly Western-modeled science, is predominantly anthropocentric and instrumentalist, often viewing nature and even human subjects as objects of experimentation and exploitation. This dislocation challenges the integrity of African identity and development.

Science and Its Ethical Paradigm

Science is often celebrated for its objectivity and neutrality. However, this very neutrality has been critiqued for its moral detachment. According to Wiredu, “scientific rationality presupposes a framework of inquiry that marginalizes the communal and the spiritual dimensions of African thought” (Wiredu 42). The scientific method, with its emphasis on empirical data and replicability, rarely accommodates communal decision-making processes or spiritual cosmologies. In bioethics, for instance, the principle of informed consent prioritizes the individual, yet African ethics often stresses community consent or family consensus, especially in medical decisions (Tangwa 192). The ethical protocols governing scientific research thus clash with the African moral reality where identity and decision-making are deeply communal.

The Clash in Biomedical Interventions

African communal values are often disregarded in biomedical research and interventions. Clinical trials by multinational pharmaceutical companies in countries like Nigeria, Uganda, and South Africa have often been conducted without adequate cultural consultation. The 1996 Pfizer Trovan trial in Kano, Nigeria, sparked ethical outrage due to inadequate informed consent and disregard for communal norms of medical trust (Ogundowole 215). Similarly, biotechnology involving genetic modification and reproductive technologies raises moral questions in African settings where life and fertility are viewed as sacred and divinely ordained. As Gyekye argues, “the imposition of Western ethical paradigms in bioethics undermines African moral autonomy and dignity” (Gyekye 74).

Environmental Ethics and the Communal Worldview

African societies traditionally hold a holistic view of the environment, where land, rivers, forests, and animals are not merely resources but part of the moral community. However, scientific

environmental practices, such as mining, deforestation, and industrial agriculture, prioritize exploitation over preservation. According to Hoppers, “indigenous knowledge systems contain ecological wisdom grounded in communal experience, which modern science ignores to the detriment of sustainable development” (Hoppers 88). The ethical dislocation here involves not just different views of nature but different relationships with it.

Technological Advancement and Alienation

The digital age has brought tremendous benefits, but it has also led to cultural erosion. The intrusion of artificial intelligence, surveillance technologies, and social media into African communities has disrupted traditional modes of communication, socialization, and governance. As Eze contends, “technology must be integrated into Africa with ethical sensitivity to communal norms, lest it becomes a tool of neocolonial disruption” (Eze 161). Without this sensitivity, technology fosters alienation, undermines social cohesion, and promotes unethical behaviors like cyber fraud, data exploitation, and digital addiction.

Toward an Integrative Ethical Framework

To bridge the dislocation between science and African communal values, an integrative ethical framework is essential. This involves incorporating *ubuntu*, respect for communal authority, and participatory decision-making into scientific protocols and technological applications. African scholars like Tangwa and Ramose advocate for an intercultural bioethics that respects both scientific rigor and indigenous values. “Ethics,” Tangwa asserts, “must be contextual, evolving from the lived experiences and cultural mores of a people” (Tangwa 195). Interdisciplinary dialogue, inclusive research ethics committees, and cultural education for scientists are vital strategies toward this end. Science, when ethically aligned with African communal values, holds great potential for transformative development. However, the prevailing ethical dislocation not only undermines African identities but also impairs the moral legitimacy of scientific enterprises. By rooting scientific practice in communal ethics, Africa can forge a path that honors both knowledge and culture.

African societies are deeply rooted in communal values that emphasize interconnectedness, respect for nature, and collective well-being. However, modern scientific practices often prioritize individualism and exploitative approaches to nature. This ethical dislocation leads to conflicts between scientific advancements and traditional African values. Murove argues that the indigenization of knowledge must be pursued under the presumption that all knowledge is cultural or context-specific to some degree. The multicultural nature and plurality of knowledge systems should be acknowledged, and the quest for indigenization and intellectualization of knowledge in the African context should be seen as a quest for the inclusionary appreciation of a multiplicity of global knowledges, contributing to both local and general human well-being (Murove).

Secularization of Scientific Practice and Detachment from Moral Accountability:

The secularization of science has led to a detachment from moral and ethical considerations. Scientific endeavors are often pursued without adequate reflection on their ethical implications, leading to practices that may harm communities and the environment. The AUDA-NEPAD report highlights that ethics in Science, Technology, and Innovation (STI) are based on societal acceptability, cultural, and religious beliefs. However, ethical considerations are often limited to specific innovations, such as medical research, and are not comprehensively applied across the STI spectrum. African ethical practices, derived from religious traditions and norms, sometimes lack evidence-based scientific development, leading to a gap in ethical application in harnessing innovation and emerging technologies (AUDA-NEPAD).

Marginalization of Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Global Scientific Discourse:

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) are often marginalized in global scientific discourse, leading to the undervaluation of local knowledge and practices. This marginalization hampers the development of context-specific solutions to local challenges. Pophiwa notes that while IKS are acknowledged by international institutions, their application in real-world contexts is often watered down. The Euro-Western imposition has sidelined IKS, and the silence by the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) on IKS represents a systematic exclusion of indigenous people from the developmental agenda. Integrating IKS into policy formulation and developmental agendas is crucial for sustainability and community involvement (Pophiwa).

Absence of a Unified Ethical Framework Guiding Technological Innovation in Africa:

The lack of a unified ethical framework guiding technological innovation in Africa leads to inconsistent application of ethical principles across different sectors. This absence hinders the development of technologies that align with African values and societal needs. The AUDA-NEPAD report emphasizes the need for a centralized and continental body on ethics to harmonize Africa's strategic policy development aimed at comprehensive ethical programs. Such a body can serve as a platform for collecting, sharing, and organizing ethical programs, policies, and guidelines for the African continent (AUDA-NEPAD).

Neglect of Theological Resources in Shaping Public Policy on Science and Innovation:

Theological resources, which have historically guided moral and ethical decision-making in African societies, are often neglected in shaping public policy on science and innovation. This neglect results in policies that may not resonate with the moral compass of the communities they

aim to serve. Madzivhandila discusses the importance of integrating IKS and culture into climate change adaptation. Scientific interventions among the impoverished in rural developing nations are viewed as foreign and are thus not accepted by the local populace. Incorporating IKS into the process of climate change adaptation is significant for developing nations (Madzivhandila).

Theological Foundations and the Public Sphere

The intersection of theology and public policy is not new. In Western traditions, figures like Reinhold Niebuhr and Abraham Kuyper have long advocated for the moral foundations of public discourse. In Africa, John S. Mbiti emphasized the communal and theocentric worldview inherent in African spirituality. He argued that “Africans are notoriously religious” (Mbiti 1), suggesting that any public initiative divorced from theological concerns risks alienating the populace.

Theology’s concern with justice, dignity, and stewardship aligns naturally with policy goals in science and innovation. Science devoid of ethical reflection can become a tool of exploitation or marginalization. Conversely, theology without engagement with contemporary realities risks irrelevance. Thus, a reinvigorated theological voice in science policy promotes a balanced and humane trajectory of innovation.

Science, Innovation, and African Theological Ethics

Science and innovation are essential for Africa’s development, but they raise ethical questions: Who benefits from innovation? Is it sustainable? Does it align with the values of the community? Mercy Amba Oduyoye stresses that African theology must be attentive to the “daily struggles and hopes of the African people” (Oduyoye 18). In this light, theological ethics can interrogate technologies that exacerbate inequality or environmental degradation.

Emmanuel Katongole, in *The Sacrifice of Africa*, argues for a theology that informs political and economic practice by drawing on narratives of hope, reconciliation, and solidarity. He writes that “the church has a role in imagining and embodying alternative social arrangements” (Katongole 72). Applied to science and innovation, this means fostering policies that prioritize social justice, local ownership of technology, and ecological care.

Reconstructing Policy with Theological Insight

Reconstructive theology, particularly post-colonial African theology, offers tools for shaping inclusive and context-relevant policies. Science and innovation must not be framed merely as technocratic advancements but as moral choices with far-reaching consequences. The theological

concept of *ubuntu*—emphasizing communal interdependence—can inform participatory science policy that values both expert knowledge and local wisdom.

The Kairos Document in South Africa, though primarily focused on apartheid, provides a model of how theology can prophetically critique unjust systems while offering a vision for renewal. Its emphasis on justice and public responsibility is instructive for shaping policies in bioethics, environmental innovation, and digital technology.

Case Study: Theological Engagement in Environmental Innovation

Environmental degradation is one area where theological and scientific concerns converge. In Kenya, Christian organizations have partnered with scientists to promote sustainable agriculture, guided by stewardship theology. Archbishop Desmond Tutu’s advocacy for climate justice reflects a broader trend of African theological engagement with environmental policy. He declared that “climate change is a moral challenge,” urging religious communities to influence climate-related policies (Tutu).

This synergy of science and theology fosters innovation that is ecologically sensitive and ethically grounded, demonstrating the importance of theological voices in policy deliberation.

Challenges and Opportunities

Despite these possibilities, several challenges persist. Many theologians lack the technical expertise to engage meaningfully in scientific policy debates, while scientists often view theology as archaic or irrelevant. Bridging this gap requires interdisciplinary collaboration and theological training that embraces science as a partner in human flourishing. Opportunities lie in theological institutions establishing research centers focused on science, ethics, and policy. Churches can also advocate for innovation policies that reflect their theological commitments to justice, care, and stewardship. Reinvigorating theological resources in shaping public policy on science and innovation is both a moral imperative and a contextual necessity in Africa. By drawing from African theological traditions and scholars, policy-makers can forge innovation agendas that are ethically grounded, communally beneficial, and spiritually attuned. This integrated approach reflects the lived realities of African societies and provides a transformative framework for the future. To harmonize science and humanity in the African context, it is imperative to bridge the ethical dislocation between scientific practices and African communal values, address the secularization of science, integrate Indigenous Knowledge Systems into global discourse, establish a unified ethical framework for technological innovation, and incorporate theological resources into public policy. By doing so, Africa can develop scientific and technological solutions that are ethically grounded, culturally relevant, and socially acceptable.

The study contributes to the body of knowledge by:

1. Reasserting the relevance of theology in contemporary scientific discourse.
2. Offering a framework for integrating African ethics into science policy.
3. Promoting a God-centered ethic as a bridge between human dignity and technological progress.
4. Encouraging the inclusion of African epistemologies in global innovation debates.
5. Advancing inter-disciplinary dialogue between science, philosophy, and theology in Africa.

Recommendations include:

1. African governments should institutionalize ethical boards integrating theologians, scientists, and ethicists.
2. Theological institutions should expand curricula to include science and technology ethics.
3. Pan-African bodies should fund research exploring indigenous moral frameworks and innovation.
4. Policymakers must promote the inclusion of theological ethics in national science and technology guidelines.
5. Scientists should be sensitized to the socio-cultural implications of their innovations.

Conclusion

Ethical innovation in Africa cannot flourish outside the embrace of its rich moral and theological traditions. The role of God, not as a metaphysical abstraction but as a source of communal moral direction, is central to reconciling the aspirations of science with the values of humanity. Only by harmonizing these domains can Africa truly advance innovation that honors both human dignity and divine order.

References

- Asante, Emmanuel.** *Toward a Theology of Reconstruction: An African Christian Perspective.* Accra: SonLife Press, 1995.
- AUDA-NEPAD.** "Ethical Considerations On Emerging Technologies In Africa: A Consultative Roundtable Report." AUDA-NEPAD, <https://www.nepad.org/blog/ethical-considerations-emerging-technologies-africa-consultative-roundtable-report>.
- Chataway, Joanna, et al.** "Building the Case for Systems of Health Innovation in Africa." *International Journal of Technology Management & Sustainable Development*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2007, pp. 257–272.
- Eze, Michael Onyebuchi.** *Intellectual History in Contemporary South Africa.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
- Gyekye, Kwame.** *African Cultural Values: An Introduction.* Sankofa Publishing Company, 1996.
- Hoppers, Catherine Odora.** "Indigenous Knowledge and the Integration of Knowledge Systems: Towards a Philosophy of Articulation." *New African Perspectives*, edited by Catherine Odora Hoppers, HSRC Press, 2002, pp. 2–24.
- Iiffe, John.** *Africans: The History of a Continent.* Cambridge UP, 2007.
- Karim, Salim S. Abdool, and Cheryl Baxter.** "COVID-19: Scientific Research and Public Health Policy in South Africa." *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 19, no. 4, 2021, pp. 210–213.
- Katongole, Emmanuel.** *The Sacrifice of Africa: A Political Theology for Africa.* Eerdmans, 2011.
- Madzivhandila, T. S.** "Integrating Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) to Climate Change Adaptation in South Africa: Lessons from the 'Past'." *South African Journal of Higher Education*, vol. 38, no. 5, 2024, <https://journals.co.za/doi/full/10.20853/38-5-6417>.
- Mbiti, John S.** *African Religions and Philosophy.* Heinemann, 1969.
- McGrath, Alister E.** *Christian Theology: An Introduction.* Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
- Mugabe, John.** "Science and Technology for Development in Africa: Regional and International Cooperation." *Science, Technology and Innovation Policy Review: United Republic of Tanzania*, United Nations, 2004, pp. 72–84.
- Murove, Munyaradzi Felix.** "Indigenous Knowledge Systems Discourse and Inclusionality: An Afro-centric Quest for Recognition in a Globalised World." *Journal for the Study of Religion*, vol. 31, no. 1, 2018, <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jsr/article/view/176446>.

Oduyoye, Mercy A. *Introducing African Women's Theology*. Sheffield Academic Press, 2001.

Oduyoye, Mercy Amba. *Introducing African Women's Theology*. Sheffield Academic Press, 2001.

Ogundowole, Ebenezer. *Ethics and African Culture*. Lagos: Correct Counsels, 2003.

Olukoshi, Adebayo. *African Voices on Structural Adjustment: A Companion to Our Continent, Our Future*. IDRC, 2002.

Pophiwa, N. "Approaches to Embedding Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Made in Africa Evaluations." *African Evaluation Journal*, <https://aejonline.org/index.php/aej/article/view/623/1138>.

Ramose, Mogobe B. *African Philosophy through Ubuntu*. Mond Books, 1999.

Singer, Peter. *Practical Ethics*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.

Tangwa, Godfrey B. "Bioethics: An African Perspective." *Bioethics*, vol. 10, no. 3, 1996, pp. 183–200.

Tillich, Paul. *Morality and Beyond*. SCM Press, 1963.

Tutu, Desmond. "Climate Change Is a Moral Challenge." *The Guardian*, 9 Sept. 2014, www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2014/sep/09/desmond-tutu-climate-change-is-a-moral-challenge.

Van Sertima, Ivan. *Blacks in Science: Ancient and Modern*. Transaction Publishers, 1983.

Wilson, Edward O. *Consilience: The Unity of Knowledge*. Vintage Books, 1998.

Wiredu, Kwasi. *Cultural Universals and Particulars: An African Perspective*. Indiana University Press, 1996.