

TRANSHUMANISM AND THE HUMAN SPIRITUAL SENSE OF PURPOSE

Francis Ogonyi Ekaya Ph.D,
Timothy Okpe Adie Ph.D
&
Esther Orok Ironbar

Abstract

The primary objective of this paper, is to explore how the transhumanist ideologies impact human spirituality, particularly in relation to self-transcendence, moral evolution and the search for ultimate meaning. The paper seeks to investigate whether using technology to improve human natural abilities helps people grow spiritually or pulls them away from their true purpose in life. Scientific and technological advancements have fueled the advocacy for the enhancement of human abilities, especially through biotechnology, nanotechnology, and digital consciousness transfer, with the sole aim of transcending and making up for human natural biological limitations. These daring endeavors have the potential of merging humans with machines; blurring human autonomy, with algorithms shaping thoughts, choices, and even consciousness. This has the potential of evolving humans beyond their natural state and stripping them of their identity, self-worth, and spiritual essence. While critics argue that transhumanism threatens conventional spirituality through existential risks like detachment from organic experiences and moral disorientation, its impact is not entirely negative. Scientific innovations have undeniably helped redefine the meaning of life and expand human consciousness and selfhood. Adopting a qualitative and interdisciplinary approach, drawing insights from philosophy, religious traditions, and cognitive science, the paper contends that while there is the fear that transhumanism could reduce humans to machines and erode their spiritual essence, it does not eliminate spirituality but transforms it. We argue, therefore, that technology can be beneficial to spirituality by providing us with new avenues for learning, introspection, and connection, such as through meditation applications, online spiritual communities or deep conversations with artificial intelligence machines. However, it can also be detrimental to spirituality if we get overly reliant on it, allowing it to divert us, where we choose convenience and speed over genuine inner development. In such a case, spirituality runs the risk of becoming something we "buy" or consume rather than being something we ought to genuinely live and experience. Hence, while pondering on the future of human identity, there is a need to ensure a balance between scientific innovation and the timeless quest for spiritual depth.

Keywords: Transhumanism, spirituality, sense of purpose, post-humanism, biological augmentation.

Introduction

The rapid advancements in technology and human augmentation have sparked profound philosophical and ethical debates about the future of humanity. Transhumanism, a movement advocating for the enhancement of human capabilities through biotechnology, artificial intelligence, and other emerging fields, envisions a future where individuals can transcend biological limitations, and modify their bodies beyond how they were naturally created. However, as humanity progresses toward an era of enhanced cognition, extended lifespans, and potentially post-human existence, fundamental questions arise about the human spiritual sense of purpose. Questions such as; Does transhumanism threaten or redefine our understanding of meaning, identity, and transcendence? Can technological evolution coexist with spiritual fulfillment, or does it risk diminishing the existential significance that has historically shaped human culture and consciousness?

This paper therefore examines the intersection of transhumanist ideals and the human search for purpose, examining whether technological augmentation enhances or undermines spiritual meaning. By analyzing philosophical, theological, and psychological perspectives, the paper further seeks to understand how transhumanism influences personal and collective narratives about existence, destiny, and fulfillment. While pondering on the precipice of unprecedented transformation, investigating the potential consequences of transhumanism on the human spiritual experience is crucial to navigating an ethically and philosophically coherent future. The paper contends therefore that, it is important to strike a balance between the age-old pursuit of spiritual depth and scientific advancement when considering the future of human identity.

An Overview of Transhumanism and Human Biological Augmentation

Transhumanism is a philosophical and intellectual movement that advocates for the enhancement of human capabilities through technological advancements. This framework has as its aim, the promotion and the use of biotechnology, artificial intelligence, and other scientific innovations to transcend biological limitations, with the aim of improving human longevity, cognitive abilities, and overall well-being. Human biological augmentation, a key aspect of transhumanism, encompasses genetic engineering, cybernetic enhancements, nanotechnology, and pharmaceutical interventions designed to enhance physical and mental faculties.

The term "transhumanism" was first popularized by futurist philosopher Max More in the 1990s, although the concept has earlier roots in the works of Julian

Huxley and other intellectuals whose contributions span through the ages¹⁵¹. Transhumanism envisions a post-human future in which individuals can transcend natural biological evolution through technological intervention. It embraces the idea that humans should actively shape their own evolution rather than being subjected to the constraints of nature. This Necessitates and creates avenues where humans can alter their own biological and genetical make up to suite their desired needs rather than succumb to how nature created them. In like manner, proponents of transhumanism argue that new technology can be used to solve pressing global issues, eradicate sickness, and enhance human life in several ways possible other than just biological maneuvering. For instance, brain chips and "mind uploading," which were once the wild hopes of people willing to achieve immortality or limitless intellect through the electronic extension of consciousness, may now be utilized to treat or cure neurological conditions including paralysis, dementia, and Alzheimer's disease.

American computer scientist and futurist Ray Kurzweil, predicted in 2005, that posthuman future will see machines surpassing humans in intelligence and appear to have free will as well as emotional and spiritual experiences. Building on his work, *The Singularity Is Near*, he envisaged an imminent singularity; a convergence of human and artificial intelligence, likely to be achieved in 2045, in which all illness, aging, social evils, and death would be reversed, resolved, or eradicated. Although Nick Bostrom, one of the leading transhumanist thinkers, believes that "transhumanism is capable of promoting an interdisciplinary approach to understanding and evaluating the possibilities of enhancing the human condition and the risks involved"¹⁵², Francis Fukuyama however, outrightly repudiates the transhumanist's ideology, claiming that it would lead to increased socioeconomic inequality. Specifically, in a 2004 paper as published in a journal on Foreign Policy, Fukuyama described transhumanism as "the world's most dangerous idea". He admonished that though beneficial; biotechnology could have a "frightful moral cost" to human rights. In doing so, significant economic differences between nations, Fukuyama rightly notes, may further incentivize "enhanced" people to assert greater rights than "those left behind".¹⁵³

Furthermore, Genetic modification has long been a subject of scientific inquiry, but with the advent of Cluster Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR-Cas9) technology, the ability to edit genes with precision has become a

¹⁵¹ Max, Moore. "Transhumanism: Toward a Futurist Philosophy." *Extropy*, (vol. 6, no. 1, 1990), 1-12.

¹⁵² Nick, Bostrom. "A History of Transhumanist Thought." *Journal of Evolution and Technology*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2005), 493-517.

¹⁵³ Fukuyama, Francis. *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution*. 117.

reality. CRISPR allows scientists to modify DNA sequences to eliminate genetic diseases, improve physical resilience, and enhance cognitive function¹⁵⁴. The ethical concerns regarding genetic augmentation revolve around issues such as eugenics, genetic inequality, and unforeseen long-term consequences.

Another transhumanist technology is Cybernetic augmentation, which involves the integration of machines with the human body, including prosthetics, neural implants, and brain-computer interfaces (BCIs). Such could be said of Elon Musk's Neuralink and similar projects aim to create direct communication pathways between the brain and external devices, potentially revolutionizing medicine and human cognition¹⁵⁵. These advancements raise philosophical questions about identity and the nature of consciousness, as well as ethical concerns about privacy and autonomy.

Modern prosthetics, once simple mechanical extensions, are now integrated with neural interfaces that allow for direct control via the brain. Cybernetic enhancements include cochlear implants, bionic limbs, and retinal implants that restore or augment sensory perception. Future developments envision brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) that could significantly amplify memory, cognition, and communication¹⁵⁶. Moreover, Nootropics or "smart drugs" such as modafinil and Adderall are already in use to enhance concentration, memory, and cognitive performance. Although originally designed for disorders such as ADHD or narcolepsy, they are increasingly used off-label by healthy individuals, particularly in academic and professional settings¹⁵⁷. The ethical implications are hotly debated, focusing on issues of fairness, coercion, and long-term effects.

More so, nanotechnology offers the potential to enhance human health by delivering targeted drug therapies and repairing cellular damage at the microscopic level. Nanobots could be used to combat diseases, improve immune function, and even reverse aging processes¹⁵⁸. Similarly, pharmaceuticals such as nootropics (cognitive enhancers) and gene therapies are being developed to enhance memory, focus, and intelligence. However, concerns about dependency, accessibility, and potential societal stratification persist.

Philosophical and Ethical Implications of Transhumanism

¹⁵⁴ Doudna, Jennifer A., and Samuel H. Sternberg. *A Crack in Creation: Gene Editing and the Unthinkable Power to Control Evolution*. (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2017), 45.

¹⁵⁵ Musk, Elon. *Neuralink and the Brain's Future*. (Self-Published, 2021), 17.

¹⁵⁶ Kurzweil, Ray. *The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*. (Viking Press, 2005), 148.

¹⁵⁷ Greely, Henry, et al. "Towards Responsible Use of Cognitive-Enhancing Drugs by the Healthy." *Nature*, vol. 456, no. 7223, 2008, Pp. 703.

¹⁵⁸ Freitas, Robert A. *Nanomedicine, Volume I: Basic Capabilities*. (Landes Bioscience, 1999), 30.

At the forefront of transhumanism is a philosophical challenge to the traditional conception of human nature. Historically, many philosophical systems, particularly those influenced by Aristotle, Aquinas, and Kant, have held that there is an essential human nature with fixed characteristics, such as rationality, morality, and mortality¹⁵⁹. Transhumanists, however, argue that human nature is not static but malleable and improvable through technology. By so doing, Nick Bostrom, one of the leading voices in the movement, refers to humanity as a “transitional species,” capable of redesigning itself into something superior. He envisions a post-human future in which intelligence, emotion, and lifespan are radically enhanced, suggesting that what we now consider “human” may soon be obsolete. This perspective resonates with the Nietzschean idea of the *Übermensch*, a being who transcends traditional values and limitations to create new meaning¹⁶⁰. However, critics argue that such a view risk eliminating the very qualities that make life meaningful. For example, Michael Sandel contends that striving for perfection through enhancement may undermine the “giftedness” of life and the humility associated with human limitation¹⁶¹.

Also, transhumanism poses questions about personal identity and the continuity of the self. Technologies such as mind uploading, brain-machine interfaces, and cognitive enhancement challenge classical views of the self as a continuous, embodied consciousness. If a person’s memories and consciousness are uploaded to a machine, is that entity still “them”? Derek Parfit’s reductionist view of personal identity, where psychological continuity matters more than physical or numerical identity, offers some support for the transhumanist perspective¹⁶². Yet many remain skeptical. As Thomas Metzinger warns, if consciousness is tied to a biological substrate, then uploading may fail to preserve subjective experience, resulting in a simulacrum rather than a true continuation of the self¹⁶³.

Philosophically, transhumanism appeals to the principle of individual autonomy; the right to control one’s body and mind. In this sense, enhancement is framed as an extension of liberal ideals. As Bostrom argues, “people should be free to use technology to improve themselves”¹⁶⁴. However, if enhancement becomes socially

¹⁵⁹ Aquinas, Thomas. *Summa Theologica*. Translated by Fathers of the English Dominican Province, (Christian Classics, 1981), 125.

¹⁶⁰ Nietzsche, Friedrich. *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*. Translated by Walter Kaufmann. (Penguin Classics, 1978), 129.

¹⁶¹ Sandel, Michael J. *The Case Against Perfection: Ethics in the Age of Genetic Engineering*. (Harvard University Press, 2007), 92

¹⁶² Parfit, Derek. *Reasons and Persons*. (Oxford University Press, 1984), 219.

¹⁶³ Metzinger, Thomas. *The Ego Tunnel: The Science of the Mind and the Myth of the Self*. (Basic Books, 2009), 65.

¹⁶⁴ Bostrom. Op. Cit., 28

or economically required, such as in job markets or education, it could paradoxically undermine freedom by creating coercive pressures to conform. Philosopher Jürgen Habermas critiques the idea of genetically engineering future generations, arguing that doing so may compromise the autonomy of the child, who cannot consent to enhancements that shape their identity before birth¹⁶⁵.

Ethically, Significant concerns are brought up by transhumanism's support for human enhancement. Radical upgrades, according to critics, might make societal inequality worse by dividing people into "enhanced" and "unenhanced" categories. Some caution about unforeseen repercussions, like the loss of fundamental human characteristics or the rise of a post-human class that might no longer identify with conventional humanity¹⁶⁶. Specifically, one of the most urgent ethical concerns is the risk of deepening inequality. If enhancement technologies are expensive, access may be restricted to the wealthy, resulting in a class of "genetically or cognitively enhanced elites" and an underclass of unenhanced individuals¹⁶⁷. This could exacerbate existing social divides and create a neo-eugenic society. Fukuyama warns that such inequality may threaten liberal democracy itself by introducing new forms of privilege based not on merit or effort but on technological superiority¹⁶⁸. Without equitable access, the transhumanist future could devolve into a dystopia.

Biological enhancement also affects the ethical landscape of moral responsibility. If individuals are cognitively enhanced, do they bear greater moral obligations than others? Conversely, if someone's actions are influenced by neurotechnological alterations, can they still be held accountable? These questions echo concerns in neuroscience and law about the implications of brain chemistry on free will and culpability¹⁶⁹. Moreover, the idea of moral enhancement, using technology to increase empathy or reduce aggression, raises troubling questions about moral diversity and the possibility of enforcing a homogenized ethical code. While proponents like Ingmar Persson and Julian Savulescu advocate for moral bio-enhancement to prevent global catastrophe, others warn that this could lead to paternalism or totalitarianism under the guise of ethics¹⁷⁰.

Moreso, transhumanism's push toward artificial intelligence, synthetic biology, and nanotechnology also raises the specter of existential risks. Bostrom outlines

¹⁶⁵ Habermas, Jürgen. *The Future of Human Nature*. (Polity Press, 2003), 63.

¹⁶⁶ Fukuyama. Op. Cit., 29

¹⁶⁷ Ibid., 101

¹⁶⁸ Ibid., 110

¹⁶⁹ Greely. Op. Cit., 148.

¹⁷⁰ Savulescu, Julian, and Ingmar Persson. *Unfit for the Future: The Need for Moral Enhancement*. (Oxford University Press, 2012), 112.

scenarios in which superintelligent AI could escape human control and pose an existential threat to humanity¹⁷¹. The ethical question becomes not just whether we should enhance ourselves, but whether we can do so safely and responsibly. Besides, the creation of a post-human species introduces moral status questions such as: will post-humans be considered persons? Will they have rights? And how will humans coexist with or govern beings potentially more intelligent or capable than themselves?

Given these concerns, it is essential that transhumanist progress be accompanied by a rigorous ethical framework. This includes ensuring equity of access, safeguarding autonomy, and developing governance structures that can manage the societal impacts of enhancement technologies. Philosophers such as James Hughes advocate for a democratic transhumanism that combines technological progress with social justice, emphasizing the need for ethical deliberation and inclusive policy-making¹⁷². Ultimately, the ethical success of transhumanism will depend not only on what we can do, but on what we ought to do, and who gets to decide.

Transcendence and Self-Actualization

Transcendence and self-actualization are pivotal concepts in humanistic psychology, philosophy, and spirituality that represent the pinnacle of human growth. While often used interchangeably, these terms refer to distinct yet interconnected dimensions of personal development. Self-actualization is primarily concerned with the realization of one's individual potential, whereas transcendence reaches beyond the self, focusing on unity with something greater, be it humanity, nature, or the divine¹⁷³. Together, they offer a comprehensive framework for understanding the highest levels of psychological and existential fulfillment.

The concept of self-actualization was popularized by Abraham Maslow in his hierarchy of needs, where it represents the highest level of psychological development attainable after basic and psychological needs are met. Maslow defines self-actualization as “the desire to become more and more what one is, to become everything that one is capable of becoming”¹⁷⁴. By implication, the inner yearning to develop beyond the present state of the self. Therefore, some characteristics of self-actualized individuals include creativity, authenticity,

¹⁷¹ Bostrom. Op.Cit., 150.

¹⁷² Hughes, James. *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesigned Human of the Future*. (Westview Press, 2004), 89.

¹⁷³ Maslow, Abraham H. *Motivation and Personality*. 3rd ed., (Harper & Row, 1987), 276.

¹⁷⁴ Ibid. 91

autonomy, and a strong sense of purpose. They tend to be problem-centered rather than self-centered and are capable of experiencing “peak experiences”, moments of intense joy, creativity, and insight¹⁷⁵. These individuals demonstrate what Carl Rogers described as the “fully functioning person,” someone who is open to experience, lives existentially, and trusts in their own organismic valuing process¹⁷⁶.

Self-actualization, however, is not a static state but an ongoing process of becoming. It involves continual self-reflection, growth, and the courage to face one's own limitations. Viktor Frankl, a Holocaust survivor and existential psychologist, believed that self-actualization is best achieved indirectly, as a byproduct of pursuing a meaningful life: “self-transcendence is the essence of human existence”¹⁷⁷.

It is important to state that, while self-actualization focuses on the fulfillment of individual potential, transcendence moves beyond the individual to a higher, often spiritual, level of consciousness. In Maslow's later work, he proposed a revised hierarchy that places transcendence above self-actualization. He described transcendence as the drive to connect with the cosmos, to serve others, and to contribute to causes beyond the self¹⁷⁸. Consequently, transcendent experiences often involve a dissolution of the ego and a sense of unity with all existence. These are described as mystical or peak experiences, where individuals feel a profound connection to nature, the universe, or the divine. Psychologist William James referred to these as “states of insight into depths of truth unplumbed by the discursive intellect”. Interestingly, In Western mystical traditions, transcendence is frequently framed as communion with God or the divine, while in Eastern philosophies like Buddhism and Taoism, the self is viewed as an illusion and enlightenment entails realizing one's unity with all existence¹⁷⁹.

Although distinct, self-actualization and transcendence are deeply intertwined. Many theorists argue that transcendence is the natural extension of self-actualization. Once individuals have cultivated their unique abilities and attained a stable sense of self, they often seek to devote themselves to higher causes, whether through altruism, spirituality, or creative expression. Based on the foregoing, Ken Wilber, a transpersonal psychologist, suggests that human

¹⁷⁵ Ibid. 93

¹⁷⁶ Rogers, Carl R. *On Becoming a Person: A Therapist's View of Psychotherapy*. (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 1961), 93.

¹⁷⁷ Frankl, Viktor E. *Man's Search for Meaning*. (Beacon Press, 2006), 110.

¹⁷⁸ Maslow. Op.Cit., 267.

¹⁷⁹ Underhill, Evelyn. *Mysticism: A Study in the Nature and Development of Spiritual Consciousness*. (Dover Publications, 2002), 81.

development can be mapped across both horizontal (personal) and vertical (spiritual) dimensions. Self-actualization represents horizontal growth, becoming a fully developed person, while transcendence involves vertical growth toward spiritual awareness¹⁸⁰. This synthesis also appears in modern contemplative practices. Mindfulness, meditation, and psychedelics are increasingly studied for their ability to induce both self-awareness and transcendent states. These practices suggest that true psychological health may involve not just the realization of the self, but also the dissolution of its boundaries¹⁸¹.

It is imperative to state that, while the concepts of self-actualization and transcendence are inspiring, they are not without some challenges. Critics such as Geert Hofstede, argues that Maslow's hierarchy is overly individualistic and culturally biased, emphasizing Western values of autonomy and self-expression¹⁸². Others question the empirical measurability of self-actualization and whether transcendence can truly be studied scientifically¹⁸³. Nevertheless, these critiques have led to valuable expansions. Positive psychology, for instance, builds on Maslow's insights but grounds them in empirical research on well-being, flow, and meaning¹⁸⁴. Similarly, the field of transpersonal psychology integrates spiritual and mystical experiences into the study of human development, legitimizing transcendence as a psychological phenomenon.

The Intersection of Transhumanism and Spirituality

At first glance, transhumanism, a movement grounded in science, technology, and material progress, may seem incompatible with spirituality, which is often rooted in metaphysics, religion, and inner transformation. However, these two seemingly divergent realms share more common ground than might be expected. Both transhumanism and spirituality address fundamental questions about the human condition, the limits of mortality, and the pursuit of transcendence. Their intersection forms a unique dialogue that challenges traditional boundaries between the physical and the metaphysical, the technological and the sacred.

¹⁸⁰ Wilber, Ken. *A Brief History of Everything*. (Shambhala Publications, 2001), 211.

¹⁸¹ Metzinger. *Op.Cit.*, 57.

¹⁸² Geert Hofstede, "The Cultural Relativity of the Quality-of-Life Concept," *Academy of Management Review* 9, no. 3 (1984): 389-398.

¹⁸³ Koltko-Rivera, Mark E. "Rediscovering the Later Version of Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs: Self-Transcendence and Opportunities for Theory, Research, and Unification." *Review of General Psychology*, (vol. 10, no. 4, 2006), 306.

¹⁸⁴ Seligman, Martin E. P. *Authentic Happiness: Using the New Positive Psychology to Realize Your Potential for Lasting Fulfillment*. (Free Press, 2002), 117.

As earlier stated, transhumanism is a philosophical and technological worldview that promotes the use of science and technology to enhance human capabilities, intellectually, physically, and emotionally. The ultimate goal for many transhumanists is to overcome aging, disease, and even death, thus enabling humans to evolve into “post-human” beings with superintelligent capacities and extended lifespans¹⁸⁵. This goal is similar to spiritual traditions that aim to free people from the limits of their body and mind. According to Nick Bostrom, transhumanism is “a natural extension of humanism” with the belief that “humans can transcend their current biological limitations” through technological advancement¹⁸⁶. In this way, transhumanism can be seen as a secular form of transcendence, a material attempt to achieve goals traditionally pursued through spiritual practice.

Despite their different foundations, transhumanism and spirituality converge in several key areas: first of all, both paradigms begin with dissatisfaction with the limitations of human existence. Transhumanists seek to overcome these limits through technological intervention, such as brain-computer interfaces or genetic modification. Spiritual seekers pursue inner liberation, often through meditation, prayer, or asceticism. In both cases, the end goal is transcendence, rising beyond the limitations of the current self¹⁸⁷. Although from a transhumanist perspective, advances in biotechnology, artificial intelligence and neural enhancement tend to suggest that it may be technically possible to extend life, boost intelligence and even merge with machines. But then, this raises questions about unforeseen side effects, accessibility and whether such changes truly free us from deeper human struggles like fear, greed or suffering. Whereas, from a spiritual point of view, traditions claim transcendence is possible through inner transformation, detaching from ego, cultivating compassion and realizing unity with something greater. While countless individuals report profound spiritual experiences, these subjective realities are not universally attainable. In any case, both frameworks promise a higher state, beyond the current self. While technology risks only changing “what” we are, spirituality aims to change “who” we are. But whether either achieves full transcendence remains an open question.

Secondly, the quest for immortality is a shared objective. Transhumanists envision this through the development of life-extending therapies, mind uploading, or digital consciousness. Ray Kurzweil predicts that by 2045, humans may achieve a technological “Singularity,” merging with machines and overcoming biological death. Spiritual traditions promise immortality of the soul, either through

¹⁸⁵ Bostrom. Op.Cit., 10

¹⁸⁶ Ibid. 12

¹⁸⁷ Kurzweil. Op.Cit., 323

reincarnation, heaven, or liberation from the cycle of rebirth¹⁸⁸. Although their mechanisms differ, the desire to persist beyond death unites both movements in a fundamental human longing.

Thirdly, many transhumanists foresee the enhancement of human consciousness through neurotechnology and artificial intelligence. This resonates with spiritual practices that aim to awaken higher states of awareness. Wilber, both technological and spiritual developments are forms of “evolutionary ascent” that bring humans closer to unity with the cosmos¹⁸⁹. In both frameworks, the transformation of consciousness is a central aim, whether through a silicon chip or silent meditation. While transhumanism and spirituality share certain goals, their methodologies and assumptions often diverge sharply.

Be that as it may, transhumanism is rooted in materialism and a belief in human control over evolution. It assumes that consciousness arises from brain activity and can be replicated in machines. Spiritual traditions, by contrast, often assert that consciousness is fundamental, not reducible to matter. As Thomas Metzinger points out, if the self is an illusion created by the brain, then uploading consciousness may not preserve subjective identity at all¹⁹⁰. Spirituality on the other hand, typically involves ethical cultivation, compassion, and a deep concern for moral consequences. Transhumanism, though not inherently unethical, can lean toward technological determinism, risking a neglect of moral and communal values. It is for this reason, that critics like Francis Fukuyama warn that the pursuit of a post-human future may undermine human dignity and social cohesion¹⁹¹. Moreover, spiritual paths often emphasize acceptance of death as a natural part of life, while transhumanism seeks to defeat death, viewing it as an engineering problem.

Irrespective of their differences, some thinkers have proposed a synthesis of transhumanist and spiritual perspectives. The Integral Theory of Ken Wilber, for instance, incorporates spiritual wisdom with scientific progress, suggesting that true evolution involves both technological advancement and interior development¹⁹². Similarly, spiritual transhumanists like Giulio Prisco argue that technology can be a vehicle for cosmic spirituality, allowing humanity to

¹⁸⁸ Eliade, Mircea. *The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion*. (Harcourt, 1959), 72.

¹⁸⁹ Wilber. Op.Cit., 214

¹⁹⁰ Metzinger. Op.Cit., 59.

¹⁹¹ Fukuyama. Op.Cit., 43

¹⁹² Wilber. Op.Cit., 220.

participate in universal creation and evolution¹⁹³. This vision does not reject tradition but reinterprets it through the lens of technological progress.

The Implications of Transhumanism on Human Sense of Purpose

Transhumanism, the belief in the transformative power of technology to enhance and potentially transcend the human condition, is rapidly reshaping how humanity conceptualizes its future. By proposing to overcome limitations such as aging, disease, and even death, transhumanism raises fundamental questions about meaning and purpose. If suffering, mortality, and biological constraints are essential to how humans derive purpose, then their removal may upend our traditional frameworks of existential meaning. The implications of transhumanism on the human sense of purpose are thus both promising and perilous, inviting reflection on what it means to live a meaningful life in a post-human era.

Throughout history, the human sense of purpose has been closely tied to our biological limitations. Religions, philosophies, and cultural narratives have often found meaning in mortality, suffering, and struggle. Viktor Frankl, a Holocaust survivor and psychiatrist, emphasized that purpose arises in response to life's challenges: "Life is never made unbearable by circumstances, but only by lack of meaning and purpose" ¹⁹⁴. In Frankl's existential psychology, the confrontation with suffering gives rise to the deepest forms of purpose. Transhumanism, however, proposes to eliminate suffering through radical technological advancement, curing diseases, enhancing mental states, and possibly eradicating death itself¹⁹⁵. This transformation raises a pressing question: if suffering and death are diminished or eliminated, will human beings still find a compelling reason to live?

Transhumanism challenges the traditional evolutionary drive of survival and reproduction, which has defined human purpose for millennia. Ray Kurzweil, a prominent transhumanist, envisions a future in which biological imperatives are replaced by intellectual and creative pursuits. In his view, post-biological life will be driven by "a will to create, to transcend, and to evolve"¹⁹⁶. In such a world, purpose would no longer be derived from survival, but from self-directed projects, intellectual expansion, and aesthetic or experiential goals. Suffice to say that, such a world is imaginable and partially emerging, although reaching it for everyone would require both technological abundance and a cultural redefinition of what

¹⁹³ Prisco, Giulio. *Tales of the Turing Church: Hacking Religion, Enlightening Science, Awakening Technology*. (Kindle Direct Publishing, 2020), 32.

¹⁹⁴ Frankl. Op.Cit., 113.

¹⁹⁵ Bostrom. Op.Cit., 14.

¹⁹⁶ Kurzweil. Op.Cit., 302.

makes life meaningful. While this may seem liberating, it also demands a redefinition of purpose that is not externally imposed, but internally cultivated, an idea closely tied to existentialist thinkers like Jean-Paul Sartre, who believed that humans are condemned to freedom and must create their own meaning¹⁹⁷. Despite the utopian promises, critics warn that transhumanism could lead to nihilism or existential alienation. If human life is infinitely extendable, achievements may lose their urgency, relationships may lose their depth, and values anchored.

Based on the foregoing, considering all that has been articulated, the intersection of transhumanism and spirituality is not simply a matter of outright conflict or perfect harmony; rather, it is a nuanced and evolving field of negotiation. Transhumanism seeks to enhance human capacities through technology, aiming for physical, cognitive and possibly existential transcendence. Spirituality, on the other hand, often focuses on inner transformation, ethical living and connection with something greater than the self. While their methods and languages might differ, both pursue a vision of going beyond current human limitations. The negotiation therefore arises because technological enhancement can complement spiritual aspirations, such as tools that foster meditation, empathy, or global connection, but it can also challenge spiritual frameworks by redefining what it means to be human. For instance, extending life through biotechnology may raise questions about the spiritual significance of mortality. Similarly, artificial intelligence might aid moral reflection or distort it, depending on its design and use.

Consequently, technological enhancements or transhumanism can do either, help or harm, depending on how they are used. They can enhance spiritual growth by giving people new ways to learn, reflect and connect, making it easier to explore life's deeper meaning. But they can also pull people away from their sense of purpose if they cause distraction, overdependence on machines, or shift focus toward material gain instead of inner growth. It really comes down to the intentions and values guiding the technology. Therefore, rather than a clear opposition or easy fusion, the relationship between transhumanism and spirituality involves ongoing dialogue, balancing ethical considerations, cultural values and personal meaning. In this space, technology can serve as both a catalyst and a disruptor, requiring careful discernment to ensure that progress enriches, rather than erodes, the human search for transcendence.

Conclusion

The rise of transhumanism represents not merely a technological revolution, but a profound philosophical and spiritual turning point. By promising to enhance or

¹⁹⁷ Sartre, Jean-Paul. *Being and Nothingness: An Essay on Phenomenological Ontology*. Translated by Hazel E. Barnes. (Washington Square Press, 1992) 702.

even transcend the human body and mind, transhumanism challenges long-standing religious, existential, and metaphysical narratives about what it means to be human. At the heart of this shift lies a deep tension: can a future defined by artificial intelligence, genetic engineering, and immortality still sustain a coherent spiritual sense of purpose?

This paper has explored how traditional spiritual frameworks, which often derive meaning from mortality, limitation and the search for transcendence, may be both disrupted and redefined by transhumanist ideals. While some argue that the erasure of suffering and death could erode the foundations of spiritual longing, others see in transhumanism a continuation, perhaps even a fulfillment, of humanity's deepest yearnings for unity, transcendence and purpose beyond the self. Ultimately, the intersection of transhumanism and spirituality does not present a binary of conflict or harmony, but a complex field of negotiation. Implying that when transhumanism and spirituality meet, it is not just a clear case of them agreeing or disagreeing, it is a complicated mix that needs careful balance and discussion. Hence, the spiritual sense of purpose in a transhuman future may not disappear; rather, it may evolve, shifting from doctrines rooted in the past to new forms of meaning rooted in conscious evolution, ethical responsibility and collective destiny. Therefore, as we stand on the edge of this transformation, the challenge lies not only in advancing technology, but in cultivating the wisdom to preserve the soul of what it means to be human.

Bibliography

- Aquinas, Thomas. *Summa Theologica*. Translated by Fathers of the English Dominican Province, Christian Classics, 1981.
- Baylis, Françoise. *Altered Inheritance: CRISPR and the Ethics of Human Genome Editing*. Harvard University Press, 2019.
- Bostrom, Nick. "A History of Transhumanist Thought." *Journal of Evolution and Technology*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2005, pp. 493-517.
- Doudna, Jennifer A., and Samuel H. Sternberg. *A Crack in Creation: Gene Editing and the Unthinkable Power to Control Evolution*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2017.
- Drexler, Eric. *Engines of Creation: The Coming Era of Nanotechnology*. Anchor Books, 1986.
- Eliade, Mircea. *The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion*. Harcourt, 1959.
- Frankl, Viktor E. *Man's Search for Meaning*. Beacon Press, 2006.
- Freitas, Robert A. *Nanomedicine, Volume I: Basic Capabilities*. Landes Bioscience, 1999.
- Fukuyama, Francis. *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution*.
- Greely, Henry T. *The End of Sex and the Future of Human Reproduction*. Harvard University Press, 2016.
- Greely, Henry, et al. "Towards Responsible Use of Cognitive-Enhancing Drugs by the Healthy." *Nature*, vol. 456, no. 7223, 2008, pp. 702-705.
- Habermas, Jürgen. *The Future of Human Nature*. Polity Press, 2003.
- Hofstede, Geert. "The Cultural Relativity of the Quality-of-Life Concept." *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 9, no. 3, 1984, pp. 389-398.
- Hughes, James. *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesigned Human of the Future*. Westview Press, 2004.
- Huxley, Julian. *New Bottles for New Wine*. Chatto & Windus, 1957.
- James, William. *The Varieties of Religious Experience: A Study in Human Nature*. Modern Library, 2002.

- Kanu, I. A. (2019). *Igwebuike* research methodology: A new trend for scientific and wholistic investigation. *IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*. 5(4) 95-105.
- Kanu, I. A. (2019). *Igwebuike*economics: The Igbo apprenticeship for wealth creation. *IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*. 5(4) 56-70.
- Kanu, I. A. (2019). *Igwebuike*cracy: The Igbo-African participatory Socio-political system of governance. *TOLLE LEGE: An Augustinian Journal of the Philosophy and Theology*. 1(1) 34-45.
- Kanu, I. A. (2019). On the origin and principles of *Igwebuike* philosophy. *International Journal of Religion and Human Relations*. Vol. 11. No. 1. pp. 159-176.
- Kanu, I. A. (2019). An *Igwebuike* approach to the study of African traditional naming ceremony and baptism. *International Journal of Religion and Human Relations*. 11(1) 25-50.
- Koltko-Rivera, Mark E. "Rediscovering the Later Version of Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs: Self-Transcendence and Opportunities for Theory, Research, and Unification." *Review of General Psychology*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2006, pp. 302-317.
- Kurzweil, Ray. *The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*. Viking Press, 2005.
- Maslow, Abraham H. *Motivation and Personality*. 3rd ed., Harper & Row, 1987.
- Metzinger, Thomas. *The Ego Tunnel: The Science of the Mind and the Myth of the Self*. Basic Books, 2009.
- More, Max. "Transhumanism: Toward a Futurist Philosophy." *Extropy*, vol. 6, no. 1, 1990, pp. 1-12.
- Musk, Elon. *Neuralink and the Brain's Future*. Self-Published, 2021.
- Nietzsche, Friedrich. *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*. Translated by Walter Kaufmann, Penguin Classics, 1978.
- Parfit, Derek. *Reasons and Persons*. Oxford University Press, 1984.
- Prisco, Giulio. *Tales of the Turing Church: Hacking Religion, Enlightening Science, Awakening Technology*. Kindle Direct Publishing, 2020.
- Rahula, Walpola. *What the Buddha Taught*. Grove Press, 1974.
- Rogers, Carl R. *On Becoming a Person: A Therapist's View of Psychotherapy*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 1961.

Sandel, Michael J. *The Case Against Perfection: Ethics in the Age of Genetic Engineering*. Harvard University Press, 2007.

Savulescu, Julian, and Ingmar Persson. *Unfit for the Future: The Need for Moral Enhancement*. Oxford University Press, 2012.

Savulescu, Julian, and Nick Bostrom, editors. *Human Enhancement*. Oxford University Press, 2009.

Seligman, Martin E. P. *Authentic Happiness: Using the New Positive Psychology to Realize Your Potential for Lasting Fulfillment*. Free Press, 2002.

Underhill, Evelyn. *Mysticism: A Study in the Nature and Development of Spiritual Consciousness*. Dover Publications, 2002.

Wilber, Ken. *A Brief History of Everything*. Shambhala Publications, 2001.