

MIGRATION, CULTURE HYBRIDITY AND THE REDEFINITION OF SOCIAL BOUNDARIES: A CRITICAL SOCIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE.

RAPHAEL, Elo-Oghene Belin, PhD

*Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social Sciences
Southern Delta University, Orerokpe Campus, Nigeria
raphaeleb@dsust.edu.ng*

OKPISE, E. Omotewhore

*Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social Sciences
Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria
ernestraphael798@gmail.co*

Abstract

In an era defined by unprecedented global mobility, the interplay between migration, cultural hybridity, and the reconfiguration of social boundaries presents a critical nexus for sociological inquiry. This comprehensive paper adopts a critical sociological perspective to interrogate how migratory processes destabilize fixed notions of culture and belonging, giving rise to complex hybrid identities while simultaneously prompting the redefinition--and often, the reinforcement---of social, ethnic, and national boundaries. Moving beyond linear assimilation models, the analysis foregrounds theoretical frameworks such as translocational positionality and intersectionality to examine the multifaceted experiences of migrants. The paper argues that cultural hybridity is not merely an outcome but a contested terrain where power relations, structural inequalities, and agentive identity negotiations are constantly at play. Through a systematic review of contemporary literature (2015-2025), this study explores the psychosocial dimensions of acculturation, the role of digital and social networks in shaping hybrid spaces, and the consequent challenges to monolithic national narratives. The findings suggest that while hybridity fosters transformative potential for more inclusive societies, it also incites boundary-maintenance

reactions, from xenophobic politics to intra-community tensions over authentic belonging. The conclusion offers recommendations for policymakers and community practitioners, advocating for transnational approaches to citizenship and institutional practices that recognise the complexity of hybrid identities rather than forcing reductive categorisation. Ultimately, this paper contends that a critical sociological understanding of these dynamics is essential for navigating the social complexities of the 21st century.

Keywords: *Migration, Cultural Hybridity, Social Boundaries, Boundary Work, Acculturation, Transnationalism, Intersectionality, Translocational Positionality.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The contemporary world is irrevocably shaped by the movement of people across borders. Migration, whether driven by economic aspiration, political conflict, environmental pressure, or social networks, is a fundamental social force that acts as a catalyst for profound cultural and structural change. This mass mobility challenges the long-held sociological assumption of societies as relatively bounded, culturally homogeneous units aligned with nation-state territories (Akbari, 2021). In its wake, migration fosters conditions for *cultural hybridity*---the dynamic, ongoing mixing and melding of cultural forms, practices, and identifications that cannot be neatly traced to a single, 'pure' origin (Bhatia & Ram, 2009). This process of hybridization, however, does not occur in a vacuum of free-floating cultural exchange. It is intrinsically linked to the persistent human tendency to create, maintain, and redefine *social boundaries*---the symbolic and material distinctions that groups construct to demarcate "us" from "them," governing access to resources, rights, and recognition (Alba & Nee, 2003).

This paper seeks to build upon these core concepts by advancing a critical sociological perspective on the triadic relationship between migration, cultural hybridity, and social boundaries. A critical perspective necessitates moving beyond descriptive accounts to interrogate the power dynamics, structural inequalities, and ideological formations that underpin this relationship. It questions for whom hybridity is an accessible, celebrated identity option and for whom it is a site of conflict or marginalization. It scrutinizes how social boundaries are not merely reflective of cultural difference but are actively produced and policed by states, institutions, and dominant groups to manage and often marginalize migrant populations. The experience of the migrant is thus positioned within a field of tension: between the agentive capacity to craft new, hybrid selves and the constraining power of boundary-making mechanisms that seek to assign fixed categories.

The central argument posited here is that migration inevitably triggers processes of boundary redefinition, but these processes are dialectical and contested. On one hand, hybrid identities and transnational connections can blur and cross existing social boundaries, creating what Bauman (2000) might term liquid spaces of belonging that defy rigid containers. On the other hand, these very processes often provoke reactive attempts to solidify, fortify, or draw new boundaries, manifesting in resurgent nationalisms, cultural fundamentalisms, and exclusionary immigration policies. The psychological and social well-being of migrants, as noted by scholars like Bhugra (2004) and Brance et al. (2024), is deeply entangled in this conflict between hybridizing forces and boundary-policing forces.

This paper will unfold across several key sections. First, a comprehensive literature review will map the theoretical evolution from classical assimilation theory to contemporary frameworks of hybridity, transnationalism, and intersectionality. Second, a synthesis of findings from contemporary research will be presented and critically discussed, organized around key thematic areas such as identity negotiation, structural exclusion, and the role of space and place. Finally, the conclusion will consolidate the argument and propose practical recommendations for fostering societies that can ethically engage with the realities of cultural hybridity and permeable social boundaries in a globalized age.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. From Assimilation to Hybridity: The Theoretical Evolution

The sociological study of migration and incorporation has undergone significant paradigm shifts. The foundational framework, particularly in the American context, was *classical assimilation theory*, epitomised by the Chicago School and later refined by scholars like Gordon (1964). This model posited a linear, inevitable process whereby immigrant groups would shed their distinctive cultural traits and gradually adopt the language, values, and behaviours of the dominant "host" society, culminating in their structural integration into mainstream institutions. Alba and Nee (2003) reformulation, "remaking the American mainstream," acknowledged a more two-way process but largely retained the core assumption of a dominant mainstream toward which convergence occurs.

This model has been extensively critiqued for its normative bias, its presumption of a monolithic, static host culture, and its inability to account for the persistence of ethnicity or the emergence of new, syncretic identities. In response, *multiculturalism* emerged as both a policy framework and an analytical lens, advocating for the recognition and preservation of cultural difference within a pluralist society (Bloemraad, 2007). While addressing the limitations of forced assimilation, multiculturalism has been criticised for potentially reifying cultures as bounded, homogeneous wholes and for overlooking internal hierarchies and intersections of

identity.

The paradigm most relevant to this paper's focus is the *transnational and hybridity turn*. Driven by globalisation studies and post-colonial theory, this shift challenges the methodological nationalism inherent in earlier models. It reconceptualises migrants as actors embedded in multi-sited social fields that connect their countries of origin and settlement (Schiller et al., 1992). *Cultural hybridity*, a concept associated with Bhabha (1994), becomes a central analytic. Hybridity refers to the "third space" created by the intermingling of cultures, producing new forms that are not simple alloys but transformative and disruptive of original essences. As Bhatia and Ram (2009) argue, this requires a critical approach to acculturation that views identity as constantly in flux, negotiated within fields of power. This perspective directly engages with the redefinition of social boundaries, as hybrid practices inherently transgress the symbolic lines meant to separate cultural domains.

2.2. Key Conceptual Frameworks: Acculturation, Identity, and Positionality

To operationalise the study of hybridity and boundaries, several key psychological and sociological frameworks are essential.

Acculturation Strategies: Berry's (1997) seminal model remains a touchstone, outlining four strategies based on individuals' orientation toward maintaining their heritage culture and engaging with the larger society: integration, assimilation, separation, and marginalization. Research consistently links the *integration* strategy (high engagement with both cultures) with the most positive psychological and socio-cultural adaptation outcomes (Berry et al., 2006). However, as Chirkov (2009) critically notes, acculturation is not merely an individual choice but is constrained by the receptivity of the receiving society---its attitudes, policies, and structural opportunities for inclusion. This introduces the critical dimension of power: the ability to integrate is not equally available to all migrants (Choy et al., 2021).

Bicultural Identity Integration (BII): Building on acculturation, Benet-Martínez and Haritatos (2005) developed the BII construct to measure the degree to which individuals perceive their dual cultural identities as compatible and integrated versus conflictual and oppositional. High BII is associated with greater cognitive flexibility and psychological well-being, offering a nuanced tool to explore the internal experience of cultural hybridity.

Translocational Positionality and Intersectionality: Floya Anthias's (2008) concept of "translocational positionality" provides a powerful sociological corrective to identity frameworks that may become too individualized. She argues that identity and belonging are shaped by one's *position* within a matrix of social categories (e.g., gender, class, ethnicity, legal status) and across different spatial and social *locations* (e.g., country of origin, host country, diaspora community). This position is not static but relational and contextual. This framework seamlessly integrates with

intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1989), which demands an analysis of how multiple, intersecting axes of power and disadvantage shape lived experience. Cleton and Scuzzarello (2024) explicitly call for "intersectional migration research" that re-centers governance structures, arguing that migrants' experiences of boundary negotiation are profoundly differentiated by their gender, race, class, and legal status. A wealthy, white, skilled migrant navigates social boundaries and accesses hybrid identity options in a vastly different way than a poor, racialized, undocumented migrant.

Table 1: Evolution of Theoretical Frameworks in Migration Studies

Framework	Core Premise	View on Boundaries	Key Limitations
Classical Assimilation	Linear, unidirectional absorption into a dominant mainstream.	Boundaries are expected to dissolve over time as migrants assimilate.	Ethnocentric, ignores agency and cultural retention, presumes a static host culture.
Multiculturalism	Co-existence of distinct cultural groups within a society.	Boundaries between groups are recognized and institutionalized, but seen as relatively fixed.	Can essentialize cultures, overlook internal power dynamics and intersectionality.
Transnationalism/Hybridity	Migrants maintain multi-sited lives; cultures blend to create new, hybrid forms.	Boundaries are porous, fluid, and constantly transgressed and renegotiated.	Risk of over-celebrating hybridity without attending to structural constraints and inequality.
Critical Intersectional & Translocational	Experience is shaped by intersecting power relations (race, class, gender etc.) across different social locations.	Boundaries are dynamic sites of power where inclusion/exclusion is differentially experienced and enforced.	Complexity can make empirical operationalization challenging.

Sources: Alba & Nee (2003); Berry (1997); Anthias (2008); Bhatia & Ram (2009); Cleton & Scuzzarello (2024)

2.3. Social Boundaries: Mechanisms of Definition and Redefinition

Social boundaries are conceptual distinctions that social actors use to categorize objects, people, and practices. In migration contexts, they are central to processes of inclusion and exclusion.

Mechanisms of Boundary Work: Boundaries are not naturally occurring but are the product of ongoing "boundary work" by individuals, groups, and institutions. This work can take several forms:

1. *Boundary Blurring:* When symbolic or social distinctions between groups become less distinct. Hybrid cultural practices, intermarriage, and transnational identities contribute to blurring.
2. *Boundary Crossing:* When an individual moves from one group to another without necessarily challenging the boundary itself (e.g., an immigrant who fully assimilates).
3. *Boundary Shifting:* When the criteria for membership or the location of the boundary itself changes (e.g., redefining national citizenship laws).
4. *Boundary Reinforcement:* Active efforts to make a boundary more salient, rigid, and difficult to cross, often through discursive strategies (e.g., xenophobic rhetoric) or legal barriers (e.g., restrictive immigration policies).

The redefinition of boundaries in migrant-receiving societies is thus a contested political and cultural process. While everyday interactions and cultural hybridity may promote blurring, political entrepreneurs and media discourses often engage in active reinforcement, constructing migrants as a threatening "Other" to solidify a national "Us" (Brance et al., 2024). The legal-administrative apparatus of the state plays a crucial role in this, defining the ultimate social boundary of citizenship and legal status, which governs access to rights and resources (Bloemraad, 2007).

2.4. Psychosocial Well-being in the Context of Hybridity and Boundary Negotiation

The negotiation of hybrid identities and social boundaries has direct implications for migrant mental health and well-being. The stress of navigating between cultural worlds, known as *acculturative stress*, is a well-documented phenomenon (Berry, 1997). Factors that exacerbate this stress include experiences of discrimination (a stark form of boundary enforcement), perceived cultural incompatibility (low BII), and insecure legal status. Research by Bhugra (2004) and more recently by Brance et al. (2024) underscores how threats to social identity and belonging are key determinants of mental health outcomes among migrant populations.

Conversely, the ability to successfully craft a coherent hybrid identity (high BII) and

find communities that validate this identity can be protective. Bak-Klimek et al. (2018), studying Polish migrants, found that factors like social support and positive identity contributed significantly to sustainable happiness. Creative practices, such as music-making, have also been shown to facilitate acculturation and reduce stress by providing a non-verbal medium for expression and community-building (Chantah et al., 2024; 2025). These findings highlight that psychosocial well-being is intimately tied to the social validation---or rejection---of one's hybrid self within the broader landscape of social boundaries.

3. Synthesis and Analysis of Contemporary Research

This section synthesises key themes from the contemporary literature (2015-2025) on migration, cultural hybridity, and boundary redefinition, structured around emergent analytical categories that illustrate the dialectic between hybridity and boundary work.

3.1. The Nuanced Landscape of Hybrid Identity Negotiation

Consistent with Benet-Martínez and Haritatos (2005) and Berry (1997), research reveals a spectrum of identity positioning, but with critical nuances. The literature indicates that "integration" or "high BII" is not a single state but a precarious, context-dependent achievement. An individual might feel seamlessly hybrid among friends but be forced into a singular, racialized box during a job search or police stop. This supports Anthias's (2008) translocational positionality, where identity feels integrated in one location (a private, diasporic space) but conflictual in another (a public, institutional space).

Narratives found in empirical studies show instances of deliberate hybridity: the active curation of cultural practices from multiple origins (Bhatia & Ram, 2009). This reflects agency and the creative potential of hybridity. However, scholars note that this is often a privilege accessible to those with greater cultural capital and social security. As Chen and Hong (2016) observed with skilled migrants, the ability to navigate hybridity can be an asset. In contrast, individuals facing economic precarity or discrimination might express a more fragmented or defensive identity, reflecting a *reactive ethnicity* or separation strategy—a form of boundary maintenance in response to perceived hostility from the receiving society (Choy et al., 2021).

Table 2: Typology of Hybrid Identity Negotiations (Synthesized from Literature)

Negotiation Mode	Description	Contextual Triggers	Psychosocial Correlate
Curated Syncretism	Active, joyful blending of cultural elements seen as enriching.	Secure legal/economic status, positive contact with diverse others, high self-efficacy.	High well-being, high BII, sense of agency.
Contextual Code-Switching	Strategic performance of different identities in different settings (work/home).	Necessity to adapt to mainstream expectations while preserving private heritage.	Moderate acculturative stress, situational anxiety, pragmatic adaptation.
Defensive Retrenchment	Reinforcing heritage identity in response to exclusion or threat.	Experiences of discrimination, xenophobic rhetoric, and feeling unwelcome.	High acculturative stress, potential for anger/depression, and strong in-group solidarity.
Ambivalent Fragmentation	Feeling stuck between cultures, belonging fully to neither.	Cultural values in sharp conflict, lack of community support, and marginalisation from both groups.	Low BII, high risk of marginalisation strategy, poor mental health outcomes (Brance et al., 2024).

Sources: Synthesis based on Benet-Martínez & Haritatos (2005); Anthias (2008); Berry (1997); Choy et al. (2021)

3.2. Structural and Institutional Boundary-Making

A critical insight from the literature is the powerful role of state and institutional structures in defining the most consequential social boundaries. Research highlights how insecure immigration status creates a life dominated by the "boundary of legality," which restricts access to work, healthcare, and housing, generating chronic fear and precarity (Cleton & Scuzzarello, 2024). This legal boundary overrides and intensifies all other cultural negotiations.

Similarly, institutions like the education system and the labour market are key sites for boundary work. Studies note tensions over school curricula that may erase migrant heritage or tokenistically celebrate diversity without addressing systemic racism. In the labour market, skilled migrants, particularly from non-Western

countries, often face "deskilling" and credential non-recognition—a form of professional boundary enforcement that maintains the privilege of the domestic workforce (Chen & Hong, 2016). These institutional practices actively *produce* categories of inclusion and exclusion, often forcing individuals into simplified identity boxes for administrative convenience, thereby constraining the lived reality of hybridity.

3.3. The Role of Space, Place, and Translocal Networks

Research highlights how physical and digital spaces become arenas for hybridity and boundary contestation. Ethnic neighbourhoods can be sites of comfort and cultural reproduction but also of ghettoisation and external stigmatisation. Digital spaces enable the maintenance of "translocal" networks (Akbari, 2021), allowing migrants to participate simultaneously in local community forums and homeland political discussions. This digital dual presence is a hallmark of contemporary hybridity, creating a sense of belonging that is spatially unbounded yet can also create conflict when online discourses from the homeland clash with realities in the settlement country.

Creative and leisure spaces, such as community arts centers or music groups, emerge from the literature as crucial "third spaces" where hybridity is actively performed and validated. This aligns with Chantah et al.'s (2024; 2025) findings on music-making as a facilitator of acculturation. These spaces can temporarily suspend rigid social boundaries and foster micro-experiences of blurred belonging.

3.4. Intersectional Differentiation in Experiences

A core finding across studies validates the necessity of intersectional analysis (Cleton & Scuzzarello, 2024). The experiences of migration, hybridity, and boundary negotiation are profoundly differentiated by gender, race, class, and legal status. Gender intersects with migration pathways and labour market experiences, shaping vulnerabilities and resources. Race and phenotype powerfully determine the frequency and intensity of boundary enforcement through everyday discrimination. Class and human capital mediate access to the social and economic resources needed to navigate hybridity successfully (Bak-Klimek et al., 2018). The literature thus concludes that there is no universal "migrant experience"; it is always filtered through an intersectional matrix that determines one's position in the hierarchy of belonging.

Table 3: Key Tensions in the Redefinition of Social Boundaries (Synthesized from Literature)

Arena of Contestation	Forces for Boundary Blurring/Shifting	Forces for Boundary Reinforcement	Outcome for Migrants
Cultural Identity	Hybrid practices, transnational media, intermarriage, second - generation fluidity.	Discourses of cultural purity, generational tensions within families, community pressures.	Negotiation stress, creative potential, generational conflict.
National Belonging	Transnational citizenship claims, post - national human rights discourses, global city identities.	Nationalist populism, assimilationist policies, conditional citizenship, "us vs. them" media framing.	Precarious belonging, divided loyalties, political mobilization.
Legal & Institutional	Human rights advocacy, sanctuary city policies, progressive court rulings.	Restrictive immigration laws, securitization of borders, bureaucratic categorization.	Legal precarity, stratified rights, anxiety.
Social Interaction	Everyday cosmopolitanism, workplace diversity, friendship networks.	Everyday racism & microaggressions, residential segregation, social exclusion.	Variable experiences of acceptance/rejection, impact on mental health (Bhugra, 2004).

Sources: Synthesis based on Anthias (2008); Bloemraad (2007); Brance et al. (2024); Cleton & Scuzzarello (2024)

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

This paper has argued, from a critical sociological perspective, that migration sets in motion a dynamic and contested process of cultural hybridisation and social boundary redefinition. Hybridity is not an endpoint but a continuous, situated practice negotiated within fields of power shaped by global inequalities, state policies, and intersecting social hierarchies. The redefinition of boundaries is a dialectical struggle: the fluidity and mixing inherent in hybridity provoke both transformative possibilities for more inclusive societies and reactive attempts to shore up old boundaries or draw new, more exclusionary ones. The psychosocial well-being of migrants is a key barometer of this struggle, tied to their capacity to craft coherent identities and find social validation within this complex landscape. The synthesis of contemporary research suggests that linear models of immigrant incorporation are obsolete. Instead, we must understand migrant-receiving societies as translocational fields where multiple belongings, contested boundaries, and

intersectional inequalities coexist. The celebration of hybridity in academic and cultural discourse must be tempered by a critical awareness of its uneven distribution and the structural barriers that prevent many from accessing its benefits. Based on this analysis, the following recommendations are offered for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers.

4.1. Policy Recommendations

1. **Adopt Transnational and Flexible Citizenship Models:** Move beyond monolithic conceptions of citizenship. Policies should recognize dual citizenship, explore forms of residency-based local citizenship, and create pathways to regularization for undocumented migrants. This formally acknowledges the blurred boundaries of belonging in a transnational world (Bloemraad, 2007).
2. **Implement Intersectional Integration Policies:** One-size-fits-all integration programs fail. Policies must be designed with an awareness of how gender, race, class, and legal status create divergent needs. Support for skilled migrant credential recognition must be paired with robust anti-discrimination laws and labour protections for low-wage migrant workers (Cleton & Scuzzarello, 2024).
3. **De-securitize Migration Governance:** Treat migration as a social and economic phenomenon rather than primarily a security threat. This shift would reduce the stigmatizing boundary between "citizen" and "migrant/alien" and allow for more humane, evidence-based policies.
4. **Fund and Support "Third Space" Initiatives:** Publicly support community arts, sports, and cultural initiatives that bring diverse groups together in non-hierarchical, creative collaboration. These spaces, as shown by Chantah et al. (2024), can foster organic hybridity and reduce acculturative stress.

4.2. Recommendations for Community and Institutional Practice

1. **Train Institutions in Cultural Complexity:** Mandate training for educators, healthcare providers, social workers, and police on concepts like hybrid identity, intersectionality, and the impacts of racialization. This can reduce discriminatory boundary enforcement in everyday institutional encounters.
2. **Promote Narrative and Media Change:** Support migrant-led media and storytelling projects that showcase the complexity of migrant lives, countering monolithic and negative stereotypes. This can shift public discourse and soften rigid symbolic boundaries.
3. **Foster Critical Dialogue within Diaspora Communities:** Support intra-

community dialogues that address tensions around tradition, change, and hybrid identities, particularly between generations. This can help manage internal boundary negotiations.

4.3. Avenues for Future Research

- 1. Longitudinal Intersectional Studies:** More research is needed that tracks migrants over time, explicitly analyzing how intersectional positions shape evolving identity negotiations and boundary encounters.
- 2. The Role of the Second Generation:** Further study on how the children of migrants redefine boundaries, often acting as cultural brokers and innovators of new hybrid forms, is crucial.
- 3. South-South Migration:** Most research focuses on South-North migration. Critical studies on hybridity and boundaries within the Global South are essential for a truly global understanding.
- 4. Digital Boundary Work:** In-depth research on how algorithms, social media platforms, and digital communities facilitate or hinder hybridity and create new forms of virtual boundaries.

In conclusion, navigating the social realities of the 21st century requires a sophisticated understanding of the intertwined processes of migration, hybridity, and boundary redefinition. A critical sociological perspective provides the necessary tools to analyze these processes without romanticism or alarmism, focusing instead on the power dynamics at play and advocating for a more just and fluid reimagining of community and belonging.

REFERENCES

- Akbari, H. (2021). Exploratory social-spatial network analysis of global migration structure. *Social Networks*, 64, 181–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2020.09.007>
- Alba, R. D., & Nee, V. (2003). *Remaking the American mainstream: Assimilation and contemporary immigration*. Harvard University Press.
- Anthias, F. (2008). Thinking through the lens of translocational positionality: An intersectionality frame for understanding identity and belonging. *Translocations: Migration and Social Change*, 4(1), 5–20.
- Bak-Klimek, A., Karatzias, T., Elliott, L., & MacLean, R. (2018). The determinants of well-being among Polish economic immigrants: Testing the sustainable happiness model in migrant population. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 19, 407–425. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-017-9877-7>

- Bauman, Z. (2000). *Liquid modernity*. Polity Press.
- Benet-Martínez, V., & Haritatos, J. (2005). Bicultural identity integration (BII): Components and psychosocial antecedents. *Journal of Personality*, 73(4), 1015–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2005.00337.x>
- Berry, J. W. (1997). Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 46(1), 5–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.1997.tb01087.x>
- Berry, J. W., Phinney, J. S., Sam, D. L., & Vedder, P. (2006). Immigrant youth: Acculturation, identity, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 55(3), 303–332. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2006.00256.x>
- Bhatia, S., & Ram, A. (2009). Theorizing identity in transnational and diaspora cultures: A critical approach to acculturation. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 33(2), 140–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2008.12.009>
- Bhugra, D. (2004). Migration and mental health. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 109(4), 243–258. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0001-690X.2003.00246.x>
- Bloemraad, I. (2007). Citizenship and pluralism: Multiculturalism in a world of global migration. In *Citizenship and immigrant incorporation: Comparative perspectives on North America and Western Europe* (pp. 57-72). Palgrave Macmillan US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-07379-2_4
- Brance, K., Chatzimpyros, V., & Bentall, R. P. (2024). Social identity, mental health and the experience of migration. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 63(4), 1681–1700. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12745>
- Chantah, J., Frankenberg, E., Kasanda, Z., & Bongard, S. (2024). Music-making facilitates acculturation and reduces acculturative stress: Evidence from a survey of migrants living in Germany. *Psychology of Music*, 53(1), 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03057356241243333>
- Chantah, J., Frankenberg, E., Kasanda, Z., & Bongard, S. (2025). Music-making facilitates acculturation and reduces acculturative stress: Evidence from a survey of migrants living in Germany. *Psychology of Music*, 53(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03057356241243333>
- Chen, C. P., & Hong, J. W. L. (2016). Career development of Chinese Canadian professional immigrants. *The Career Development Quarterly*, 64(3), 272–286. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cdq.12060>
- Chirkov, V. (2009). Critical psychology of acculturation: What do we study and how do we study it, when we investigate acculturation? *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 33(2), 94–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2008.12.004>
- Choy, B., Arunachalam, K. S. G., Taylor, M., & Lee, A. (2021). Systematic review: Acculturation strategies and their impact on the mental health of migrant

populations. *Public Health in Practice*, 2, 100069.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhip.2020.100069>

Cleton, L., & Scuzzarello, S. (2024). Intersectional migration research: Re-centring governance structures. *International Migration*, 62(2), 343–346.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/imig.13220>