

REFRAMING AFRICAN TRADITIONAL MEDICINE AS A RESEARCH PARADIGM: CHALLENGING EPISTEMIC INJUSTICE IN GLOBAL HEALTH

Ezeh Hilary Chukwuemeka Bishop

MBBS, MPH, MSc. (TQM&OE)

Centre for Population Research and Development LTD/GTE

Abstract

African Traditional Medicine (ATM) is systematically characterized within dominant global health and biomedical discourse as pre-scientific, anecdotal, or insufficiently researched, largely due to its reliance on oral transmission and indigenous epistemologies that diverge from Western scientific norms. This position paper challenges this deficit-based narrative by advancing the thesis that ATM constitutes a rigorous, empirical, and iterative system of knowledge production developed and refined over millennia. Drawing upon a multidisciplinary synthesis of historical, anthropological, ethnobotanical, pharmacological, and philosophical evidence, the paper reframes ATM as one of the world's most sustained longitudinal research traditions. It is grounded in systematic ecological observation, hypothesis-driven experimentation, and intergenerational peer validation; processes, that functionally parallel contemporary research methodologies. The analysis critically examines oral tradition not as a limitation, but as a dynamic, context-sensitive methodological framework that enables real-time

adaptation, ethical governance, and cumulative empirical refinement. A comprehensive review of modern phytochemical and pharmacological studies illustrates a profound convergence between indigenous research outcomes and contemporary scientific validation, highlighting cases where traditional ethnomedical use has directly informed major drug discoveries through reverse pharmacology. The paper further foregrounds burgeoning African-led academic, institutional, and policy efforts aimed at systematically documenting, analyzing, and integrating ATM within formal research ecosystems. It concludes that the persistent marginalization of ATM as "unresearched" constitutes a profound epistemic injustice with tangible repercussions for global health equity, biodiversity conservation, and pharmaceutical innovation. Recognizing and valorizing ATM as a legitimate and sophisticated research system is therefore posited as an ethical and strategic imperative for building equitable transnational knowledge partnerships, decolonizing global health, and advancing genuinely inclusive and sustainable healthcare development.

Keywords: African Traditional Medicine, Epistemic Injustice, Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Research Paradigm, Ethnopharmacology, Oral Tradition, Decolonizing Global Health, Bioprospecting, Reverse Pharmacology, Traditional Ecological Knowledge.

Introduction: The Persistence and Power of the "Unresearched" Narrative

The landscape of global health is predicated on hierarchies of knowledge that privilege certain forms of evidence while systematically marginalizing others. Within this epistemic order, African Traditional Medicine (ATM); encompassing the diverse systems of knowledge, practice, and belief incorporating plant, animal, and mineral-based medicines, spiritual therapies, and manual techniques applied singularly or in combination to diagnose, treat, and prevent illnesses (WHO, 2013) occupies a paradoxical position. It remains the primary, and often most accessible, source of healthcare for approximately 80% of the African continent's population, serving over 800 million people (WHO, 2023). Yet, within dominant biomedical and global health institutions, it is frequently dismissed as "unscientific," "unresearched," or rooted in superstition rather than systematic inquiry (Langwick, 2011; Mignolo, 2009).

This pervasive characterization stems from a fundamental epistemological bias: the conflation of research with its particular instantiation in post-Enlightenment Western science, which prioritizes written documentation, controlled experimentation in decontextualized settings (the laboratory), quantifiable data, and the isolation of variables. Knowledge systems that operate outside these parameters, particularly those reliant on oral transmission, experiential learning, and holistic, context-embedded validation, are thus judged not by their own internal logics and efficacy but by their failure to conform to an external standard (Santos, 2014). This

"epistemic violence" erases the intellectual labor, methodological rigor, and centuries of cumulative experimentation that underpin ATM.

This paper argues that such deficit-based narratives are not only analytically flawed but perpetuate a significant injustice. It posits that ATM is not a void of research but represents a distinct and sophisticated research paradigm; one of humanity's longest-running continuous scientific traditions. It is a system built on longitudinal ecological observation, iterative clinical experimentation, sophisticated classification taxonomies, and robust social mechanisms for peer review and ethical regulation. To dismiss it is to ignore a vast repository of empirically derived knowledge about human health, pharmacology, and ecology.

The objectives of this paper are threefold: first, to deconstruct the "unresearched" narrative by elucidating the principles and processes of indigenous African research methodologies; second, to present multidisciplinary evidence demonstrating the empirical foundations and scientific convergence of ATM; and third, to outline a path forward for recognizing ATM as a legitimate partner in global health research through narrative, policy, and methodological reform. By doing so, this paper contributes to the growing movement to decolonize global health and advance epistemic justice.

Theoretical Framework: Epistemic Injustice and Pluralistic Knowledge Systems

To understand the marginalization of ATM, we must engage with the philosophical concept of epistemic injustice. Coined by philosopher Miranda Fricker (2007), epistemic injustice occurs when a person is wronged in their capacity as a knower. This manifests in two key forms relevant to ATM:

1. **Testimonial Injustice:** When a speaker receives a deficit of credibility due to identity prejudice. The knowledge claims of traditional healers are often accorded less credibility a priori because they emerge from an indigenous, non-Western, oral-based system.
2. **Hermeneutical Injustice:** When a gap in collective interpretive resources puts someone at an unfair disadvantage in understanding their own social experiences. The lack of conceptual frameworks in dominant discourse to recognize indigenous methodologies as "research" actively harms knowledge holders by preventing their experiences and intellectual contributions from being understood.

This theoretical lens helps explain why the vast empirical database of ATM is not recognized as such. Furthermore, the paper draws on postcolonial science and technology studies (STS) and indigenous methodologies (Smith, 2012; Chilisa, 2019) which challenge the universality of Western scientific paradigms and advocate for the validity of knowledge systems rooted in place, culture, and relationality. These

frameworks allow us to analyze ATM not as a failed attempt at Western science, but as a successful and coherent knowledge system in its own right, with its own standards of evidence, validation, and transmission.

Rethinking "Research": The Principles of Indigenous African Epistemologies

Research, at its core, is a systematic process of inquiry aimed at discovering, interpreting, and revising knowledge. Dominant definitions are narrowly constructed, but a more inclusive view reveals that ATM operates on robust research principles, albeit embedded within social and ecological contexts rather than isolated laboratories.

Longitudinal and Ecological Observation as Clinical Trial:

ATM research is inherently ecological and longitudinal. Therapeutic practices were developed and refined over generations within the complex interplay of human physiology, local disease ecologies, seasonal cycles, and specific plant biochemistries. This long-term, real-world observation allowed practitioners to assess efficacy, identify chronic side effects, understand drug-environment interactions, and observe population-level health outcomes; a form of naturalistic longitudinal study far exceeding the timeframe of most modern clinical trials (Berkes, 2018).

Iterative Experimentation and Hypothesis Testing:

The development of ATM involved deliberate, systematic experimentation. Healers acted as principal investigators, formulating hypotheses (e.g., "Plant X may alleviate symptom Y") and testing them through carefully observed administration. Variables such as plant part (root, leaf, and bark), preparation method (decoction, infusion, fermentation, and ash), dosage, timing, and combination with other substances were systematically explored. Ineffective or harmful outcomes led to modification or rejection of the hypothesis, driving continuous refinement (Sofowora, 2008). This process mirrors the scientific method's cycle of observation, hypothesis, experimentation, and conclusion.

Intergenerational Peer Review and Ethical Governance:

Knowledge transmission occurred through structured, often secretive apprenticeships lasting years. Master practitioners served as mentors and reviewers, rigorously assessing a novice's knowledge and skill before granting them the right to practice. Furthermore, councils of elders or guilds of healers functioned as de facto institutional review boards and professional regulatory bodies. They validated new knowledge claims, sanctioned malpractice, enforced ethical codes regarding patient consent and confidentiality, and managed communal intellectual property, ensuring knowledge was used responsibly for communal benefit (Mpofu et al., 2011).

Complex Indigenous Taxonomies as Data Repositories:

African societies developed intricate ethnobiological classification systems that went far beyond Linnaean taxonomy. These systems encoded layered data: morphological identification, habitat, and phenology (flowering/fruiting times), ecological relationships, and crucially, therapeutic properties and preparation methods (Hountondji, 1997). A single plant name could thus function as a mnemonic database entry, condensing generations of empirical research into an orally transmitted code.

Evidence of Embedded Research: A Multidisciplinary Synthesis

Historical and Anthropological Testimony

The antiquity and sophistication of African medical research are well-documented. The Ebers Papyrus (c. 1550 BCE) of ancient Egypt, an African civilization, details over 700 plant-based remedies, demonstrating advanced pharmacognosy. Across the continent, specialized medical roles; bone-setters, midwives, psychic surgeons, toxicologists, experts in snakebite or mental health indicate a high degree of specialization and dedicated research streams within broader medical systems (Finch, 1990). Anthropological studies consistently detail complex etiological theories, diagnostic procedures, and treatment protocols that are logical, systematic, and tied to coherent worldviews (Janzen, 1992).

Pharmacological Convergence: When "Traditional" Meets "Validation"

Modern science often "discovers" what ATM has long known. This is not science conferring legitimacy but rather translating existing empirical findings into a different epistemological language. Prominent examples include:

10. **Artemisia afra:** Used across Southern and Eastern Africa for fevers, malaria, and respiratory ailments. Its close relative, *Artemisia annua*, used in Chinese traditional medicine, yielded artemisinin, the foundation of modern antimalarial combination therapies (Tu, 2011). Research on *A. afra* confirms antiparasitic and anti-inflammatory compounds, validating its traditional use.
11. **Cryptolepis sanguinolenta (Ghanaian quinine):** A cornerstone of West African malaria treatment. Phytochemical analysis isolated cryptolepine, a potent antimalarial alkaloid, providing a molecular basis for its efficacy (Bugyei et al., 2011).
12. **Prunus africana:** Bark extracts used for urinary disorders. This led to the development of a globally licensed pharmaceutical treatment for benign prostatic hyperplasia, generating significant economic value; most of which has not flowed back to source communities (Cunningham et al., 2016).
13. **Sutherlandia frutescens (Cancer bush):** Used in Southern Africa for a wide range of conditions. Scientific studies support its immunomodulatory,

anti-inflammatory, and antiretroviral properties, aligning with its traditional use as an "adaptogen" for chronic illness (van Wyk & Albrecht, 2008).

The methodology of reverse pharmacology; starting with documented traditional use to guide laboratory and clinical investigation formally institutionalizes this recognition, positioning indigenous knowledge as the primary research hypothesis (Patwardhan & Mashelkar, 2009).

Contemporary African-Led Scholarly and Institutional Revitalization

A vibrant, African-led scholarly movement is actively reclaiming and advancing ATM research. This includes:

14. **Policy Frameworks:** The African Union's Decade of African Traditional Medicine (2021-2030) and the WHO's Traditional Medicine Strategy (2025-2034) explicitly advocate for integration, research, and protection.
15. **Research Institutions:** Entities like the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) in South Africa, the Centre for Plant Medicine Research in Ghana, and the Nigerian Medicinal Plants Development Company conduct cutting-edge translational research.
16. **Academic Platforms:** Journals like the African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines (AJTCAM) and dedicated

university departments provide peer-reviewed venues for this scholarship.

17. **Collaborative Ethnobotany:** Modern researchers increasingly work with traditional healers as co-researchers, respecting their intellectual authority and employing participatory action research models (Chinsembu, 2019).

Oral Tradition Reconsidered: A Dynamic Research Infrastructure

The oral basis of ATM is frequently misconstrued as a fragility leading to distortion and loss. In contrast, it can be understood as a sophisticated, living information technology optimized for resilience and contextual relevance.

18. **Structured Mnemonics:** Knowledge is encoded in proverbs, songs, rituals, and narratives that enhance memorization and ensure precise transmission of complex information (Vansina, 1985).
19. **Dynamic Updating:** Unlike fixed texts, oral systems are inherently adaptable. Practitioners can incorporate new observations, adjust to emerging diseases (e.g., adapting herbal regimens for HIV/AIDS-related symptoms), and refine practices in response to environmental change.
20. **Holistic Data Transmission:** Oral instruction conveys not just the "what" (this plant treats that disease) but the essential "how," "when," and "why":

detailed preparation techniques, precise dosage forms, contraindications, seasonal harvesting rules, and ecological sustainability practices. This embedded context is often stripped away in the process of reducing a remedy to an isolated "active compound."

Contemporary Challenges and Strategic Future Directions

Despite its robust foundations, ATM faces existential threats that stem from external pressures, not internal inadequacy.

21. **Biodiversity Loss and Biopiracy:** Habitat destruction and climate change threaten medicinal plants. Simultaneously, biopiracy; the unauthorized commercial exploitation of traditional knowledge and genetic resources remains rampant due to weak international and national intellectual property regimes (Mgbeoji, 2014).
22. **Epistemic Marginalization:** The persistent "unresearched" narrative stifles funding, limits academic recognition, and hinders integration into public health systems.
23. **Inadequate Integration Models:** Integration efforts often seek to extract "active ingredients" while disregarding the holistic framework, practitioners' expertise, and the need for reciprocal benefit-sharing.

To address these challenges, a multi-pronged strategic agenda is required:

Narrative and Educational Reform:

Academics, journalists, and policymakers must actively reframe the discourse. Concepts like "Indigenous Research Methods," "Longitudinal Ecological Studies," and "Traditional Clinical Trials" should be employed to accurately describe ATM's methodologies. Decolonized curricula in medical, public health, and life sciences must incorporate these perspectives.

Policy and Legal Innovation:

States must develop and enforce robust sui generis (of its own kind) intellectual property laws that recognize collective ownership, protect traditional knowledge from misappropriation, and mandate prior informed consent and equitable benefit-sharing under frameworks like the Nagoya Protocol. National TM policies must be fully operationalized with budget allocations.

Research and Innovation for Equity:

Future research must be collaborative and equitable. Ethical guidelines should mandate traditional knowledge holders as co-authors and partners in study design, data interpretation, and commercialization. Funding should prioritize community-led research agendas and capacity-building for TM institutions.

Integration for Health System Resilience:

ATM should be integrated into national health systems not as a subordinate alternative, but as a complementary subsystem with its own recognized practitioners, quality-controlled pharmacopoeia, and referral pathways, as seen in Ghana's model.

Conclusion

African Traditional Medicine is not awaiting the validation of Western science; it is a validated system of knowledge in its own right. It is the product of millennia of dedicated, systematic, and ethical research conducted within the world's oldest laboratories: its diverse ecosystems and communities. The persistent characterization of ATM as "unresearched" is a profound act of epistemic injustice that obscures a vast intellectual heritage, hinders global health innovation, and perpetuates colonial patterns of knowledge extraction.

Recognizing ATM as a legitimate and sophisticated research paradigm is therefore an urgent ethical, scientific, and practical imperative. It is a necessary step toward epistemic justice, a strategy for conserving biocultural diversity, and a pathway to more inclusive, effective, and equitable global health. The future of medical discovery and holistic healthcare depends not on the continued marginalization of indigenous knowledge, but on forging respectful, equitable partnerships that honour the plurality of ways of knowing that can heal our world.

References

1. Abdullahi, A. A. (2011). Trends and challenges of traditional medicine in Africa. *African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines*, 8(5S), 115–123. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v8i5S.5>
2. African Union. (2021). *Plan of Action for the Decade of African Traditional Medicine (2021-2030)*. Addis Ababa: African Union Commission.
3. Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred ecology* (4th ed.). Routledge.
4. Bugyei, K. A., Boye, G. L., & Addy, M. E. (2011). Clinical efficacy of a tea-bag formulation of *Cryptolepis sanguinolenta* in the treatment of malaria. *Ghana Medical Journal*, 45(2), 66–71.
5. Chilisa, B. (2019). *Indigenous research methodologies* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
6. Chinsembu, K. C. (2019). Ethnobotanical study of plants used in the management of HIV/AIDS-related diseases in Livingstone, Southern Province, Zambia. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2019, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6713524>
7. Cunningham, A. B., Brinckmann, J. A., & Pei, S. J. (2016). *Prunus africana*: A sustainable resource for prostate health? In R. R. N. Alves & U. P. Albuquerque (Eds.), *Ethnobiology* (pp. 1–16). Wiley.

8. Finch, C. S. (1990). *The African background to medical science: Essays on African history, science, and civilizations*. Karnak House.
9. Fricker, M. (2007). *Epistemic injustice: Power and the ethics of knowing*. Oxford University Press.
10. Gurib-Fakim, A. (2006). Medicinal plants: Traditions of yesterday and drugs of tomorrow. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 27(1), 1–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2005.07.008>
11. Hountondji, P. J. (1997). *Endogenous knowledge: Research trails*. CODESRIA.
12. Janzen, J. M. (1992). *Ngoma: Discourses of healing in Central and Southern Africa*. University of California Press.
13. Langwick, S. A. (2011). *Bodies, politics, and African healing: The matter of maladies in Tanzania*. Indiana University Press.
14. Mgbеoji, I. (2014). *Global biopiracy: Patents, plants, and indigenous knowledge*. UBC Press.
15. Mignolo, W. D. (2009). Epistemic disobedience, independent thought and decolonial freedom. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 26(7-8), 159–181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276409349275>
16. Mpofu, V., Larsen, M., & Millington, K. A. (2011). Traditional healers' views on their role in supporting HIV/AIDS management in rural South Africa. *Journal of Social Aspects of HIV/AIDS*, 8(4), 179–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17290376.2011.9725004>

17. Patwardhan, B., & Mashelkar, R. A. (2009). Traditional medicine-inspired approaches to drug discovery: Can Ayurveda show the way forward? *Drug Discovery Today*, 14(15-16), 804–811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2009.05.009>
18. Santos, B. de S. (2014). *Epistemologies of the South: Justice against epistemicide*. Routledge.
19. Smith, L. T. (2012). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples* (2nd ed.). Zed Books.
20. Sofowora, A. (2008). *Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa* (3rd ed.). Spectrum Books.
21. Tu, Y. (2011). The discovery of artemisinin (qinghaosu) and gifts from Chinese medicine. *Nature Medicine*, 17(10), 1217–1220. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2471>
22. van Wyk, B.-E., & Albrecht, C. (2008). A review of the taxonomy, ethnobotany, chemistry and pharmacology of *Sutherlandia frutescens* (Fabaceae). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 119(3), 620–629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2008.08.003>
23. van Wyk, B.-E., & Gericke, N. (2000). *People's plants: A guide to useful plants of Southern Africa*. Briza Publications.
24. Vansina, J. (1985). *Oral tradition as history*. University of Wisconsin Press.

25. World Health Organization. (2013). *WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014-2023*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241506096>
26. World Health Organization. (2023). *WHO global report on traditional and complementary medicine 2023*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240081854>