

**CONSCIENCE AND CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP IN NIGERIA: MORAL AGENCY AND  
ETHICAL DECISION-MAKING**

By

**MOSES, JEREMIAH EKWE**

**PROFESSOR CHARLES OGUNDU NNAJI**

And

**DR. THERESA ABOK AKPAN**

Department Of Christian Studies And Religious Communications, Faculty Of Art,  
University Of Abuja Nigeria

**Abstract**

This paper engages in a philosophical inquiry into the role of conscience in Christian leadership in Nigeria. Despite the growth of Christianity and the proliferation of churches in Nigeria, reports of financial mismanagement, abuse of power, and ethical compromise among Christian leaders persist between 2015-2025. While sociological and empirical studies have documented these failures, few have examined the foundational moral faculty of conscience through philosophical and theological lenses. Using a conceptual and argumentative approach, this study interrogates the nature and function of conscience drawing from classical philosophy, modern ethics, biblical theology, and African moral thought. It argues that conscience, as a God-given moral faculty that bears witness to moral truth, is indispensable for ethical decision-making in Christian leadership. The paper demonstrates how a “seared” or culturally conditioned conscience contributes to the current crisis of accountability in Nigerian churches. It concludes by proposing a conscience-driven model for Christian leaders based on three pillars: formation through Scripture and reason, maintenance of a tender conscience, and communal accountability. The study contributes to ongoing discourse on Christian ethics in Africa by repositioning conscience at the center of leadership responsibility.

**Introduction**

The Nigerian church has experienced unprecedented numerical growth in the last three decades. Yet this growth has been paralleled by increasing public scrutiny over the ethical conduct of Christian leaders. Between 2015 and 2025, cases of financial impropriety, authoritarian leadership, tribalism, and moral scandals involving pastors have become frequent subjects of public debate and scholarly inquiry. While external factors such as weak institutional structures and socio-political pressure explain part of this crisis, the internal moral compass of leaders remains under-examined. Most existing studies on Christian leadership in Nigeria adopt sociological, managerial, or empirical approaches. They describe what leaders do, but rarely ask the philosophical question: By what inner faculty should a Christian leader know what is right? This paper argues that the neglected answer is conscience syneidesis in Greek. Conscience is not a peripheral concept in Christian theology. Paul refers to it over 30 times in his epistles, describing it as the faculty that “bears witness” Romans 9:1 and must be kept “clear” 1 Timothy 1:5. Yet in practice, many Nigerian Christian leaders operate from cultural expectations,

denominational politics, or personal ambition rather than a conscience formed and purified by Scripture and the Spirit.

This paper undertakes a philosophical inquiry into conscience as it relates to Christian leadership in Nigeria. Philosophical inquiry here means conceptual clarification, critical analysis of competing views, and normative argumentation. The objective is threefold: 1. to clarify what conscience is and how it functions, 2. to analyze how the crisis of conscience manifests in Nigerian Christian leadership, and 3. to propose a biblically grounded, philosophically coherent model for conscience-driven leadership.

### **Conceptualizing Philosophical And Biblical Perspectives Of Conscience**

Having established the ethical crisis facing Nigerian Christian leadership, this inquiry must first clarify the concept of conscience itself. Without a coherent definition, any diagnosis of “conscience failure” risks being vague. Even though Nnaji sees classical proofs that philosophy is Hebrew in origin (Nnaji 74-83), however, Nnaji in another place asserted that there is strength in recent insinuations that classical Greek Philosophers are only but true to reality (Nnaji 141-148). Therefore, this paper is drawing from classical philosophy (a Greek background), modern ethics, biblical theology, and African thought, this section develops a working definition that will frame the rest of the paper.

#### **1. Classical Philosophy: Conscience as Reasoned Moral Insight**

Greek philosophy offers the term “syneidesis”, meaning “co-knowledge” or “knowing with oneself”. Though Socrates did not use the word “conscience”, his “daimonion” functioned as an inner voice that restrained wrong action (Plato 31e-32a). Plato located moral knowledge in the rational soul, arguing that justice occurs when reason rules over appetite (Plato 441e-442d). Aristotle advanced this by connecting conscience to “phronesis” or practical wisdom, the ability to discern the moral “mean” in particular situations (Aristotle 1106a-1107a). From these classical sources emerge two insights critical for Christian leadership: conscience involves rational reflection rather than mere emotion, and it is formed through habit and practice (Aristotle 1103a). A leader’s conscience, therefore, cannot be left untrained.

#### **2. Modern Philosophy: Conscience as Duty and Social Construct**

Modern philosophy secularized conscience but sharpened its moral demand. Kant reconceived conscience as the categorical imperative - reason legislating universal moral law to itself (Kant 30). This emphasized autonomy and duty. Freud, in contrast, reduced conscience to “superego”, a product of parental and social conditioning (Freud 123). The tension between Kant and Freud raises a crucial question: Is conscience universal or culturally constructed? This question is especially relevant for Nigeria, where cultural pressure often reshapes moral judgment. The biblical view, discussed below, affirms conscience as universal yet culturally conditioned, a synthesis that avoids both Kantian rigidity and Freudian reductionism.

### **3. Biblical Theology: Conscience as God-Given Moral Faculty**

The New Testament uses “syneidesis” 31 times, portraying conscience as a created moral capacity accountable to God. Romans 2:14-15 reveals conscience as universal: even Gentiles without the Mosaic law have “the work of the law written on their hearts”. Hebrews 9:14 shows conscience can be defiled and requires purification through Christ’s blood. First Timothy 1:5 presents “a good conscience” as one of three goals of Christian instruction, alongside love and sincere faith. Biblically, conscience is a witness, not the final Judge. It must be informed by Scripture and guided by the Spirit (Romans 8:16). An uninformed conscience becomes “weak” (1 Corinthians 8:12) and prone to error. Thus, biblical theology corrects both classical over-reliance on reason and modern over-reliance on autonomy.

### **4. African Philosophy: Conscience as Communal Moral Sense**

African thought enriches this discussion by insisting that morality is communal. For Menkiti, personhood is achieved through participation in community (Menkiti 172), while Gyekye defends moderate communitarianism that preserves individual moral agency (Gyekye 40). Both agree that conscience is socially embedded. To Nnaji, conscience is the human soul which gives the man the ability to reflect, reexamine his actions and positions in life (Nnaji 22). For Nigerian leaders, this means conscience is shaped by two forces: biblical revelation and cultural norms. The philosophical task, therefore, is to test cultural conscience against Scripture (Acts 17:11). Where culture demands “covering kinsmen” but Scripture commands open rebuke (1 Timothy 5:20), conscience must choose the higher authority.

### **5. Working Definition for This Paper**

Synthesizing these perspectives, this paper defines conscience as: The God-given moral faculty of the human person, through which reason, informed by Scripture and guided by the Spirit, judges actions as right or wrong and produces conviction, approval, or guilt. This definition will guide the analysis of conscience in Christian leadership.

## **THE ROLE OF CONSCIENCE IN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP**

With conscience thus defined, this section examines its specific functions in Christian leadership. If conscience is the moral faculty described above, then faithful leadership depends on its proper operation. Three functions are central: witness to truth, regulation of decisions, and guardianship of credibility.

Firstly, Conscience as Internal Witness to Moral Truth: Philosophically, conscience operates as “syneidesis” the mind’s capacity to judge its own actions in light of moral law (Romans 2:15). For the Christian leader, that moral law is grounded in God’s character and revealed in Scripture. Paul models this dual accountability in Acts 24:16: “I always take pains to have a clear conscience toward both God

and man”. Without a functioning conscience, leaders externalize morality, asking “What can I get away with?” instead of “What is true?”. In Nigeria, this explains why some pastors justify financial secrecy by claiming “it is for ministry expansion” while ignoring Proverbs 11:1. Conscience refuses such rationalization. Philosophically, this aligns with Kant’s demand for universal moral law, though biblically that law is God-given rather than self-legislated (Kant 31).

Secondly, Conscience as Regulator of Ethical Decision-Making: Leadership is decision-making, and conscience regulates those decisions by performing three functions. First, anticipatory: before action, conscience forewarns through the Spirit’s witness (Romans 8:16) and practical reason (Aristotle 1140a). Second, evaluative: during action, conscience monitors motives and means, asking whether the decision is honest, fair, and biblical (2 Corinthians 8:21). Third, retrospective: after action, conscience renders verdict through approval or guilt (Hebrew 10:22). A seared conscience loses all three functions (1 Timothy 4:2). Therefore, ethical decision-making in Christian leadership is less about following procedures and more about whether the leader’s conscience is formed enough to recognize when procedures are manipulated.

Thirdly, Conscience as Guardian of Credibility and Corporate Witness: Credibility is a leader’s moral capital, and conscience guards it by maintaining integrity, wholeness between belief and behavior. The Greek “akeraios” means “unmixed” in Matthew 10:16 captures this ideal. For Nigerian leaders, credibility has three dimensions: personal, per Titus 1:7; institutional, per Matthew 5:13-16; and corporate, per John 13:35. The philosophical danger in Nigeria is moral dualism - separating “church morality” from “market morality”. Conscience rejects such dualism, insisting that Romans 12:2 applies to budgeting meetings as much as to prayer meetings.

**Fourthly, the Necessity of a Formed and Spirit-Sensitive Conscience:** Conscience is not infallible. A “weak” (1 Corinthians 8:7) or “defiled” conscience (Titus 1:15) can mislead. Therefore, Christian leadership requires formation by Scripture and reason (Hebrew 5:14; Aristotle 1103b), and Spirit-sensitivity for illumination (Romans 9:1). Conscience without the Spirit becomes legalism; Spirit-consciousness without conscience becomes subjectivism. The faithful leader needs both. Having established the role of conscience, the inquiry must now diagnose why that role is failing among Nigerian leaders.

### **The Crisis Of Conscience In Nigerian Christian Leadership, 2015-2025**

If conceptualizing conscience: philosophical and biblical perspectives and the role of conscience in Christian leadership describe how conscience ought to function, empirical reality between 2015-2025 reveals widespread dysfunction. This crisis is not merely behavioral but philosophical: the moral faculty itself is damaged or misdirected. Two manifestations dominate the Nigerian context: searing through repeated compromise, and cultural captivity to communal norms.

**The Searing of Conscience: Moral Desensitization:** 1Timothy 4:2 warns of consciences “seared” “kauteriazō” branded with a hot iron until nerve endings die. Philosophically, this is Aristotle’s habituation in reverse: vice formed through repeated wrong action (Aristotle 1103a). In Nigeria, searing occurs through normalization of financial opacity, rationalization of exceptions, and eventual loss of remorse. Each compromise is a “hot iron” that scars conscience further, until Hebrews 3:13 warning of being “hardened by the deceitfulness of sin” is fulfilled. The danger of a seared conscience is that institutional reform becomes useless. Policies cannot help a leader whose internal moral monitor is dead (Romans 1:18).

**Cultural Captivity of Conscience: Community Over Scripture:** The second crisis is captivity rather than searing. Here conscience functions but has been formed by culture before Scripture. African philosophy rightly emphasizes communal morality (Menkiti 173), yet the crisis occurs when cultural conscience contradicts biblical truth.

Three patterns appear: captivity to “big man” culture that grants immunity to the powerful, violating 1 Timothy 5:20-21; captivity to prosperity ideology that redefines guilt as questioning funds rather than misusing them, defiling conscience (Titus 1:15); and captivity to ethnic/denominational loyalty that Judges actions by identity rather than truth, violating James 2:9. Cultural captivity is subtle because the pastor still “feels” conscience. But like the Pharisees, he has invalidated God’s word for tradition (Mark 7:13). The result is collective moral blindness when entire denominations approve what Scripture condemns (Romans 1:32).

**Diagnosis: Conscience Without Spirit-Consciousness:** The root problem is separation. Many leaders have been taught conscience as moral law but not as Spirit-guided faculty (Rom 9:1 NRSV). Conscience without Spirit-consciousness becomes rigid or culturally flexible; Spirit-consciousness without conscience becomes emotional. This inquiry’s title, Conscience and Spirit-Consciousness, therefore addresses the root issue by reuniting the two.

### **Toward A Conscience-Driven Model For Nigerian Christian Leaders**

To address the searing and captivity diagnosed above, this section proposes a conscience-driven model built on three pillars. This model reunites conscience and Spirit-consciousness and offers a pathway for renewing leadership integrity in Nigeria.

**Pillar One: Formation through Scripture and Reason:** Conscience has capacity but not content innately (Romans 2:15); it must be trained (Aristotle 1103a). A malformed conscience approves evil confidently (1 Corinthians 8:7). Therefore, formation is the first task. Scriptural formation occurs through deep engagement with texts on stewardship (Luke 16:10-12) and justice (Micah 6:8), allowing Hebrews 4:12 to expose motives. Rational formation develops “phronesis” case-based moral reasoning (Aristotle

1140a), so leaders can resist cultural pressure with biblical wisdom. This pillar directly counters cultural captivity.

Pillar two: Maintenance of a tender and spirit-sensitive Conscience: Formation alone cannot prevent searing. The second pillar is maintenance - keeping conscience tender through self-examination (2 Corinthians 13:5), quick repentance (Hebrew 3:13), and avoidance of desensitizing environments (1 Corinthians 15:33). Spirit-sensitivity is crucial: Romans 9:1 shows conscience bearing witness “in the Holy Spirit”. The Spirit convicts (John 16:8) and guides (John 16:13), preventing Kantian autonomy from becoming isolation (Kant 31). This pillar prevents legalism by ensuring conscience operates with divine illumination.

Pillar Three: Communal Accountability for Conscience Testing: The third pillar corrects Western individualism by recovering African communitarian wisdom (Menkiti 172). Conscience is personal but not private. Paul’s clear conscience was still submitted to the church’s judgment (1 Corinthians 4:3-4). Denominational structures can function as “conscience forums” that ask not just “Are the books balanced?” but “Is your conscience clear before God?” (Titus 1:7). Gyekye’s moderate communitarianism supports this balance between individual agency and communal testing (Gyekye 41).

The Integrated Model: When the three pillars work together, they produce the leader of 1 Timothy 1:5: love from a pure heart, a good conscience, and sincere faith. Scripture and reason form conscience; the Spirit illuminates it; community tests it. Remove one pillar and the model collapses into Pharisaism, subjectivism, or hidden compromise. This integrated model addresses the Nigerian crisis at its philosophical root.

## **Conclusion**

This inquiry has argued that the ethical failures of Nigerian Christian leaders between 2015-2025 constitute a crisis of conscience. Sociological explanations are insufficient because they cannot heal a seared or culturally captive moral faculty (1 Timothy 4:2). Philosophically and biblically, conscience is the God-given faculty that witnesses to truth, regulates decisions, and guard credibility (Romans 2:15; Acts 24:16). The Nigerian context reveals two pathologies: searing through repeated compromise and captivity to cultural norms that override Scripture (Mark 7:13). In response, a conscience-driven model built on formation, Spirit-sensitivity, and accountability offers a biblically grounded pathway for renewal. This model reunites the themes of the thesis: conscience provides moral content; Spirit-consciousness provides power and timing for obedience.

The implication for Nigerian Christianity is clear: institutional reform will fail until conscience is reformed. Denominations do not primarily need more policies; they need leaders with consciences trained by Scripture, kept tender by the Spirit, and tested in community. As Paul states, the goal of all instruction is “love that issues from a pure heart, a good conscience, and a sincere faith” (1 Timothy 1:5). A church

with gifted leaders but seared consciences will lose its witness. A church with formed, Spirit-sensitive consciences will reflect Christ, even under pressure.

## Works Cited

Aristotle. *Nicomachean Ethics*, Translated by Terence Irwin, 2nd ed., Hackett Publishing Company, 1999.

Freud, Sigmund. *Civilization and Its Discontents*, Translated by James Strachey, W.W. Norton & Company, 1961.

Gyekye, Kwame. *Tradition and Modernity: Philosophical Reflections on the African Experience*, Oxford University Press, 1997.

Kant, Immanuel. *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, Translated by Mary Gregor, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Menkiti, Ifeanyi. "Person and Community in African Traditional Thought." *African Philosophy: An Introduction*, edited by Richard Wright, 3rd ed., University Press of America, 1984, pp. 171-181.

Nietzsche, Friedrich. *Beyond Good and Evil: Prelude to a Philosophy of the Future*, Translated by Walter Kaufmann, Vintage Books, 1989.

Nnaji, Ogundu Charles. "Introductory Dictionary of Psychology of Religion" *The International Journals of Research Direct and Methods TIJRAM*, 2(1) 2020, p.22. <https://http://www.researchgate.net>. accessed on June 9, 2026.

Nnaji Ogundu Charles. "Classist Proofs That 'Philosophia' is Hebrew Not Greek" *Sophia: An African Journal of Philosophy*, Volume 15(2) 2015, pp.74-83. [Scholar.google.com](https://scholar.google.com). accessed on June 14, 2026.

Nnaji, Ogundu Charles. "Education Personification Theory on the Historicity of Classical Greek Philosophers" *Open Journal of Philosophy*, Volume 6, 2016, pp.141-148. [Scholar.google.com](https://scholar.google.com). accessed on June 14, 2026.

Plato. *Apology and Crito*, Translated by G.M.A. Grube, Hackett Publishing Company, 2000.

*The Holy Bible*, New Revised Standard Version. National Council of Churches, 1989.