



THE LOGIC OF COMPLEMENTARITY: DAEDALUS'S MYTH AS A GUIDE TO INTEGRAL HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

Solomon Akinyemi Laleye, PhD

Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State

solomon.laleye@aaau.edu.ng; laleyesolomon@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper investigates the concept of complementarity, using the myth of Daedalus as a symbolic guide for integral human development. Instead of viewing the myth simply as a story of creativity and tragedy, it is seen as a philosophical commentary on the human experience, particularly where reason and imagination, freedom and responsibility, as well as aspiration and limitation, all need to coexist. Daedalus, the skilled craftsman, represents the delicate balance between creativity and ethical boundaries; his downfall is not in his inventions, but in overlooking the need for harmony between power and wisdom. The logic of complementarity, therefore, is presented as an alternative to dualistic thinking that isolates opposites. It emphasizes relational balance as the path to human flourishing. By reflecting on this myth, the paper suggests that true development is not about one aspect triumphing over another, but rather about weaving together the various, interconnected elements of human life to foster a more complete humanity.

Keywords: complementarity; Daedalus myth; integral human development; relational balance; creativity and ethics; human flourishing.

Introduction

Human beings have always lived in dialogue with possibility. From the clay-potter shaping a vessel to the engineer designing a bridge, the human creative spirit carries the promise of freedom, but it also comes with the risk of overreach. Myth, logic, and human development are intricately connected aspects of our consciousness and progress. Myth serves as humanity's earliest way of making sense of existence, using symbols and stories to convey moral and existential truths. It captures our intuitive wisdom, highlighting the struggles between freedom and responsibility, much like the tale of Daedalus (RICOEUR 1969, 5). In contrast, logic steps in as the rational expression of these insights, taking the underlying order found in mythic imagination and turning it into clear, coherent thought. Instead of being at odds with myth, logic actually enhances and deepens its significance through thoughtful examination. Therefore, our understanding must function within a framework of complementarity by acknowledging the interconnectedness of our intuitive and rational ways of thinking. In this context, human development reaches its fullest potential when both mythic imagination and logical reasoning collaborate. In this regard, (NUSSBAUM 2011, 25) emphasizes that societies that cultivate both critical thinking and imaginative empathy promote authentic, humane, and well-rounded growth. Therefore, the journey of human development will unfold through the complementary harmony



of mythos and logos, where imagination sparks meaning and logic provides clarity, both steering humanity toward comprehensive and ethical progress. It is against this backdrop that this article considers the ancient Greek myth of Daedalus, where the ingenious craftsman creates wings for himself and his son Icarus to escape Crete. Their flight turns into a cautionary tale about ambition unchecked by ethical considerations: Icarus flies too close to the sun and plummets to his doom. This myth serves as a reminder that creativity and freedom exist in a delicate balance with limits and responsibility. The myth suggests that “today the great increase in our powers co-exists with a diminished capacity to think about them with any kind of moral realism.” (RUBIN 2005, 73-91). In African philosophical traditions, the idea of complementary thinking is far more complex than simple opposition. For example, both the Igbo and Yoruba concepts of the relational cosmos teach that beings only exist as “necessary complements of each other” (KANU 2015a, & KANU2016b). Generally, in African ontology, the human person and the nature of reality are seen as complementary. This relational perspective encourages us to view human development not as a race of isolated individuals but as a shared journey. In Nigeria and many African societies today, the challenge is evident: while technological innovation is advancing, ethical frameworks and communal values often struggle to keep up.

Philosophical Background, Myth, Complementarity, and Contemporary Human Challenges

In Greek mythology, Daedalus represents the fascinating blend of human creativity and moral responsibility. As a brilliant craftsman, Daedalus built the Labyrinth for King Minos of Crete, but his cleverness led to his imprisonment alongside his son Icarus for helping Theseus escape. To break free, he ingeniously crafted wings from wax and feathers. This act symbolizes the human spirit's desire to push beyond natural boundaries. Before they took off, Daedalus cautioned Icarus, his son, to stick to the “middle path”, not flying too high or too low, serving as a wise reminder about moderation and prudence (Ovid 2004).

Yet, Icarus, driven by youthful ambition, flew too close to the sun; the wax melted, and he plunged into the sea. Daedalus managed to escape, but he was left with a heavy heart, dedicating his wings to Apollo as a poignant reminder that creativity without moral guidance can lead to disaster.

The story of Daedalus holds a significant spot in Greek mythology, weaving together themes of creativity, caution, and the ethical boundaries of human ingenuity. Daedalus, known as a master craftsman, embodies our ability to innovate, but his tale also serves as a cautionary reminder about the perils of unchecked ambition. In the myth, his daring escape from Crete with his son Icarus, using wings made of wax and feathers, showcases both remarkable technological skill and the fragility of existence. While Icarus’ heartbreaking fall often steals the spotlight, Daedalus himself represents a more measured wisdom, a character who realizes that true freedom comes with a sense of responsibility and balance (NUSSBAUM 1994). In philosophical discussions, Daedalus becomes a powerful metaphor for the human experience: we have the potential to rise above our limitations, yet we remain tethered by natural, moral, and communal obligations (SANI 2018, 44). This makes his myth an excellent framework for examining the logic of



complementarity: how freedom and responsibility, innovation and restraint, individuality and community can coexist harmoniously.

In the realm of classical Western philosophy, particularly within Aristotle's framework, we find ourselves anchored in binary logic. This is largely shaped by the Law of Non-Contradiction, which asserts that something cannot simultaneously exist and not exist. This way of thinking creates clear-cut distinctions such as truth versus falsehood, reason versus emotion, and existence versus non-existence, highlighting exclusion and opposition as the foundation of rational thought. On the flip side, African relational logic takes a different approach. It moves away from rigid dualism and embraces the idea that contradictions can actually contribute to a sense of balance and wholeness in existence. In Yoruba metaphysics, concepts like *ibásepọ* (harmonious relationship) and *àjọṣe* (cooperation) reflect the belief that human well-being thrives on relational harmony among individuals, communities, and the cosmos (GBADEGESIN 1991, 45). As (BEWAJI 2004) points out, Yoruba thought showcases an integrative rationality that appreciates the coexistence of opposites like *Orun* (heaven) and *Aiye* (earth), male and female as complementary forces that are vital to existence. This perspective shifts Aristotle's "either/or" logic into a "both/and" framework, placing a higher value on harmony over hierarchy and relation over separation. While binary logic defines truth through exclusion, complementary logic defines it through connection and coexistence, rooting reason and ethics in a sense of relational wholeness that is an indispensable aspect of African views on holistic human development.

Complementarity in (LALEYE 2018) denotes a relational framework or principle in which the diverse dimensions of human existence, such as the moral and material, individual and communal aspects of life, are interdependent rather than antagonistic. True development emerges when these dimensions reinforce one another without domination or exclusion.

The logical concept of Inclusive Disjunction serves as a robust framework for understanding complementarity. In the realm of logic, inclusive disjunction asserts that a combined outcome is true if at least one of the individual components is true, and it also permits the possibility of both being true at the same time. This principle underscores the potential for collaboration and synergy among varied viewpoints.

For example, let us examine a community grappling with a water scarcity challenge. Two potential solutions arise:

Value A: Constructing a new well will augment the water supply

Value B: Enact water conservation strategies to diminish demand

By employing inclusive disjunction, we acknowledge that each solution alone plays a significant role in efficient water management; however, their integration significantly amplifies overall effectiveness. Thus:



The establishment of Value A (the construction of a well) leads to an enhancement in water management.

The realisation of Value B (the implementation of conservation measures) also results in improved water management.

When both conditions are true, the effectiveness of the solution is significantly strengthened.

This logical framework highlights the fundamental principle of complementarity: instead of imposing a binary decision between conflicting solutions, it is a way of thinking that affirms unity in diversity, insisting that meaningful existence and true development emerge when different dimensions of life cooperate rather than compete (LALEYE 2025, 10)

In Ramose's conception, the logic of complementarity revolves around the concept that everything is linked and always in motion (RAMOSE 1999, 50). He takes cues from philosophers like Heraclitus and Hegel, highlighting ideas like holism and dynamic balance (RAMOSE 2002, 120). This approach encourages us to see the world as a complex network of relationships, acknowledging the inherent instability of existence and celebrating the interconnectedness of opposites (RAMOSE 1999, 55).

In other words, the idea of complementarity, particularly in African philosophy, highlights that reality is fundamentally relational and that no one exists in a vacuum. Unlike the Western approach that often leans toward binary oppositions, African thought emphasizes interconnectedness as the essence of existence. The concept of *Ubuntu* from Southern Africa's "umuntungumuntungabantu" meaning "a person is a person through other persons", encapsulates this perspective, suggesting that Africans' dignity and identity are born from the relationships with others (MENKITI 1984, RAMOSE 2002). *Ubuntu* did not only highlights the significance of community and mutual recognition in shaping our human identity (RAMOSE 2002, 125), when it comes to social philosophy, it places a strong emphasis on the well-being of others, especially in life-threatening situations (RAMOSE 1999, 60) by advocating for a more inclusive and participatory style of governance, where decisions are made through dialogue that builds consensus (RAMOSE 2002, 130).

In *Ujamaa*, which translates to *familyhood*, as envisioned by Julius Nyerere, emphasizes that individuals are not solitary beings. Instead, they thrive through and for the community, highlighting that personal well-being and the welfare of the group are intertwined rather than in conflict. In this light, true human flourishing can only occur when personal dignity and collective responsibility go hand in hand, embodying the African philosophy of relational existence: "I am because we are, and since we are, therefore I am." (MBITI 1969, 106)

Similarly, the Yoruba concepts of *ibàṣepo* (harmonious relationship) and *àṣoṣe* (cooperation) underline that knowledge, morality, and progress are collective achievements rather than individual accolades (SOYINKA 1999, BALOGUN 2007).



In all these traditions, complementarity does not diminish individuality; instead, it places the individual within a rich tapestry of shared responsibility. Therefore, bringing Daedalus's myth into conversation with African notions of complementarity reveals a profound ethical insight: human development is neither achieved through solitary genius nor blind conformity, but through the integration of creativity and community, freedom and interdependence. In contemporary African societies, which face challenges such as technological growth, corruption, youth migration (japa phenomenon), and social fragmentation, this synthesis becomes even more urgent. Complementarity therefore offers a pathway toward integral human development: one that values innovation while grounding it in communal responsibility and moral restraint.

It is worth noting that, in today's Nigeria, discussions about development often celebrate growth, innovation, and personal success, sometimes at the cost of community well-being, tradition, and ethical considerations. We encounter some striking contradictions: young engineers are busy creating apps for global audiences while local communities struggle to access clean water; political leaders proudly proclaim a "digital Nigeria" even as public trust in institutions continues to erode. In this environment, the myth of Daedalus takes on new significance: what happens when human creativity soars without moral boundaries? More importantly, what are the consequences if we overlook the societal framework of relationships and mutual support? In light of this, the logic of complementarity emerges as a vital path forward. It proposes that true human flourishing comes not from dominating nature or focusing solely on the individual, but from skillfully balancing freedom with responsibility, innovation with community, and tradition with progress. The issue this article tackles is the lack of a philosophical-ethical framework in Nigeria that unites these opposing forces through the lens of relationships. Without such a framework, progress risks becoming lopsided, dividing humanity into winners and losers. This leads us to the central research question: How can a complementarity-based logic, inspired by the myth of Daedalus and rooted in African relational thought, offer a foundation for holistic human development in Nigerian society?

This article examines the myth of Daedalus, presenting it as more than just an ancient fable; it is a significant commentary on human creativity, ambition, and the ethical limits that should guide them. It engages this insight with African philosophical ideas, particularly the principles of complementarity and relationality, which emphasize that various facets of life, such as individual and community, freedom and responsibility, work together harmoniously rather than in conflict.

Expanding on this synthesis, the article applies the logic of complementarity to today's challenges in Nigeria, including governance, technological innovation, youth migration, and the decline of communal values. It contends that genuine development goes beyond mere material gains; it involves a thoughtful integration of moral, social, and technological elements. Ultimately, it suggests practical approaches through ethical reflection, education, and policy-making to weave the spirit of complementarity into Nigeria's development discussions.

In doing these, the article draws on a hermeneutical-philosophical approach, interpreting myths and philosophical ideas through their symbolic and normative lenses. The myth of Daedalus is used as a reflective tool, not just a piece of literature, but as an ethical mirror that prompts us to



think. We look through the lens of African complementary philosophy, drawing on the insights of scholars like Innocent Asouzu and Ifeanyi Kanu, to better understand the Nigerian context. To ground our findings, we examine contemporary Nigerian discussions, like policy documents, technological initiatives, and social commentaries and place them within relational-ontological frameworks. The principle of complementarity is seen as a practical norm, rooted in the ideas of being-with-others, freedom-with-responsibility, and innovation-with-wisdom. In this way, the article finds its place at the crossroads of myth, African philosophy, and practical ethics, all within the Nigerian landscape.

Innocent I. Asouzu's concept of the logic of complementarity, which is part of his larger philosophy known as *ibuanyidanda*, provides a deep ontological and ethical answer to the fragmented ways we often view existence. At its heart is the idea that all beings are "missing links" in the fabric of reality. This means that no entity stands alone in complete independence or self-sufficiency; instead, each one finds its significance only through its relationships with others. Asouzu emphasizes that "anything that exists serves a missing link of reality within the framework of the whole" (ASOUZU 2007, 257). Thus, reality is like a web of connections, and trying to treat any part, be it an individual, an idea, or a system, as absolute leads to conflict, alienation, and a distorted understanding of existence (ASOUZU 2004, 45).

From these metaphysical bases springs what Asouzu calls the logic of complementarity. This approach stands in contrast to traditional Western thought, which often emphasizes oppositions like subject versus object or reason versus emotion. Instead, Asouzu's logic argues that these seeming opposites are not at odds but actually complement each other (ASOUZU 2011, 23). Complementary reflection encourages a both-and perspective rather than an either-or mindset. It does not erase distinctions; rather, it harmonizes them within a larger context. Asouzu notes that true thinking "seeks to integrate and mediate all missing links toward their mutual flourishing" (ASOUZU 2013, 88). In this view, logic becomes relational and inclusive, creating room for dialogue across cultures, disciplines, and perspectives, rather than serving as a means of exclusion or control.

This ontology and logic naturally lead to ethical considerations. For Asouzu, morality is rooted in the acknowledgment of our relational dependencies. He presents an ethical principle: "Allow the limitations of being to be the cause of your joy" (ASOUZU 2007, 257). Our human limitations and dependencies are not weaknesses; they highlight our need for one another. Consequently, the highest form of existence is found in mutual support and integration. He warns that "consequent self-interest is anti-self-interest" (ASOUZU 2011, 34), a position that conforms with SololmonLaleye's conception of "enlightened self-interest" (LALEYE 2018b, 183-197, LALEYE & EEGUNLUSI 2020, 13), which suggests that when we act in ways that harm others, we ultimately harm ourselves, since our existence is fundamentally about relationships. So, the goal of a moral life is not about achieving some isolated perfection; it is about living in harmony with others—the joy of being—which we can attain through complementarity, solidarity, and openness.



To sum it up, Asouzu's idea of complementarity reshapes our understanding of existence, reason, and morality by focusing on relationships. It pushes back against the notion of individualism and divisive thinking by highlighting that no person, idea, or society stands alone. Each one is a vital part of a larger whole, connected to others. Grounded in African philosophical insights, particularly the Igbo concept of *ibuanyidanda* (the collective strength of ants), it aims for a universal approach, promoting a philosophy that encourages dialogue, coexistence, and holistic growth.

However, the idea of complementarity does face some hurdles. One major question is how to put it into practice in today's world, which is rife with inequality, oppression, and systemic injustice. Complementarity relies on a willingness to connect and integrate, but oppressive systems might resist this kind of mutual relationship. Critics point out that while Asouzu's concepts of missing links and harmonious wholeness are intriguing, they can become too abstract if not linked to real-world political, technological, or institutional actions. Additionally, the quest for unity should not stifle genuine differences or silence dissent; otherwise, complementarity might inadvertently promote conformity instead of true relational harmony. Despite these critiques, Asouzu's philosophy continues to be a powerful framework—offering humanity a path to rediscover the joy of being through interdependence, inclusivity, and a sense of ethical responsibility toward the greater whole.

Daedalus's Myth: A Philosophical Interpretation

The ancient story of Daedalus and Icarus illustrates the timeless battle between human creativity and moral responsibility. In Greek mythology, Daedalus represents the inventive spirit that longs to push beyond natural boundaries, while Icarus symbolizes our tendency toward excess and pride. Their legendary flight captures the human desire for freedom, innovation, and transcendence. Yet, Icarus's tragic fall into the sea serves as a stark reminder of the dangers that arise when innovation is disconnected from caution and moral insight.

This myth encourages us to reflect on the logic of complementarity, a concept rooted in African philosophy that highlights the harmony found in opposites. In this regard, reality is not just about strict binaries like success or failure, or reason versus emotion; it is about the interdependence of relationships, where each side finds meaning through its counterpart. The wisdom of Daedalus is deeply intertwined with the folly of Icarus; together, they reveal the dual nature of human ambition, our ability to create, and our susceptibility to mistakes. The Labyrinth is a testament to Daedalus's brilliance; it also symbolizes the moral intricacies of human existence, which is a complex web of relationships that requires careful judgment. Likewise, the wings embody both the promise and the risks of technological advancement, reminding us that every act of creation demands ethical consideration. This myth imparts a lesson in balance, where innovation must go hand in hand with responsibility, and freedom must be tempered by moral boundaries. In the framework of complementarity, true wisdom does not lie in stifling human ambition but in weaving it into the broader moral fabric. This idea resonates with Laleye's ethical perspective, which asserts that genuine progress happens only when seemingly opposing forces like the material and the moral, the individual and the community collaborate toward holistic human



development. Icarus's downfall serves as a poignant example of the collapse of this complementarity because he separated ambition from responsibility, leading to his tragic fate.

At its core, the story of Daedalus and Icarus highlights that human flourishing relies on balance, which is a delicate dance between creativity and restraint, ambition and humility, as well as technology and ethics. This idea of balance serves as a philosophical lens through which we can understand the myth: it teaches us that true progress does not come from overpowering or overindulging, but rather from finding harmony in opposites to foster humane and responsible innovation.

Daedalus's Myth and the Logic of Complementarity: A Reflection on Africa's Quest for Integral Human Development

The myth of Daedalus and Icarus continues to speak profoundly to the contemporary African condition, especially when examined through the logic of complementarity. This ancient story of human creativity and moral limits dramatizes the tension between wisdom and excess, innovation and restraint, freedom and responsibility. Daedalus, the wise craftsman, embodies creativity guided by prudence, while Icarus, his ambitious son, represents humanity's restless desire to transcend boundaries without due reflection. When Icarus flies too close to the sun, the wax that binds his wings, a symbol of technological innovation, melts, leading to his tragic fall.

Through this symbolic narrative, one encounters a timeless lesson on the balance required between human ingenuity and moral responsibility. When placed in dialogue with Asouzu's philosophy, the myth becomes an allegory for Africa's ongoing struggle toward integral human development amid crises of governance, rapid technological change, youth migration, and social fragmentation. It has been emphasized that reality is relational; nothing exists in isolation. This logic of complementarity challenges the binary oppositions characteristic of Western metaphysics and affirms that genuine progress emerges from the mutual interdependence of seemingly opposed realities. Every being is a "missing link" in the web of existence, whose meaning is realized only in relation to others (ASOUZU 2007, 293).

Viewed through this lens, the tragedy of Icarus is not merely the result of human ambition but of failing to recognize his inseparable relation to Daedalus's wisdom and to the moral laws that sustain life. This principle of relationality offers a philosophical foundation for addressing Africa's contemporary challenges, where governance, innovation, and ethics often appear fragmented.

In the sphere of governance and leadership, the lesson of complementarity teaches that power and responsibility must coexist in harmony. Across many African nations, Nigeria included, governance often degenerates into what may be termed Icarian arrogance: the reckless pursuit of self-aggrandizement without the stabilizing force of accountability. Like Icarus, leaders intoxicated by elevation lose sight of the moral ground that gives legitimacy to their authority. Hence, it is instructive to think of leadership as a relational and cooperative enterprise between the ruler and the ruled, where authority is exercised in service rather than domination. In Yoruba political philosophy, the saying "Oba ki i je tiara re nikan"—a king does not rule for himself



alone- captures this ethic of communal stewardship. Daedalus's counsel to Icarus to neither fly too high nor too low thus becomes a moral metaphor for leadership that avoids the extremes of tyranny and negligence, governing instead within the horizon of the common good. In this sense, power devoid of responsibility is as self-destructive as wings without prudence.

The symbolism of the wings further extends into the present age of digital transformation. Daedalus's wings embody the creative spirit of humanity, and in contemporary Africa, they represent the continent's expanding engagement with technology, artificial intelligence, and digital communication. Yet, just as Icarus's flight became fatal when separated from wisdom, technological advancement risks becoming dehumanizing when detached from ethical reflection and communal well-being. The logic of complementarity insists that innovation must align with the holistic welfare of society.

African thought, particularly the principle of Ubuntu, reflects this same relational ontology. As (MBITI 1969, 108) famously puts it, "I am because we are, and since we are, therefore I am." This vision, as (RAMOSE 1999, 51) further clarifies, is not merely an ethical slogan but a metaphysical principle affirming that human existence is essentially co-relational. When technology serves only the goals of profit, surveillance, or control, it mirrors Icarus's reckless ascent; but when directed toward education, healthcare, and community empowerment, it reflects Daedalus's balanced creativity. Asouzu's notion of the "complementary horizon" (ASOUZU 2011, 62) therefore calls Africa to harmonize digital progress with indigenous wisdom and social ethics, ensuring that the instruments of progress do not become tools of alienation.

A similar moral tension is visible in the phenomenon of youth migration, popularly known in Nigeria as the "Japa Syndrome." The desire of young Africans to migrate in search of better opportunities reflects a legitimate yearning for freedom and self-actualization, an Icarian flight toward the skies of possibility. Yet, when such aspirations are pursued without a sense of communal responsibility, they risk degenerating into expressions of alienation rather than development. Asouzu's complementary ethics reminds us that individual aspiration finds its authentic meaning only in relation to the community.

The wings of education, skill, and ambition should not merely enable personal escape but should serve the upliftment of one's homeland. Just as Daedalus crafted wings for both himself and his son, integral human development demands intergenerational and communal solidarity, which (ASOUZU 2007, 307) describes as "joy through the joy of others." This complementary vision reframes migration not as abandonment but as a form of relational mobility, where those abroad contribute to local development through remittances, knowledge exchange, and civic engagement. The myth thus challenges African youths to fly, but to fly wisely, anchored in communal purpose.

The labyrinth that Daedalus designed for King Minos provides yet another powerful metaphor for Africa's moral and social landscape. The labyrinth represents the intricate moral complexity of life, where humans must navigate choices involving justice, family, and community. In the African context, communal ethics, family cohesion, and social justice serve as the guiding



threads that help individuals find their way through this moral maze. Yet, these threads have been weakened by corruption, moral laxity, and inequality.

The logic of complementarity reminds us that no individual or institution can flourish in isolation; justice in society depends upon the integrity of its members. The Yoruba concept of *omolúàbí* (a person of good character) captures this principle by linking personal virtue with communal well-being. *Omolúàbí* embodies an ethical framework in which morality is sustained through relational accountability and respect for human dignity. Like Daedalus guiding Icarus, the elders' wisdom and the youth's energy must complement each other if moral regeneration is to be achieved. Injustice anywhere disturbs the delicate balance of the social whole, just as one broken thread renders the entire labyrinth perilous.

In this light, the logic of complementarity directly advances the vision of integral human development, which calls for the growth of all dimensions of human existence: economic, social, political, cultural, and spiritual. This holistic vision is affirmed by the fact that no aspect of life can achieve its full meaning in isolation from others. In the African context, this means that good governance, technological innovation, and social justice are not separate domains but interdependent pillars of development.

Governance, therefore, must embody ethical leadership that prioritizes human dignity and the collective good over mere economic expansion. Likewise, technological advancement should not be pursued for its own sake but guided by the moral and cultural values of African communities, thereby serving education, healthcare, and environmental sustainability. In the same vein, youth migration policies, too, must reflect this integrative vision, creating local opportunities that enable young people to flourish at home while recognizing their legitimate aspirations for mobility and self-fulfillment. Above all, communal ethics and family life remain the moral soil from which every other dimension of development grows. The logic of complementarity thus provides a coherent philosophical framework in which power and responsibility, innovation and morality, individual aspiration and communal duty interact in harmony toward the flourishing of the whole person and society. Ultimately, the myth of Daedalus and Icarus illuminates the path toward integral human development in Africa. It reveals that genuine progress does not lie in the extremes of unrestrained ambition or passive dependence, but in the dynamic balance of opposites of power and accountability, innovation and morality, individual aspiration and communal duty, freedom and responsibility.

Daedalus's prudence and Icarus's daring are not adversaries but partners in human creativity, each incomplete without the other. Africa's developmental renewal demands precisely this synthesis: a rediscovery of the complementary horizon, where every human act, every innovation, and every policy is guided by the awareness that to be is to be-with-others. This ontology of relation, fused with the moral symbolism of Daedalus's myth, provides a profound philosophical foundation for reimagining governance, technology, migration, and ethics in a manner that promotes truly holistic and humane progress. Only by balancing our wings with wisdom can we, as Daedalus intended, rise not to self-destruction but to the actualization of beingness that defines integral human development.



Conclusion and Recommendations

This paper has shown that the myth of Daedalus and Icarus, when constructively interpreted through the logic of complementarity, offers a profound philosophical compass for Africa's pursuit of integral human development. The myth dramatizes the timeless tension between creativity and restraint, freedom and responsibility, thereby reminding humanity that innovation, when ungoverned by moral wisdom, leads inevitably to ruin. Within the African experience, where the challenges of governance, technology, and migration persist, the complementarity provides a framework for healing the disjunction between ethics and progress. It affirms that no sphere of existence, be it political, economic, moral, or spiritual, can achieve its true meaning in isolation from the others.

Daedalus's prudence and Icarus's daring represent complementary forces in the human quest for progress: wisdom guiding ambition, and morality moderating innovation. Leadership, therefore, must harmonize power with responsibility, while technology should remain a servant of human dignity and communal flourishing. Youth aspirations, too, must be rooted in the soil of social responsibility so that the wings of ambition do not melt under the heat of alienation. The African humanist traditions of Ubuntu, *ibásepọ*, and *igwebuike* affirm this same truth that to be human is to exist in relation with others.

In this light, Africa's developmental journey must be guided by ethical leadership that sees power as service, not privilege. Technological progress must embody compassion, ensuring that innovation enhances rather than erodes human connectedness. Migration, likewise, should be reimagined as a bridge of shared growth, where those abroad contribute to the renewal of their homelands through knowledge, resources, and civic engagement. Above all, education and cultural renewal must revive indigenous moral frameworks such as *omolúàbí* and Ubuntu, which teach that personal virtue and communal well-being are inseparable. Only by embracing this spirit of complementarity can Africa rise, like Daedalus intended, not to self-destruction, but to the fullness of being that defines authentic and integral human development. Balanced creativity, moral responsibility, and relational solidarity remain the true wings by which humanity can soar toward a future of dignity, justice, and shared flourishing.

References

- RICOEUR, P. [The Symbolism of Evil] 1969. Beacon Press: Boston:
- NUSSBAUM, M. [Creating Capabilities: The Human Development Approach]. 2011. Harvard University Press: Cambridge
- RUBIN, Charles T. "Daedalus and Icarus Revisited: Is Moral Progress Possible - and What Does it Mean?" [The New Atlantis] 73-91, Spring 2005. Number 8.
- KANU, Ikechukwu. Anthony. "Igwebuike as a trend in African philosophy" [IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities] 97-101. 2016a. 2(1),
- KANU, Ikechukwu. Anthony. Igwebuike as an Igbo-African Hermeneutic of Globalisation [IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities, 1-7. 2016b 2 (1),
- OVIDIUS, Publius Naso [Metamorphoses (a mythological epic)] 2004. Trans. Charles Martin. W.W. Norton & Company: New York



- NUSSBAUM, Martha. C. [The Therapy of Desire: Theory and Practice in Hellenistic Ethics]. 1994. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ Hardcover.
- SANI, N. [On the Statues of Daedalus]. 2018. Edinburgh University Press: Edinburgh. Paperback.
- GBADEGESIN, Segun. [African Philosophy: Traditional Yoruba Philosophy and Contemporary African Realities: 199. Gateway: Chicago.
- BEWAJI, J. A. I. "Ethics and Morality in Yoruba Culture." [A Companion to African Philosophy] Wiredu, Kwasi Ed. 2004. 396-406. Wiley Blackwell: Carlton.
- LALEYE, Solomon A. "The Imperative of the Ethics of Complementarity in the Quest for Africa's Development" [Journal of Sustainable Development], 251–260. 2018. Vol 11 (3)
- _____. "The Ethics of Complementarity and the Third Face of the Coin: An Indispensable Route to Integral Human Development" [41st Inaugural Lecture of Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko. Ondo State, June 3, 2025.
- RAMOSE, Mogobe B. [African Philosophy Through Ubuntu.] 1999. Mond Books: Harare
- RAMOSE, Mogobe B. "The Philosophy of Ubuntu and Ubuntu as a Philosophy." [Philosophy from Africa: A Text with Readings] Coetzee, P. H. & Roux, A. P. J. Eds., 230-238, 2002. Oxford University Press: Cape Town.
- _____. [African Philosophy Through Ubuntu.] 1999. Mond Books: Harare
- MENKITI, Ifeanyi A. "Person and Community in African Traditional Thought." [African Philosophy: An Introduction] R. A. Wright Ed., 171-186, 1984. University Press of America: Lanham, Maryland. Paperback
- RAMOSE, Mogobe B. "The Philosophy of Ubuntu and Ubuntu as a Philosophy." [Philosophy from Africa: A Text with Readings] Coetzee, P. H. & Roux, A. P. J. Eds., 230-238, 2002. Oxford University Press: Cape Town.
- _____. "The Philosophy of Ubuntu and Ubuntu as a Philosophy." [Philosophy from Africa: A Text with Readings] Coetzee, P. H. & Roux, A. P. J. Eds., 230-238, 2002. Oxford University Press: Cape Town.
- _____. [African Philosophy Through Ubuntu.] 1999. Mond Books: Harare
- MBITI, John S. [African Religions and Philosophy] 1969. Heinemann Educational Books: London.
- SOYINKA, Wole. [The Burden of Memory, the Muse of Forgiveness] 1999. Oxford University Press: New York.
- BALOGUN, Oladele Abiodun. "The Concepts of Ori and Human Destiny in Traditional Yoruba Thought: A Soft-Deterministic Interpretation." [Nordic Journal of African Studies] 116–130. 2007. Vol 16, no. 1
- ASOUZU, Innocent I. [Ibuanidanda: New Complementary Ontology Beyond World-Immanentism, Ethnocentric Reduction and Impositions] 2007. Lit Verlag: Zürich
- _____. [The Method and Principles of Complementary Reflection in and beyond African Philosophy]. 2004. University of Calabar Press: Calabar.



-
- _____. "Ibuanyidanda (Complementary Reflection) Communalism and Theory Formulation in African Philosophy." 2011 [Thought and Practice: A Journal of the Philosophical Association of Kenya], 3(2), 9-34.
-
- _____. [Ibuanyidanda (Complementary Reflection) and Some Basic Philosophical Problems in Africa Today]. 2013. University of Calabar Press: Calabar
-
- _____. [Ibuanyidanda: New Complementary Ontology Beyond World-Immanentism, Ethnocentric Reduction and Impositions] 2007. Lit Verlag: Zürich
-
- _____. "Ibuanyidanda (Complementary Reflection) Communalism and Theory Formulation in African Philosophy." 2011 [Thought and Practice: A Journal of the Philosophical Association of Kenya], 3(2), 9-34
- LALEYE, S.A. "Cultural Violence and Gender Injustice in Africa: The Necessity for Enlightened Self Interest" Fuat Gursozlu (Ed. [*Peace, Culture, and Violence*]. Brill Rodopi,: Leiden: 183-197. 2018
- LALEYE & EEGUNLUSI "Ethics of Civility as a Panacea to Niger Delta Conflicts in Nigeria" 2020 [OWIJOPPA: Oracle of Wisdom Journal of Philosophy and Public Affairs] 4 (5):1-20
- ASOUZU, Innocent I. [Ibuanyidanda: New Complementary Ontology Beyond World-Immanentism, Ethnocentric Reduction and Impositions] 2007. Lit Verlag: Zürich
- MBITI, John S. [African Religions and Philosophy] 1969. Heinemann Educational Books: London.
- RAMOSE, Mogobe B. [African Philosophy Through Ubuntu.] 1999. Mond Books: Harare
- ASOUZU, Innocent I. "Ibuanyidanda (Complementary Reflection) Communalism and Theory Formulation in African Philosophy." 2011 [Thought and Practice: A Journal of the Philosophical Association of Kenya], 3(2), 9-34
-
- _____. [Ibuanyidanda: New Complementary Ontology Beyond World-Immanentism, Ethnocentric Reduction and Impositions] 2007. Lit Verlag: Zürich