



Religious Identity in Northern Nigeria: A Sociological Analysis

Friday Abu Ogbole, Ph.D

Department of Christian and Value Development Studies

Gombe State University

brotherfoabu@gmail.com

08036382200

Rev. Terwase Akuya, Ph.D

Department of Christian and Value Development Studies, Gombe State University

Justus Isaac, Ph.D

University of Jos.

Directorate of basic and advanced studies, Interim Joint Matriculation Board Unit

Ethics and Philosophy

08069217950

Abstract

This study presents a sociological analysis of religious identity in Northern Nigeria, focusing specifically on its intense politicization within the region's post-colonial democratic landscape. While Islam and Christianity have historically served as foundational frameworks for communal solidarity, contemporary socio-political dynamics have increasingly transformed these faith identities into instruments for political mobilization, resource competition, and state capture. Drawing on structural-functionalism and conflict theory, this paper examines how regional political elites strategically deploy religious rhetoric to construct exclusionary "us versus them" narratives, effectively converting faith into a highly polarized political currency. Through a qualitative analysis of electoral discourse, state patronage networks, and ethno-religious flashpoints in diverse northern urban centres, the study demonstrates that the politicization of religion is rarely driven by theological disputes. Instead, it is systematically engineered by structural anxieties, including chronic economic underdevelopment, high youth unemployment, and a pervasive institutional failure to guarantee equitable resource distribution. By examining how structural inequities exacerbate religious cleavages, this paper argues that the weaponization of identity serves to obscure deep-seated class divides and governance deficits. Ultimately, this study contributes to the sociology of religion by providing a nuanced framework for understanding how identity is instrumentalized in fractionalized societies, offering vital insights for policy interventions aimed at de-escalating identity-driven conflicts and fostering inclusive citizenship in Nigeria.

Keywords: Religious identity, Northern Nigeria, Islam, Christianity, ethnicity, social cohesion, conflict, sociology of religion.



Introduction

In pluralistic post-colonial states, the meeting point of sacred faith and secular power frequently transforms cultural differences into rigid political frontiers. Nowhere is this sociological phenomenon more visible or consequential than in contemporary Northern Nigeria. Home to a deeply religious population primarily divided between Islam and Christianity, the region has transitioned from a historical landscape of fluid communal interactions to a highly polarized theater of ethno-religious contestation (Sakupapa, 2022). While structural functionalists historically viewed religion as a mechanism for institutional stability and social cohesion, the trajectory of Northern Nigeria's Fourth Democratic Republic reveals an opposite trend: the systematic conversion of faith-based identities into primary instruments for political bargaining, resource allocation, and state capture (Anene, 2021). This paper investigates the sociological mechanisms driving this transformation, examining how religious identity in Northern Nigeria is intentionally constructed, reinforced, and weaponized within the public sphere.

The roots of this religious polarization are historically layered, anchored firmly in the administrative architecture of British colonial rule. Through the policy of Indirect Rule, colonial authorities ossified localized identities by embedding the Islamic emirate system into the formal state apparatus while restricting Christian missionary activity to specific non-Muslim enclaves (Akande, 2022; Anene, 2021). This structural segregation laid a foundational blueprint for instrumentalism—the strategic manipulation of cultural identities by rational actors to achieve material and political gains (Onakuse & Jatula, 2021). Following the 1999 return to civilian rule, regional political elites facing a highly volatile electoral market have increasingly relied on these historical fault lines, exploiting religious sentiment to build rapid, emotionally charged consensus among an anxious electorate (Olunlade & Kii, 2025).

However, a strictly political interpretation fails to account for why ordinary citizens remain receptive to identity mobilization. A comprehensive sociological analysis must evaluate the structural conditions driving this phenomenon. Chronic economic underdevelopment, high youth unemployment, and acute resource scarcity have rendered the state structurally weak and unable to guarantee basic human security (Akah & Ajah, 2020). Consequently, religious institutions have stepped into the vacuum, acting as surrogate networks for mutual aid and psychological refuge.

When political actors clothe material grievances such as disputes over civil service appointments, land, or local governance in the language of spiritual survival, they effectively shift the public focus from governance deficits to existential threats (Anene, 2021; Nwobodo, 2023). As a result, structural class divides are obscured, and ordinary inter-communal disagreements are elevated to cosmic struggles for regional dominance (Olunlade & Kii, 2025).

While extensive literature exists on ethno-religious conflicts across northern urban centers like Kaduna, Kano, and Jos, existing studies frequently treat religion either as a primordial source of conflict or as a simple smokescreen for personal greed (Sakupapa, 2022). This study fills a critical gap in the literature by providing a ground-level sociological reading of how the daily lived experience of religious identity becomes structural political currency. Moving beyond purely descriptive accounts of electoral violence, this paper addresses a fundamental question: What are



the specific institutional and economic frameworks that allow religious identity to override cross-cutting civic ties in Northern Nigeria?

To address this question, this paper is organized into four subsequent sections. First, it establishes a theoretical framework integrating instrumentalist and conflict theories to unpack identity construction in fractured societies. Second, it traces the historical trajectory of colonial and post-colonial structural shifts that institutionalized the region's religious divide. Third, the paper analyzes the specific sociological mechanisms through which structural anxieties are translated into religious polarization. Finally, it outlines institutional pathways and alternative frameworks for reimagining inclusive citizenship and breaking the cycle of identity weaponization.

Theoretical Framework

To comprehensively unpack the processes of identity construction and its subsequent weaponization in fractured post-colonial societies, this study constructs an integrated analytical lens combining Instrumentalist Theory and Structural Conflict Theory. Historically, scholarly attempts to explain identity-driven friction have often suffered from a reductionist binary. On one hand, primordialist perspectives erroneously treat ethnic and religious identities as static, biological, or ancient givens that inevitably trigger clash (Huntington, 1996). On the other hand, purely materialist or Marxist approaches frequently dismiss religious sentiment as a mere epiphenomenon or "false consciousness," ignoring its profound, non-material psychological reality for individual agents. By synthesizing instrumentalism and conflict theory, this paper bypasses these limitations. This integrated framework demonstrates that while religious identities are fluid and strategically manipulated from the top down by political entrepreneurs (Instrumentalism), their capacity to mobilize populations depends entirely on deep-seated, bottom-up structural anxieties and struggles over scarce material resources (Conflict Theory).

Instrumentalist Theory

Instrumentalist theory posits that ethnic and religious groups are not natural groupings, but rather fluid, dynamic constructs engineered by rational actors to achieve specific political, economic, or territorial utilities (Onakuse & Jatula, 2021). As Young (1993) observes, cultural identities are highly malleable social categories that adapt to changing socio-political landscapes. Within this paradigm, religion serves as a highly efficient form of "social currency." Political elites deliberately activate faith-based cleavages because sacred symbols carry an unassailable emotional weight that secular political platforms cannot match.

In pluralistic contexts, elite political survival depends on the capacity to consolidate rapid, reliable voting blocs. Rather than building consensus around complex, accountable public policy, political actors engage in identity entrepreneurship. They cultivate an exclusionary "us versus them" dichotomy, positioning themselves as the sole defenders of the collective faith against an imagined, existential threat from the religious "Other" (Anene, 2021). Consequently, religious identity is constructed and continuously reinforced not through theological reflection, but through continuous contrast against competitive groups within the public sphere.

Structural Conflict Theory



While instrumentalism effectively explains the supply side of identity weaponization, it fails to fully account for the demand side; namely, why ordinary citizens respond so viscerally to elite-driven narratives. To resolve this, Structural Conflict Theory provides the necessary materialist anchor. Rooted in the critical traditions of sociology, conflict theory argues that society is defined by a perpetual struggle among groups over scarce, zero-sum resources: capital, arable land, employment, and institutional patronage (Solomon, 2022).

In fragile or post-colonial states, chronic economic underdevelopment and institutional decay mean the secular state is structurally incapable of delivering basic welfare, security, or equitable resource distribution (Akah & Ajah, 2020). When the formal state apparatus abdicates its social contract, a vacuum emerges. Under these conditions of acute existential anxiety, religious organizations expand their roles, functioning as surrogate states that provide parallel social safety nets, mutual aid networks, and psychological refuge (Fahm, 2020).

Consequently, individual security becomes bound up with the collective strength of one's religious group. The socio-economic arena is thus transformed into a zero-sum theatre: any resource gained by an opposing religious community is perceived as a direct threat to one's own material and physical survival. The intersection of these two theories reveals a symbiotic, cyclical loop. Elites do not fabricate religious animosities in a vacuum; rather, they exploit pre-existing structural misallocations and material anxieties. The instrumentalist weaponization of religion succeeds precisely because it maps onto real, felt experiences of structural deprivation. When political actors clothe material grievances—such as disputes over communal land rights, civil service quotas, or municipal zoning—in the cosmic language of spiritual survival, they obscure cross-cutting class divides. Ordinary citizens are led to interpret their structural marginalization not as a consequence of systemic governance failure, but as a direct result of aggression by the rival religious group (Olunlade & Kii, 2025). Thus, identity construction in fractured societies is fundamentally a product of this political economy, where secular competition is systematically re-coded into religious warfare.

Analytical Application to Selected Northern Nigerian States

The volatile landscapes of the Middle Belt and Northern regions of Nigeria most notably Kaduna and Plateau States—serve as clear empirical manifestations of this integrated theoretical framework. Positioned along the fragile geopolitical fault line separating Nigeria's predominantly Muslim North and Christian South, these states demonstrate how structural resource conflicts are converted into rigid religious cleavages through elite manipulation.

Kaduna State's historical trajectory of civil unrest spanning from the 1992 Zango-Kataf crises to the 2002 Miss World riots and contemporary rural insurgencies reflects the intersection of structural conflict and instrumentalist calculations (Solomon, 2022).

The structural friction in Kaduna is rooted in an uneven distribution of political and economic power. The state is divided between a historically dominant Hausa-Fulani Muslim population clustered in the northern zones and a diverse constellation of smaller, predominantly Christian ethnic groups in Southern Kaduna (DUNG, 2025). The latter have historically experienced



systematic marginalization regarding infrastructural investments, educational quotas, and access to key state patronage networks, breeding a deep-seated institutional resentment (Solomon, 2022). Rather than addressing these structural disparities through equitable regional planning, political entrepreneurs on both sides find it more expedient to weaponize the divide during electoral cycles.

Christian elites in Southern Kaduna often frame local political struggles as defensive actions against northern Islamic hegemony, while Muslim political actors utilize regional solidarity rhetoric to maintain electoral dominance and protect their access to state machinery (Anene, 2021). This dynamic has completely re-mapped the physical and social geography of Kaduna city.

Here, identity construction has materialized into physical space; religious identity is no longer merely an interior belief system, but an administrative and territorial boundary that dictates physical mobility and survival.

Plateau State, particularly its capital of Jos and surrounding local government areas, offers another clear case study of how localized material competition is transformed into existential religious conflict. Once celebrated for its communal harmony, the region devolved into an epicenter of ethno-religious violence following the historic 2001 Jos riots (DUNG, 2025). At its core, the conflict on the Plateau is an agrarian and economic struggle over highly fertile land, urban market dominance, and localized administrative control (DUNG, 2025). The structural fault line is institutionalized via the constitutional ambiguity surrounding "indigeneity." Local Christian ethnic groups (such as the Berom, Anaguta, and Afizere) claim exclusive "indigene" status, which legally privileges them regarding land ownership, political representation, and state civil service employment. Conversely, the predominantly Muslim Hausa-Fulani population, often labeled as "settlers" despite generations of residency, dominates commercial municipal markets but faces institutional exclusion from formal political power (DUNG, 2025).

Political elites systematically exploit this indigene-settler dichotomy to insulate themselves from accountability. Christian political actors routinely frame the retention of indigene privileges as a sacred duty to prevent an "Islamic takeover" of the Middle Belt, effectively masking their own mismanagement of state resources. On the other side, Muslim political entrepreneurs frame commercial and land disputes as issues of religious persecution and human rights violations, mobilizing external support networks and solidifying an absolute identity voting block (DUNG, 2025). Through this continuous elite framing, daily material anxieties over localized grazing routes, market stall allocations, and municipal boundaries are systematically re-coded by residents as defensive holy wars. Cross-cutting civic associations, trade unions, and multi-ethnic markets have fractured along religious lines. The integrated framework reveals that the on-going violence on the Plateau is not an inevitable outcome of theological incompatibility, but rather a structurally engineered reality where the material struggle for resources is fought through the weaponized construction of sacred identities.

Historical Trajectory and the Institutionalization of the Religious Divide

The contemporary politicization of religion in Northern Nigeria is neither a sudden modern breakdown nor an inevitable manifestation of ancient theological animosities. Rather, it is the product of specific, deliberate structural configurations engineered during the colonial era and



ossified through post-colonial governance. Tracing this historical trajectory reveals how fluid ethno-religious boundaries were systematically institutionalized into rigid political frontiers. Prior to British conquest at the turn of the twentieth century, the region comprised diverse socio-political structures. This included the centralized Islamic administrative framework of the Sokoto Caliphate across the Hausa emirates, alongside a vast array of decentralized, non-Muslim ethnic groups across the Middle Belt and southern territories of the upper Niger (Falola, 1998). Following the declaration of the Northern Nigeria Protectorate, High Commissioner Lord Frederick Lugard introduced the administrative policy of Indirect Rule. This decision was driven primarily by logistical and financial constraints, as the British lacked the manpower and capital to govern the vast territory directly (Falola, 1998; Ubah, 2008).

To secure administrative stability, the British co-opted the existing autocratic infrastructure of the Islamic emirates. Colonial authorities guaranteed the Emirs that their religious, legal, and traditional institutions would remain unmolested (Reynolds, 2001). This administrative pact had profound, unintended sociological consequences. Under the Native Authority Ordinance, the British expanded the jurisdiction of Muslim emirs over decentralized, non-Muslim animist and Christian ethnic minorities—particularly in provinces like Kaduna and Plateau. These groups were suddenly forced into a judicial and tax system anchored in Islamic jurisprudence, laying the groundwork for generational structural resentments (Akanke, 2022). To protect the Emirs from subversion, Lugard strictly banned Christian missionary societies from establishing stations or Western-style schools within the Muslim emirate cores (Falola, 1998). Consequently, Christian missions concentrated their educational and evangelical efforts in the non-Muslim pockets of the Middle Belt and Southern Nigeria.

Thus, this policy created a profound structural imbalance: Southern Kaduna and Plateau minorities' embraced Western education, producing an educated class eligible for civil service jobs, while the Muslim aristocracy retained control over traditional and local political administrative power. Religious identity became directly tethered to unevenly distributed forms of social and structural capital.

Regionalization and the Fear of Domination

As Nigeria transitioned toward independence in the 1940s and 1950s, the colonial administration introduced federalist constitutions (such as the Richards and Macpherson Constitutions) that divided the country into three massive geo-political blocks: the North, West, and East. This structural grouping effectively forced the entire multi-ethnic and multi-religious upper half of the country into a single administrative unit dominated by the conservative Northern People's Congress (NPC), led by Sir Ahmadu Bello (Anthony, 2002).

Facing impending democratic elections based on population numbers, Northern political elites recognized that preserving their regional power required a unified northern block. Ahmadu Bello embarked on a massive "One North" Islamization and cultural unification campaign, actively deploying state patronage and traditional religious networks to absorb diverse groups (Cooper, 2003).



This top-down consolidation triggered intense anxiety among non-Muslim minorities in the Middle Belt. Fearing systemic marginalization under an Islamic regional government, Christian and animist groups formed political movements like the United Middle Belt Congress (UMBC). This early political competition firmly locked regional politics into a zero-sum, ethno-religious dynamic. Following independence in 1960, successive military coups and a brutal civil war shattered the early democratic structure, leading to a highly centralized federal system. Paradoxically, the military's attempts to defuse ethnic block voting by splitting the country into smaller states (under Yakubu Gowon and subsequent regimes) actually decentralized the ethno-religious competition, shifting it directly into local municipal arenas like Kaduna and Jos (DUNG, 2025).

During this post-colonial era, two key structural shifts permanently institutionalized the religious divide: The Constitutional Sharia Debates: During the drafting of the 1979 and 1988 constitutions, elite political discourse became deeply polarized over the jurisdiction of the Federal Sharia Court of Appeals. Northern Muslim elites viewed the constitutional institutionalization of Sharia as a non-negotiable right to self-determination and cultural preservation, while Christian elites viewed it as an unconstitutional expansion of Islam into a secular state (Anene, 2021). These high-level legal debates turned religious identity into a primary bargaining chip for national resources.

The Institutionalization of the "Indigene" Clause: To ensure equitable representation across diverse groups, the post-colonial state institutionalized the "Federal Character Principle" alongside strict bureaucratic categories distinguishing "Indigenes" (those with ancestral claims to a locality) from "Settlers" (migrants). In states like Plateau and Kaduna, this legal distinction perfectly tracked religious lines. Because state jobs, land ownership rights, and university quotas were strictly reserved for "indigenes," local political elites quickly realized they could use religious solidarity to defend or challenge these lucrative legal definitions (DUNG, 2025).

When Nigeria finally returned to civilian rule in 1999, it did not inherit a clean democratic slate. Instead, it inherited a deeply fractured landscape where decades of colonial segregation, elite-driven regionalism, and post-colonial institutional policies had firmly established religious identity as the primary currency for material survival and political power.

Translating Structural Anxieties into Religious Polarization

To understand how macroeconomic instabilities and deep structural anxieties transform into active religious polarization, sociology must look past surface-level expressions of theological conflict. It is necessary to identify the intermediate, ground-level mechanisms that link structural conditions with human behavior. In Northern Nigeria, this conversion relies on three primary sociological mechanisms: the securitization of welfare, spatial hyper-segregation, and the cross-cutting alignment of parallel identities.

The Commercialization of Faith in Times of Need

The first mechanism operates through the political economy of survival in an environment of scarcity. According to Structural Conflict Theory, when a state fails to provide basic human security, social welfare, or economic opportunities, citizens look elsewhere for protection (Solomon, 2025). In Northern Nigeria where poverty rates in some northern states exceed 80%



alongside high youth unemployment the formal state has largely decoupled from the daily survival of its citizens (Mercy Corps, 2021). In response to this institutional vacuum, religious networks (such as Islamic waqf foundations, the Almajiri educational structures, and Christian church-based mutual aid groups) have stepped in. They operate as surrogate provider networks, offering health clinics, micro-credit, food security, and physical safety (Fahm, 2020).

Consequently, access to scarce material resources becomes bound up with active membership in a religious community. Sociologically, this changes how individuals view social safety. Because survival depends on the strength and patronage of one's faith network, any gain made by a rival religious group regarding political appointments, civil service hiring, or state infrastructure funding is viewed as a direct threat to the survival of one's own community (Anene, 2021). Political elites exploit this dependency, using state patronage to favor co-religionists, which establishes a zero-sum competition over public resources (Olunlade & Kii, 2025).

Residential Segregation and the Loss of Social Contact

The second mechanism is the physical and social segregation of urban and rural spaces, which cuts off regular intergroup communication. Sociological contact theory argues that meaningful, status-equal contact between different groups reduces prejudice and builds cross-cutting civic ties (Allport, 1954; Ishaq & Shousha, 2021). However, decades of intermittent, identity-driven violence in northern urban centers have created a pattern of defensive residential segregation. In cities like Kaduna and Jos, residential maps are deeply fractured along religious lines (DUNG, 2025; Salawu, 2010). In Kaduna, for example, the metropolis is split along the Kaduna River: Muslims live predominantly in northern neighborhoods like Rigasa and Tudun Wada, while Christians live in southern enclaves like Sabon Tasha and Barnawa.

This physical separation hardens social boundaries. When neighborhoods, schools, markets, and transportation networks segregate, the everyday cross-cutting interactions that normally soften social conflict are erased (Ishaq & Shousha, 2021). As a result, socialization happens entirely within the in-group. This insulation allows negative stereotypes, fear of the unknown, and political disinformation about the out-group to spread unchecked, transforming ordinary social distance into rigid polarization (Mercy Corps, 2021).

The Convergence of Livelihood and Religious Clashes

The third mechanism is the reinforcing alignment of parallel social identities, where multiple distinct social positions melt into a single rigid division. In sociologically stable societies, divisions usually cross-cut: a citizen might share a religion with one neighbor but share an occupation, social class, or language with another. This cross-cutting alignment prevents society from splitting into two warring camps.

In Northern Nigeria, however, social divisions increasingly line up directly on top of each other, creating a dangerous system of cumulative cleavages (Mercy Corps, 2021). As detailed in contemporary field studies, this alignment ties together:

- i. Livelihood Activities: Agrarian farming vs. nomadic pastoralism.
- ii. Legal Status: Constitutional Indigene vs. Settler dynamics.
- iii. Faith Traditions: Christianity vs. Islam.



When these parallel identities line up, any simple local dispute is easily elevated into a larger conflict. For example, a localized dispute between an agrarian farmer and a nomadic herder over crop destruction or a grazing route on the Plateau is rarely interpreted as a simple environmental or legal issue (DUNG, 2025). Because the farmer is typically an indigenous Christian and the herder is typically a Muslim, the material conflict is instantly re-coded by identity entrepreneurs as a holy war over religious dominance (Mercy Corps, 2021). By turning material, economic anxieties into existential struggles for religious survival, local actors can quickly mobilize communal solidarity, making the underlying conflict incredibly difficult to resolve (Adewale & Temitope, 2024; Olunlade & Kii, 2025).

To systematically break the cycle of identity weaponization and transition away from zero-sum ethno-religious competition, Nigeria requires structured structural interventions. The following section outlines the key institutional pathways and alternative frameworks necessary to build a model of inclusive citizenship.

Institutional Frameworks for Inclusive Citizenship

Reimagining inclusive citizenship in Northern Nigeria requires moving beyond superficial interfaith declarations or temporary security deployments. It demands a systematic restructuring of the legal, spatial, and economic institutions that currently incentivize identity polarization. By addressing the structural vulnerabilities exploited by identity entrepreneurs, the state can transition from an exclusionary, identity-driven governance model to an inclusive, rights-based system. Dung clearly stated that, the primary legal pathway to breaking the cycle of polarization involves resolving the institutional contradiction between constitutional "indigeneity" and national citizenship (DUNG, 2025). Again Section 26 of the 1999 Constitution protects the right to freedom of movement and non-discrimination for all Nigerians. However, the operationalization of the "Federal Character Principle" at the state and local levels allows local authorities to restrict access to civil service jobs, land ownership, bursaries, and political representation exclusively to certified "indigenes" (Akande, 2022).

The state must adopt a strict Residency-Based Citizenship Framework via targeted constitutional amendments. This framework legally guarantees that any Nigerian citizen who resides in a local government area for a specified continuous period (e.g., five years) automatically gains full political and economic rights in that locality, regardless of ancestral origin or religious affiliation. Removing material benefits from bloodline descent dismantles the legal division between "Indigenes" and "Settlers" that political actors exploit in states like Plateau and Kaduna (DUNG, 2025). This institutional shift changes identity politics from a zero-sum struggle over ancestral turf into a civic contest over local development.

Structural Secularization of the State and Universal Welfare

To counter the "securitization of welfare" where citizens rely exclusively on faith networks for basic survival, the state must re-assert its social contract through universal, identity-blind institutional mechanisms. Federal and state governments should implement Universal Civic Welfare Systems, specifically targeting the high-density urban periphery and climate-vulnerable rural zones of the North. This includes direct cash transfers, universal primary healthcare, and



revitalized state vocational networks designed independently of traditional or religious authorities. According to Structural Conflict Theory, when the state directly guarantees basic human security and economic buffers against shocks, such as youth unemployment, or agrarian environmental crises, individual dependency on exclusionary religious safety nets drops significantly (Akah & Ajah, 2020). By lowering mass existential anxiety, the state reduces the bottom-up demand for identity-based mobilization, insulating the electorate from elite manipulation (Olunlade & Kii, 2025).

Desegregation of Spatial and Economic Infrastructure

Because physical separation hardens communal stereotypes, institutional pathways must focus on urban planning and economic design that restore cross-cutting contact between divided populations. State ministries of urban planning must intentionally design Inter-Communal Cross-Cutting Infrastructure. Rather than establishing separate micro-markets and highly segregated transit lines within religious enclaves (such as the northern/southern divides in Kaduna City), municipal investments should build integrated "Shared-Interest Zones" (Mercy Corps, 2021). These include integrated municipal markets, shared agricultural processing hubs, and regional sports and youth infrastructure strategically built on neutral residential borders.

Sociological contact theory proves that when individuals interact as economic equals inside shared spaces, they form cross-cutting relationships that naturally weaken polarization (Ishaq & Shousha, 2021). Joint trade associations and cooperative unions give members a shared economic interest in preserving peace. If a local dispute flares up, these integrated networks act as structural circuit breakers, preventing a localized incident from escalating into wider religious conflict.

Decentralized Early-Warning Frameworks and Community-Led Policing

Top-down military containment often treats the symptoms of identity violence without addressing local communal tensions. Nigeria must transition to local, early-warning civilian networks. State governments should codify Community-Led Co-Policing and Early-Warning Frameworks that include equal representation from Christian, Muslim, indigene, and migrant groups. These community peace panels operate with legal authority to arbitrate localized land, crop, or neighborhood disputes before they are captured by political actors.

These institutionalized panels act as local shock absorbers. By resolving structural friction points locally and transparently, they deny identity entrepreneurs the raw material needed to re-frame common civil disputes as existential religious battles (Mercy Corps, 2021; Sakupapa, 2022).

Conclusion

The sociological analysis of religious identity in Northern Nigeria reveals that faith is not merely a system of personal belief, but a primary structural and mobilizing force that shapes social, economic, and political life. Far from being static, religious identities in the region are dynamic constructs, continuously renegotiated at the intersection of historical legacies, ethnic pluralism, and contemporary socio-economic pressures.



Throughout this study, it is evident that the polarization often witnessed in Northern Nigeria is rarely born of theological incompatibility alone; rather, it is exacerbated by institutional frameworks that treat religious affiliation as a primary currency for political access and resource distribution. When public institutions fail to provide robust, neutral pathways for civic inclusion, religious communities naturally step in to fill the vacuum, acting as vital networks for social welfare, economic solidarity, and local governance. However, this reliance on sectarian structures inadvertently reinforces the "us versus them" dichotomy, complicating the realization of a cohesive, pluralistic citizenship.

Ultimately, addressing the fractures within Northern Nigeria's social fabric requires a conscious shift in how citizenship and belonging are institutionalized. Sustainable peace and national cohesion do not demand the erasure of religious identity, which remains a profound source of community and resilience. Instead, they require the delinking of civic rights and state resources from religious and ethnic affiliations. By rebuilding state capacity and fostering alternative, inclusive institutional frameworks, Northern Nigeria can transition from a landscape of volatile identity politics to a resilient pluralistic society one where religious identity coexists with, rather than competes against, a shared and equitable civic bond.

References

- Akah, J. N., & Ajah, A. C. (2020). The changing impact of religiosity on agentic political engagement in Nigeria. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 6(1), Article 1722053. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2020.1722053>
- Akande, R. (2022). The construction of minorities: Self-determination and the legal politics of religious difference in late Northern Nigeria, circa 1949–1960. *Osgoode Hall Law School Legal Studies Research Paper Series*, 1–34.
- Anene, C. P. (2021). Religious identity as instrument of political bargaining in post-colonial Nigeria. *Igwebuike: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 6(7), 108–124.
- Nwobodo, O. P. (2023). Politicization of religion as a cause of crisis in Nigeria. *Igwebuike: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 9(4), 56–68.
- Olunlade, T. B., & Kii, P. B. (2025). Religious polarization and its impact on national unity and political governance in Nigeria: A socio-political analysis. *Jalingo Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Research and Innovations*, 1(1), 45–58.
- Onakuse, S., & Jatula, V. (2021). Radical Islam and insurgency in Northern Nigeria: Tensions and challenges. *Religions*, 12(10), Article 888. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12100888>
- Sakupapa, T. C. (2022). Sacred contradictions: Religion, conflict and peacebuilding in Northern Nigeria. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 78(1), 1–10.



- Akah, J. N., & Ajah, A. C. (2020). The changing impact of religiosity on agentic political engagement in Nigeria. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 6(1), Article 1722053.
- Dung, G. G. (2025). Ethno-religious identity, resource control and peacebuilding particularly in Plateau Northern and Kaduna Southern Senatorial Districts. *African Journal of Social Sciences*, 47, 112–130.
- Fahm, A. O. (2020). Remaking society from within: An investigation into contemporary Islamic activism in Nigeria. *Heliyon*, 6(7), e04540.
- Huntington, S. P. (1996). *The clash of civilizations and the remaking of world order*. Simon & Schuster.
- Olunlade, T. B., & Kii, P. B. (2025). Religious polarization and its impact on national unity and political governance in Nigeria: A socio-political analysis. *Jalingo Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Research and Innovations*, 1(1), 45–58.
- Onakuse, S., & Jatula, V. (2021). Radical Islam and insurgency in Northern Nigeria: Tensions and challenges. *Religions*, 12(10), Article 888.
- Solomon, K. (2022). The implication of religious conflicts on the development of Kaduna State, 1992–2014. *Benue Journal of Peer-Reviewed Studies*, 1(1), 190–205.
- Akande, R. (2022). The construction of minorities: Self-determination and the legal politics of religious difference in late Northern Nigeria, circa 1949–1960. *Osgoode Hall Law School Legal Studies Research Paper Series*, 1–34.
- Anthony, D. (2002). *Poison and medicine: Ethnicity, power, and violence in a Nigerian city, 1966–1985*. Heinemann.
- Cooper, B. M. (2003). The politics of religion and the religion of politics: Islam and politics in Northern Nigeria. *African Studies Review*, 46(2), 171–173.
- Falola, T. (1998). *Violence in Nigeria: The crisis of religious politics and secular ideologies*. University of Rochester Press.
- Reynolds, J. (2001). Good and bad Muslims: Islam and indirect rule in Northern Nigeria. *The Journal of African History*, 42(3), 601–618.
- Ubah, C. N. (2008). *Colonial administration and the development of Islamic law in Northern Nigeria*. Kaduna Academic Press.
- Ishaq, M. A., & Shousha, A. M. (2021). Violent conflict and hostility towards ethnoreligious outgroups in Nigeria. *Journal of Aggression, Conflict and Peace Research*, 13(2), 85–99.



- Mercy Corps. (2021). *“Fear of the unknown”: Religion, identity, and conflict in Northern Nigeria* (Policy research report). Mercy Corps and the Nigeria Research Network. https://www.mercycorps.org/sites/default/files/2021-07/FearoftheUnknown_Full_6-30-21.pdf
- Olunlade, T. B., & Kii, P. B. (2025). Religious polarization and its impact on national unity and political governance in Nigeria: A socio-political analysis. *Jalingo Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Research and Innovations*, 1(4), 45–58.