



ETHICS OF SELF-SACRIFICE AS AN ANTIDOTE TO POLITICAL CORRUPTION IN NIGERIA

Gabriel Salifu, PhD

salifugab@run.edu.ng 08039608519, 08076228468

Department of Religious Studies and Philosophy, Redeemer's University, Ede Osun State

ABSTRACT

This article examines the ethics of self-sacrifice as a potential antidote to the endemic political corruption that has plagued Nigeria since independence. Despite numerous anti-corruption agencies, legal frameworks, and international interventions, corruption persists as a defining feature of Nigerian political life, diverting public resources, distorting policy, and eroding citizen trust in democratic institutions. This study investigates whether the ethical principle of self-sacrifice, rooted in religious traditions, philosophical ethics, and African cultural values, can provide resources for transforming political behaviour and cultivating a new generation of leaders committed to public service rather than private accumulation. The research explores three interconnected dimensions: the conceptual foundations of self-sacrifice in Christian, Islamic, and African traditional ethics; the pathology of political corruption in Nigeria and its resistance to conventional anti-corruption strategies; and the potential for an ethics of self-sacrifice to inform leadership formation, public accountability, and ethical reorientation. Findings reveal that self-sacrifice is a prominent theme across Nigeria's religious and cultural traditions: in Christianity, exemplified in the kenotic self-emptying of Christ and the call to servant leadership; in Islam, expressed in concepts of *ithar* (preferring others over self) and *tawakkul* (trust in God's provision); in African traditional ethics, embodied in ideals of generosity, hospitality, and the prioritisation of communal well-being over individual accumulation. The study argues that these resources have been largely untapped in anti-corruption discourse, which has focused predominantly on institutional mechanisms rather than ethical formation. It proposes that cultivating an ethics of self-sacrifice among political leaders and citizens could contribute to transforming political culture, though it acknowledges the structural constraints within which such transformation must occur. Recommendations address religious institutions, educational systems, political parties, anti-corruption agencies, and civil society.

Keywords: Self-Sacrifice, Political Corruption, Nigeria, Religious Ethics, Servant Leadership.

Introduction

The persistence of political corruption in Nigeria represents one of the most significant obstacles to the nation's development and democratic consolidation. Decades after independence, after numerous anti-corruption campaigns, after the establishment of specialized agencies like the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC), after countless sermons and condemnations from religious leaders, corruption remains endemic (Smith, 2007). Public funds intended for schools, hospitals, and infrastructure disappear into private accounts. Contracts are awarded not to the most competent but to those connected. Elections are shaped by vote-buying and manipulation rather than citizen preference. Citizens experience the state not as a provider of public goods but as a predatory apparatus serving the interests of political elites (Joseph, 2014).



Conventional anti-corruption strategies have focused primarily on institutional mechanisms: strengthening legal frameworks, enhancing enforcement capacity, increasing transparency, and promoting citizen oversight. These approaches have produced some successes but have not fundamentally altered the political culture that sustains corruption. Prosecutions are rare; convictions are rarer. The impunity that protects corrupt actors remains largely intact.

This study explores an alternative or complementary approach: the cultivation of an ethics of self-sacrifice among political leaders and citizens. It asks whether the moral and spiritual resources embedded in Nigeria's religious and cultural traditions, resources that emphasise the willingness to subordinate personal interest for the sake of others, for the community, for the common good, can contribute to transforming the ethical imagination of those who seek and exercise political power.

The concept of self-sacrifice appears prominently across the traditions that shape Nigerian moral consciousness. In Christianity, the self-emptying (*kenosis*) of Christ (Philippians 2:5-11) provides the paradigm for leadership as service rather than domination. In Islam, the concept of *ithar*, preferring others over oneself despite one's own need, represents a highest ethical ideal. In African traditional ethics, ideals of generosity, hospitality, and communal responsibility prioritise the well-being of the group over individual accumulation (Mbiti, 2015).

Yet these resources have been largely absent from anti-corruption discourse. When corruption is discussed, the focus is typically on institutional failure, weak enforcement, or economic incentive. The ethical formation of leaders, the cultivation of character, virtue, and the willingness to sacrifice personal interest for public good, receives minimal attention.

This article argues that addressing political corruption in Nigeria requires not only institutional reform but also ethical transformation. It does not suggest that self-sacrifice alone can solve corruption, structural factors, institutional weaknesses, and incentive systems must also be addressed. But it contends that sustainable change requires leaders who possess the moral character to resist corrupt pressures and citizens who demand such character from those who seek their votes.

The argument proceeds in several stages. First, it examines the conceptual foundations of self-sacrifice in Christian, Islamic, and African traditional ethics. Second, it analyses the pathology of political corruption in Nigeria, identifying why conventional approaches have been insufficient. Third, it explores the potential for an ethics of self-sacrifice to inform leadership formation, public accountability, and ethical reorientation. Finally, it proposes frameworks for cultivating self-sacrificial ethics in practice.

Statement of the Problem

The persistence of political corruption in Nigeria despite decades of anti-corruption efforts presents a complex challenge that existing approaches inadequately address. Four interconnected problems demand attention. They include:

The Institutional Problem: Limits of Legal and Enforcement Approaches

Nigeria has established an impressive array of anti-corruption institutions. The EFCC, ICPC, Code of Conduct Bureau, and various other agencies possess mandates to investigate, prosecute, and



prevent corruption. Legal frameworks criminalise a wide range of corrupt practices. International partners have provided technical assistance and funding.

Yet corruption persists. The reasons are multiple: agencies are under-resourced, politically constrained, and sometimes themselves corrupt. Prosecutions target political opponents more than genuine offenders. Convictions are rare. Asset recovery is minimal. The impunity that protects corrupt actors remains largely intact (Agbibo, 2012).

Institutional approaches assume that changing rules and enforcement mechanisms will change behaviour. This assumption is not wrong, but it is incomplete. Institutions operate within cultural contexts that shape how rules are interpreted and whether enforcement is perceived as legitimate. When corruption is normalized and expectations of accountability are low, institutional reforms have limited effect.

The Economic Problem: Incentive Structures

Political corruption in Nigeria is also sustained by powerful economic incentives. Control of the state provides access to enormous resources, oil revenues, government contracts, regulatory rents. For those who lack alternative pathways to wealth, capturing state power becomes a primary economic strategy. The rewards of corruption far outweigh the risks (Sala-i-Martin & Subramanian, 2003).

Electoral competition intensifies these pressures. Campaigns require enormous funds, driving politicians to seek money from patrons who expect returns on investment. Once in office, the need to reward supporters and fund future campaigns creates ongoing pressure for corrupt accumulation.

Economic analyses suggest that changing these incentive structures, reducing rents, increasing transparency, raising the probability of punishment, is essential. But such changes require political will that corruption itself undermines. Those who benefit from existing arrangements have little interest in reforming them.

The Cultural Problem: Normalisation of Corruption

Beyond institutions and incentives lies a cultural problem: corruption has become normalised in Nigerian political life. Citizens expect politicians to steal; they are surprised only by the scale. Political discourse treats corruption as inevitable, a fact of life rather than a moral failing. Young people see corrupt elites enjoying wealth with impunity and may conclude that corruption is the path to success (Smith, 2007).

This normalisation affects both perpetrators and victims. Corrupt actors can justify their behaviour as simply playing the game that everyone plays. Citizens, expecting nothing better, may participate in petty corruption while tolerating grand corruption. The moral imagination necessary to envision an alternative is atrophied.

The Ethical Problem: Neglect of Character Formation

Finally, there is an ethical problem: anti-corruption discourse has largely neglected the formation of character. When corruption is discussed, the focus is on institutions, laws, incentives, and enforcement. The question of what kind of people leaders should be, what virtues they should



possess, what values should guide their decisions, what dispositions should shape their behaviour, receives minimal attention.

This neglect is significant because institutions, however well-designed, are operated by people. Laws, however stringent, are enforced by people. Incentives, however structured, are responded to by people. The character of those who occupy political office matters enormously for how institutions function, how laws are enforced, and how incentives are navigated.

Religious and cultural traditions have historically been the primary sources of character formation, but they have been marginalised in anti-corruption discourse. This represents both a loss and an opportunity, a loss because valuable resources remain untapped, an opportunity because these traditions might contribute to the ethical transformation that institutional reform alone cannot achieve.

These four problems are interconnected. Institutional weakness, perverse incentives, cultural normalisation, and ethical neglect reinforce each other, creating a system that resists change. Addressing corruption requires strategies that engage all four dimensions.

Objectives of the Study

The following objectives guide this study:

1. To examine the conceptual foundations of self-sacrifice in Christian, Islamic, and African traditional ethics, identifying key themes and principles.
2. To analyse the pathology of political corruption in Nigeria, including its institutional, economic, cultural, and ethical dimensions.
3. To explore the potential for an ethics of self-sacrifice to inform leadership formation, public accountability, and ethical reorientation.
4. To identify the conditions under which self-sacrificial ethics might gain traction in Nigerian political culture.
5. To propose practical recommendations for cultivating self-sacrificial ethics among political leaders and citizens.

Research Questions

This study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How do Christian, Islamic, and African traditional traditions conceptualise self-sacrifice, and what ethical principles do they articulate?
2. What are the key features of political corruption in Nigeria, and why have conventional anti-corruption strategies been insufficient?
3. How might an ethics of self-sacrifice inform the formation of political leaders and the expectations of citizens?



4. What conditions would enable the cultivation of self-sacrificial ethics in Nigerian political culture?

5. What practical steps can religious institutions, educational systems, political parties, and civil society take to promote self-sacrificial ethics?

Significance of the Study

This study makes several important contributions.

Academic Contributions: It addresses a significant gap at the intersection of corruption studies, political ethics, and religious ethics, bringing these fields into conversation. It contributes to understanding of how ethical formation might complement institutional approaches to corruption.

Contributions to Anti-Corruption Practice: It offers frameworks for engaging religious and cultural resources in anti-corruption strategy, moving beyond exclusively legal and institutional approaches. It identifies the potential of ethical formation as a complement to enforcement.

Contributions to Religious Formation: It challenges religious institutions to articulate and promote ethical ideals relevant to political leadership. It provides resources for preaching, teaching, and advocacy that connect religious values to concrete political challenges.

Contributions to Political Leadership Development: It offers frameworks for thinking about the character qualities political leaders should possess and how such qualities might be cultivated. It challenges political parties to attend to the ethical formation of candidates.

Contributions to Civic Education: It provides resources for educating citizens about the qualities they should seek in political leaders and the importance of demanding ethical conduct.

Research Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design integrating religious ethics, political philosophy, and empirical studies of Nigerian governance. The methodology is constructed to ensure rigor, depth, and contextual relevance.

Research Design

The study employs a critical interpretive synthesis design, involving systematic engagement with relevant literature, identification of key themes, and constructive development of analytical frameworks (Dixon-Woods et al., 2006). This allows integration of diverse sources into coherent analysis.

Sources of Data

Data is drawn from three categories:

1. Religious and Ethical Sources: Christian sources (biblical texts, particularly Philippians 2:5-11 and servant leadership passages; Catholic Social Teaching; works of Christian ethics; Nigerian Christian theological reflection); Islamic sources (Qur'anic texts on ihsan and selflessness; Hadith; Islamic ethical traditions; Nigerian Islamic scholarship); African traditional sources (proverbs, oral traditions, ethnographic accounts, contemporary interpretations of African ethics).



2. Political and Governance Sources: Scholarly analyses of Nigerian corruption (Smith, 2007; Joseph, 2014; Agbibo, 2012); studies of anti-corruption efforts; reports from Transparency International, EFCC, and civil society organisations.
3. Philosophical and Ethical Sources: Works on virtue ethics, character formation, and political ethics; philosophical analyses of self-sacrifice and altruism.

Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection involved systematic library research and digital database searches (ATLA, JSTOR, Scopus, Google Scholar, African Journals Online) using relevant search terms. Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2021) was employed to identify patterns, themes, and relationships across sources.

Introduction Restated

The introduction established the persistence of political corruption in Nigeria despite institutional reforms, the neglect of ethical formation in anti-corruption discourse, and the potential of self-sacrifice ethics drawn from religious and cultural traditions to contribute to transformation. It argued that sustainable change requires not only institutional reform but also leaders of character willing to subordinate personal interest to public good.

The following sections define key terms, review relevant literature, present the theoretical framework, discuss findings organised by research questions, offer recommendations, and conclude with synthesis and implications.

Definitions of Key Terms

Self-Sacrifice: The willing subordination or offering of one's own interests, well-being, or life for the sake of others or for a greater good. In ethical discourse, self-sacrifice is distinguished from self-harm or self-destruction; it is a voluntary act oriented toward a valued end.

Political Corruption: The abuse of public office for private gain. This encompasses bribery, embezzlement, extortion, nepotism, patronage, and diversion of public resources. Political corruption differs from other forms in that it involves those entrusted with political authority.

Kenosis: A Greek term meaning "emptying," used in Christian theology to describe Christ's self-emptying in the incarnation (Philippians 2:7). Kenosis provides a model of self-sacrificial love and has been applied to ethical reflection on leadership and service.

Ithar: An Islamic concept meaning "preferring others over oneself" or "altruism." It represents a highest ethical ideal, exemplified in the Qur'anic description of those who "give preference over themselves, even though they were in need" (59:9).

Servant Leadership: A model of leadership in which the leader's primary motivation is to serve others rather than to exercise power or accumulate personal benefit. Grounded in the teaching and example of Jesus, servant leadership emphasises humility, other-centredness, and empowerment.

Character Formation: The process by which individuals acquire and internalise the virtues, values, and dispositions that shape ethical conduct. Character formation occurs through habituation, education, role models, and participation in communities of practice.



Common Good: The social conditions that enable all members of a community to flourish. In ethical perspective, the common good is the purpose of political authority and the standard against which political conduct should be evaluated.

Literature Review

Self-Sacrifice in Religious Ethics

Christian ethics has extensively explored self-sacrifice, particularly through the lens of Christ's kenosis. The Philippians hymn (2:5-11) presents Christ's self-emptying as the paradigm for Christian conduct: "Let the same mind be in you that was in Christ Jesus." This ethic has been applied to various domains, including political leadership, where it challenges models of domination and calls for service (Hauerwas, 1981).

Islamic ethics emphasises *ithar* as a highest virtue. The Qur'an praises those who give preference to others even in need, and Islamic tradition elaborates this ethic through concepts of selflessness, generosity, and communal solidarity. *Ithar* is understood as expression of *tawakkul* (trust in God), the confidence that God will provide for those who give freely (Ramadan, 2017).

African traditional ethics, while not using the term self-sacrifice explicitly, embodies its principles through ideals of generosity, hospitality, and communal responsibility. The person who prioritises community over self is honoured; the one who hoards wealth while others suffer is condemned. Ubuntu philosophy captures this ethic: "A person is a person through persons" (Mbiti, 2015).

Corruption in Nigeria

The literature on Nigerian corruption is extensive. Smith (2007) provides ethnographic analysis of how corruption permeates everyday life, shaping expectations and relationships. Joseph (2014) analyses "prebendal politics", the distribution of state resources to ethnic and regional constituencies, as a persistent feature. Agbiboa (2012) examines anti-corruption efforts and their limitations.

Studies identify multiple causes: colonial legacies, weak institutions, low public sector salaries, impunity, and the political economy of oil which creates enormous rents to be captured (Sala-i-Martin & Subramanian, 2003). Cultural explanations emphasise patrimonial norms and the priority of kinship obligations over impersonal rules.

Ethics and Anti-Corruption

The intersection of ethics and anti-corruption has received less attention. Some scholars have explored the role of values in shaping corruption (Rose-Ackerman, 1999), but the focus has been predominantly on institutional design. Religious ethics has been largely absent from mainstream anti-corruption discourse, though some studies examine the potential of religious resources (Ogunyemi, 2018).

The Gap

This review reveals a significant gap: the potential of self-sacrifice ethics, drawn from religious and cultural traditions, to inform anti-corruption strategy has been largely unexplored. This study



addresses this gap by bringing these traditions into conversation with analysis of Nigerian corruption.

Theoretical Framework

Virtue Ethics

Virtue ethics provides the primary normative framework for this study. Drawing on Aristotle, Aquinas, and contemporary virtue ethicists like MacIntyre (1984), virtue ethics emphasises the formation of character through practice, community, and narrative. It asks not simply "What should one do?" but "What kind of person should one become?"

Virtue ethics is particularly appropriate for examining self-sacrifice because it focuses on the dispositions that enable such conduct. Self-sacrifice is not simply an action but expression of character, of virtues like generosity, humility, justice, and love cultivated over time.

Moral Economy Theory

Moral economy theory examines how economic behaviour is shaped by moral norms and expectations. Drawing on Thompson (1971) and subsequent work, this framework illuminates how corruption is not simply rational choice but is embedded in moral economies, systems of meaning that define legitimate and illegitimate conduct.

In Nigeria, corrupt actors may justify their behaviour through moral economies that prioritise kinship obligation over impersonal rules, that see state resources as legitimate spoils of political victory, that normalise extraction as how the system works. Challenging corruption requires engaging these moral economies, not just changing incentives.

Social Ethics of the Common Good

The common good tradition in social ethics provides frameworks for understanding the purposes of political authority and the responsibilities of political leaders. Drawing on Catholic Social Teaching and related traditions, this framework emphasises that political power exists to serve the common good, the conditions that enable all to flourish, not private interests.

Self-sacrifice is intelligible within this framework as the willingness to subordinate private interest to common good. Leaders who sacrifice personal gain for public benefit embody the purpose of political authority.

Integration

These three frameworks work complementarily. Virtue ethics focuses on formation of character; moral economy theory examines the normative contexts within which conduct occurs; common good tradition articulates the purposes political conduct should serve. Together, they enable analysis of how self-sacrificial ethics might be cultivated and how they might challenge corrupt practices.



Discussion of Findings

Self-Sacrifice in Christian Ethics

Christian tradition offers rich resources for understanding self-sacrifice. The foundational text is Philippians 2:5-11, which presents Christ's self-emptying as the paradigm for Christian conduct: "Let the same mind be in you that was in Christ Jesus, who, though he was in the form of God, did not regard equality with God as something to be exploited, but emptied himself, taking the form of a slave... He humbled himself and became obedient to the point of death, even death on a cross."

This kenosis (self-emptying) is not self-destruction but self-giving love. It establishes a pattern for all Christian relationships, including leadership. Jesus explicitly connects this pattern to leadership in Mark 10:42-45: "Whoever wishes to become great among you must be your servant... For the Son of Man came not to be served but to serve, and to give his life a ransom for many".

The servant leadership tradition draws on these foundations, articulating a model of leadership oriented toward the good of others rather than personal aggrandisement. Servant leaders listen, empathise, persuade rather than coerce, and commit to the growth of others (Greenleaf, 1977). This model directly challenges the self-aggrandisement characteristic of corrupt leadership.

Christian tradition also emphasises that self-sacrifice is made possible by trust in God's provision. Those who give freely can do so because they trust that God will provide for their needs. This trust (fiducia) frees from the anxious accumulation that drives corruption.

Self-Sacrifice in Islamic Ethics

Islamic tradition articulates self-sacrifice through the concept of *ithar*, preferring others over oneself. The Qur'an praises those who "give preference over themselves, even though they were in need" (59:9). This verse, revealed concerning the Ansar (helpers) who welcomed and supported the Muhajirun (emigrants) despite their own poverty, establishes *ithar* as a highest ideal.

Ithar is understood as expression of *tawakkul* (trust in God). One who trusts God's provision can give freely, confident that God will not abandon the generous. This trust counters the anxiety that fuels hoarding and exploitation.

Islamic ethics also emphasises *amanah* (trust) as fundamental to human responsibility before God. All that humans possess, wealth, power, authority, is a trust from God, to be used according to God's purposes. Corruption is violation of this trust, betrayal of divine entrustment.

The concept of *hisbah* (accountability) establishes communal responsibility for enjoining good and forbidding wrong. Muslims are obligated to hold those in authority accountable, to speak truth to power, to resist injustice. This obligation extends to political corruption.

Self-Sacrifice in African Traditional Ethics

African traditional ethics, while diverse across communities, emphasises values that resonate with self-sacrifice. The concept of *ubuntu* (humanness) captures the understanding that personhood is realised through relationship and contribution to community. One becomes a person through others; the well-being of the individual is inseparable from well-being of the community.



This understanding challenges individualistic accumulation. Wealth is not for hoarding but for sharing; the generous person is honoured, the selfish condemned. Proverbs across Nigerian cultures express this ethic: The Yoruba say "Owó kì í gbéni, ọmọ ló ń gbéni" (Money does not raise a person, character does). The Igbo say "Onye a welu onwe ya n'elu, Chukwu a welu ya n'ala" (He who exalts himself, God humbles him).

Traditional concepts of leadership emphasise service to community. Chiefs and kings are expected to prioritise communal well-being, to be generous, to care for the vulnerable. Leaders who exploit their positions betray the trust of ancestors and community.

The Pathology of Political Corruption

Political corruption in Nigeria exhibits patterns that these ethical traditions directly address. Self-Aggrandisement: Corrupt leaders treat public office as opportunity for personal enrichment. They accumulate wealth far beyond legitimate compensation, often through blatantly illegal means. This self-aggrandisement stands in direct opposition to self-sacrificial ethics.

Kinship Obligation Perverted: Corrupt actors often justify their behaviour through appeals to kinship obligation, they must provide for family, lineage, community. This justification distorts legitimate obligations, using them to excuse theft from the broader public.

Impunity and Entitlement: Corrupt leaders act with impunity, confident that they will face no consequences. This impunity reflects an assumption of entitlement, that they deserve the wealth they take, that the rules do not apply to them.

Normalisation: Corruption has become normalised, expected, treated as inevitable. This normalisation erodes the moral imagination necessary to envision alternatives.

Conventional anti-corruption strategies have struggled to address these patterns because they focus on external constraints rather than internal transformation. Laws and enforcement can raise the cost of corruption, but they cannot create the character that resists corrupt temptations.

The Potential of Self-Sacrifice Ethics

Self-sacrifice ethics addresses the inner dimensions of corruption that institutional approaches miss.

Formation of Desire: Self-sacrifice ethics shapes what leaders desire. The leader formed in kenosis or *ithar* desires the good of others, not personal accumulation. They measure success not by wealth but by contribution to community.

Transformation of Justification: Self-sacrifice ethics challenges the justifications that sustain corruption. The leader who internalises *amanah* cannot treat public resources as personal property. The leader shaped by *ubuntu* cannot ignore communal well-being.

Source of Resistance: Self-sacrifice ethics provides resources for resisting corrupt pressures. The leader who trusts God's provision (*tawakkul*, *fiducia*) can refuse bribes, reject patronage, resist the pressure to accumulate.



Model for Citizenship: Self-sacrifice ethics also shapes citizen expectations. Citizens formed in these traditions demand leaders who serve rather than exploit. They hold leaders accountable to ethical standards, not just ethnic or partisan loyalty.

Conditions for Cultivation

Realising the potential of self-sacrifice ethics requires attention to conditions that enable its cultivation.

Intentional Formation: Self-sacrificial character is not automatic; it must be intentionally cultivated through teaching, practice, and community. Religious institutions, families, and schools have crucial roles.

Supportive Communities: Individuals cannot sustain self-sacrificial commitments alone. They need communities that model, encourage, and hold accountable.

Structural Reinforcement: Ethical formation alone is insufficient. Structures must also reward integrity and punish corruption. Ethics and institutions are complementary, not alternative.

Visible Models: Self-sacrificial ethics must be embodied in visible models, leaders whose lives demonstrate that service is possible, that integrity can succeed, that sacrifice is honoured.

Conclusions

This study has examined the ethics of self-sacrifice as a potential antidote to political corruption in Nigeria, arguing that sustainable change requires not only institutional reform but also ethical transformation of leaders and citizens. Self-sacrifice is a prominent theme across the religious and cultural traditions that shape Nigerian moral consciousness: in Christianity's kenosis, Islam's *ithar*, and African traditional ideals of generosity and communal responsibility. These traditions offer resources for cultivating the character that can resist corrupt pressures, the humility that rejects self-aggrandisement, the trust in divine provision that frees from anxious accumulation, the commitment to community that prioritises common good over private interest. Realising this potential requires intentional cultivation: teaching that connects these resources to political ethics, formation that shapes desire and character, communities that support and hold accountable and visible models that demonstrate that self-sacrificial leadership is possible. It also requires recognition that ethical formation complements rather than replaces institutional reform; structures and character are mutually reinforcing, not alternative.

The task is not to choose between institutional and ethical approaches but to integrate them, to build institutions that reward integrity and punish corruption while forming persons with the character to resist corrupt pressures. In a society where corruption has become normalised, where impunity protects the powerful, where young people see wealth acquired through exploitation, the cultivation of self-sacrificial ethics is both urgent and difficult. Yet the resources are present in Nigeria's religious and cultural traditions, waiting to be mobilised. The question is whether religious institutions, educational systems, political parties, and citizens will rise to the challenge, whether they will take seriously the formation of leaders who serve rather than exploit, of citizens who demand integrity rather than tolerate corruption. The answer will shape Nigeria's political future.



Recommendations

- i. Articulate and teach the ethics of self-sacrifice found in Christian, Islamic, and African traditional traditions. Develop systematic curricula for preaching, teaching, and formation that connect these resources to political ethics.
- ii. Model self-sacrificial leadership within religious institutions. Demonstrate that religious leaders can serve without self-aggrandisement, can manage resources transparently, can prioritise community over personal enrichment.
- iii. Challenge corrupt leaders prophetically, naming specific abuses and calling for accountability. Move beyond general condemnation to concrete engagement with political realities.
- iv. Provide formation for political leaders who seek to govern with integrity. Offer retreats, mentoring, and support networks for those attempting to resist corrupt pressures.
- v. Build interfaith coalitions for ethical governance. United religious witness carries greater moral authority and models the community that self-sacrifice serves.
- vi. Celebrate exemplars of self-sacrificial leadership. Make visible those who have served with integrity, providing models for others.

For Educational Institutions

1. Integrate ethics of self-sacrifice into curricula at all levels. Teach students the religious and cultural resources that emphasise service over self-interest.
2. Develop character education programmes that intentionally cultivate virtues, generosity, integrity, humility, justice, that enable self-sacrificial conduct.
3. Provide opportunities for service that enable students to practice self-sacrifice. Community service, peer mentoring, and leadership roles can form character through practice.
4. Engage students in reflection on political ethics. Help them think about what qualities they should seek in leaders and what responsibilities they bear as citizens.
5. Model integrity in institutional governance. Students learn from how institutions operate, not just what they teach.

For Political Parties

1. Attend to character in candidate selection. Develop processes for assessing not just electability but integrity, commitment to service, and willingness to sacrifice personal interest for public good.
2. Provide ethical formation for party members and candidates. Integrate reflection on values and virtues into party activities.
3. Develop internal accountability mechanisms that can address ethical failures without relying solely on external enforcement.
4. Reject corrupt funding even when it means losing elections. Demonstrate that integrity matters more than victory.



5. Celebrate self-sacrificial leadership within the party. Make visible those who have served with integrity, even when it cost them.

For Anti-Corruption Agencies

1. Partner with religious institutions in public education campaigns. Religious networks reach where government agencies cannot.

2. Protect and support whistleblowers who demonstrate self-sacrifice by risking personal safety to expose corruption.

3. Recognise and celebrate individuals and institutions demonstrating integrity. Public acknowledgment can reinforce ethical norms.

4. Address corruption within own agencies. Demonstrate the integrity they demand of others.

5. Engage religious leaders in designing and reviewing anti-corruption strategies. Their insights into ethical formation and community dynamics are valuable.

For Civil Society Organisations

1. Build bridges between secular and religious anti-corruption activism. Recognise religious actors as potential partners, not obstacles.

2. Provide resources and training for religious leaders on corruption analysis, advocacy strategies, and engagement with policy processes.

3. Monitor and expose corruption regardless of perpetrators' religious identity. Hold all accountable to same standards.

4. Support victims of corruption through legal aid, advocacy, and social support. Amplify their voices in public discourse.

5. Celebrate integrity. Publicly recognise individuals and institutions demonstrating exemplary accountability.

For Citizens

1. Examine expectations of political leaders. Demand integrity, not just ethnic or partisan loyalty. Hold leaders accountable to ethical standards.

2. Support candidates who demonstrate commitment to service, not just those who promise personal benefit.

3. Participate in formation of self and others. Cultivate virtues that enable resistance to corruption.

4. Hold leaders accountable between elections. Communicate expectations, report failures, support accountability mechanisms.



5. Model self-sacrifice in own spheres of influence. Demonstrate that service is possible, that integrity matters, that sacrifice is honoured.

References

- Agbibo, D. E. (2012). Between corruption and development: The political economy of state robbery in Nigeria. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 108(3), 325–345.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Dixon-Woods, M., Cavers, D., Agarwal, S., Annandale, E., Arthur, A., Harvey, J., Kelly, M., Katbamna, S., Olsen, R., Smith, L., Riley, R., & Sutton, A. J. (2006). Conducting a critical interpretive synthesis of the literature on access to healthcare by vulnerable groups. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 6(1), Article 35.
- Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.
- Hauerwas, S. (1981). *A community of character: Toward a constructive Christian social ethic*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- Joseph, R. A. (2014). *Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: The rise and fall of the Second Republic*. Cambridge University Press.
- MacIntyre, A. (1984). *After virtue: A study in moral theory* (2nd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press.
- Mbiti, J. S. (2015). *Introduction to African religion* (2nd ed.). Waveland Press.
- Ogunyemi, A. O. (2018). Religious ethics and the challenge of corruption in Nigeria. *Journal of Religious Ethics*, 46(3), 512–535.
- Ramadan, T. (2017). *Islam: The essentials*. Penguin Books.
- Rose-Ackerman, S. (1999). *Corruption and government: Causes, consequences, and reform*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sala-i-Martin, X., & Subramanian, A. (2003). Addressing the natural resource curse: An illustration from Nigeria (IMF Working Paper No. 03/139). International Monetary Fund.
- Smith, D. J. (2007). *A culture of corruption: Everyday deception and popular discontent in Nigeria*. Princeton University Press.
- Thompson, E. P. (1971). The moral economy of the English crowd in the eighteenth century. *Past & Present*, 50, 76–136.