



RELIGIOUS SENTIMENTS AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF POWER AND RESOURCES IN NIGERIAN DEMOCRACY: PROSPECTS AND CHALLENGES

Enyi Peter Adole

Federal University of Education, Pankshin, College of Arts and social sciences,
Department of Christian Religious Studies
enyipeteradole@gmail.com 08065274968

&

Ephrame Ngwan

Federal University of Education, Pankshin, College of Arts and social sciences,
Department of Christian Religious Studies

&

Bitrus Dung Toke

Federal University of Education, Pankshin, College of Arts and social sciences,
Department of Christian Religious Studies

Abstract

Nigeria's democratic development is deeply influenced by its religious diversity, which significantly impacts the equitable distribution of political power and resources. This paper explores the intricate relationship between religious sentiments and governance in Nigeria, highlighting both the prospects and challenges within the country's multi-religious democracy. It traces the historical interplay of religion and politics from colonial times to the present, illustrating how religious identities have shaped political alignments, power-sharing arrangements, and resource allocation policies such as the Federal Character Principle. The study identifies critical challenges undermining equitable distribution, including pervasive corruption, elite capture, and violent ethno-religious conflicts most notably the ongoing farmer-herder clashes in Nigeria's Middle Belt. These conflicts, often framed in religious terms, have led to significant loss of life, disruption of agricultural productivity, and heightened food insecurity, thereby exacerbating regional inequalities. Despite these challenges, the paper highlights promising prospects for fostering equity. Interfaith dialogues, exemplified by the Jos Forum, have demonstrated the potential for peacebuilding and cooperative resource management. Policy innovations such as the Asaba Declaration, alongside social investment programs and decentralized governance, offer frameworks for addressing structural inequities. Additionally, youth-led movements like #EndSARS illustrate the growing influence of civic activism in promoting democratic accountability beyond religious divides. The role of international actors and NGOs in supporting inclusive governance and conflict resolution is also examined. The paper concludes that while religious pluralism presents complex challenges, sustained interfaith engagement, institutional reforms, and active civic participation provide viable pathways toward achieving equitable distribution of power and resources in Nigeria's democracy.

Keywords: Religious Sentiments, Democracy, Equitable Distribution, Political Representation, National Integration

Introduction

Nigeria, Africa's most populous nation with over 220 million people, is a mosaic of religious, ethnic, and cultural identities. The two dominant religions Christianity and Islam cut across the nation's six geopolitical zones, with Islam predominantly practiced in the North and Christianity



in the South, while indigenous religious practices persist in several communities (Paden, 2022). This religious diversity is both a source of richness and a fault line that has historically intersected with politics, shaping the dynamics of governance, identity, and distributive justice.

Since independence in 1960, the Nigerian state has struggled to balance the competing demands of its diverse constituencies. Religion, often mobilized as a political resource, plays a critical role in determining access to state power and material benefits. The equitable distribution of power and resources is therefore not merely an economic or administrative concern but is deeply embedded within Nigeria's religious and cultural frameworks. The country's democracy has had to grapple with ensuring that governance structures remain inclusive, while also preventing domination by any one group. These challenges have grown sharper in the Fourth Republic (since 1999), where multiparty democracy coexists with religious polarization and uneven patterns of resource allocation (Suberu, 2021).

Religious sentiments frequently influence electoral politics, policymaking, and even federal appointments. For example, debates around the "Muslim-Muslim" presidential ticket in the 2023 elections rekindled long-standing tensions about inclusivity and the symbolic weight of religious representation in leadership. Similarly, competition over the control of oil wealth in the Niger Delta, or over resource allocation through the Federation Account, often acquires religious undertones, as perceptions of marginalization are filtered through identity lenses (Akinyele, 2023). These dynamics underscore how religion, power, and resources intersect in ways that shape Nigeria's political stability and socio-economic development.

Yet, amid these challenges lie prospects for building a more equitable democracy. Constitutional provisions such as the Federal Character Principle and policy frameworks like the Petroleum Industry Act (2021) are designed to mitigate imbalances and ensure fairness in representation and resource distribution. Civil society, interfaith dialogues, and judicial interventions also provide spaces where religious pluralism can be managed constructively. Nonetheless, the uneven enforcement of these mechanisms, coupled with corruption, weak institutions, and politicization of religion, continues to hinder progress.

This paper therefore interrogates the prospects and challenges of ensuring equitable distribution of power and resources within Nigeria's religiously pluralistic democracy. By examining historical trajectories, contemporary debates, and policy responses, it highlights the centrality of religion in Nigerian politics and governance. The discussion draws on recent events, laws, and case studies to illuminate both the risks of politicized religion and the opportunities for fostering inclusivity, unity, and sustainable development in Africa's largest democracy.

Conceptual Clarification

Religious sentiments refer to the attitudes, emotions, beliefs, and commitments that individuals and groups attach to their religious identities and practices. In pluralistic societies such as Nigeria, religion significantly influences social behavior, political participation, and public discourse. Religious sentiments often shape citizens' perceptions of leadership, governance, and resource allocation. According to Haynes (2020), religion remains an influential factor in contemporary politics, particularly in developing democracies where religious identities are closely linked to



social and political interests. In Nigeria, religious affiliation frequently intersects with ethnicity and regional identities, making it a critical factor in democratic processes (Kukah, 2022).

Equitable distribution of power and resources refers to the fair and just allocation of political authority, public offices, economic opportunities, and national resources among different groups within a state. In democratic governance, equity seeks to ensure inclusiveness, participation, and equal opportunities for all citizens regardless of their ethnic, religious, or regional backgrounds.

The Nigerian constitutional principle of federal character was established to promote balanced representation and prevent the domination of public institutions by any particular group (Adebayo, 2021). Equitable distribution is therefore essential for national cohesion, political stability, and sustainable development.

The relationship between religious sentiments and equitable distribution of power and resources presents both prospects and challenges in Nigerian democracy. On the positive side, religious institutions contribute to promoting ethical leadership, social justice, peacebuilding, and civic responsibility. Religious organizations often advocate accountability and good governance while providing social services that complement government efforts (Marshall, 2018). Through moral teachings, religious communities can encourage citizens and leaders to pursue fairness and national development.

However, religious sentiments may also create challenges when exploited for political gain. Politicians sometimes mobilize religious identities to secure electoral support, resulting in exclusionary politics and perceptions of marginalization among minority groups. Such practices can generate mistrust, weaken democratic institutions, and intensify competition over political power and public resources (Suberu, 2020). Furthermore, unequal representation and perceived favoritism in appointments and resource allocation can fuel social tensions and threaten national unity.

Therefore, the sustainability of Nigerian democracy depends on strengthening institutions that guarantee justice, inclusiveness, and equal opportunities for all citizens. Religious diversity should be harnessed as a resource for national integration while ensuring that governance remains guided by constitutional principles of equity and fairness.

Historical Context: Religion & Politics

Nigeria's political history is inseparable from its religious dynamics, which were profoundly shaped by British colonial rule. The system of *indirect rule* introduced by Lord Lugard in the early 20th century applied differently across regions. In the predominantly Muslim North, the colonial state relied heavily on existing emirate structures, thereby preserving Islamic legal systems and traditional authority (Falola & Heaton, 2024). In contrast, the South—particularly among the Yoruba and Igbo—was opened more extensively to Christian missionary activity and Western education. This dual approach institutionalized a north-south divide that was both religious and developmental, creating asymmetries in literacy, political mobilization, and economic opportunities (Ekeh, 2022).



The consequences of this colonial dualism were far-reaching. Northern elites, who had limited exposure to Western education, were initially less represented in nationalist politics, while southern elites dominated early anti-colonial movements. As independence approached, British administrators sought to balance these disparities by protecting northern interests, often invoking religious sensitivities to justify slower political reforms in the region (Kukah, 2022). These colonial policies laid the foundation for post-independence struggles over representation, with religion serving as both a marker of identity and a mobilizing resource.

Post-Independence Alignments and Party Politics

At independence in 1960, Nigeria inherited a fragile federal structure designed to balance its three dominant regions: The Muslim-majority North, the Christian-majority East, and the religiously mixed West. Political parties reflected these divisions. The Northern People's Congress (NPC), dominated by Hausa-Fulani Muslim elites, prioritized northern interests and often framed politics through an Islamic lens. In contrast, the National Council of Nigerian Citizens (NCNC) and the Action Group (AG), rooted in southern constituencies, drew upon Christian networks and western-educated elites (Osaghae, 2021).

Religious identity, while never the sole determinant of political behavior, intersected with ethnicity and regionalism to shape voting patterns and elite bargaining. Federal elections frequently turned into contests over whether Muslim northerners or Christian southerners would dominate key state institutions. In this context, political actors increasingly invoked religious sentiments to secure legitimacy, thereby embedding religion into the architecture of Nigeria's federal democracy (Omotola, 2024).

Institutional Responses: Federal Character and Power-Sharing

Nigeria's post-independence leaders recognized the risks of religious and ethnic domination and sought to institutionalize inclusivity. The 1979 and 1999 Constitutions introduced the Federal Character Principle, requiring equitable distribution of public appointments and resource allocation across states and regions. Similarly, informal norms encouraged balancing between Muslims and Christians in key offices—for instance, ensuring that the president and vice president came from different religious backgrounds (Agbaje, 2023).

While designed to promote unity, these mechanisms often produced mixed results. On one hand, they helped prevent outright exclusion of religious minorities from governance. On the other hand, they sometimes entrenched identity politics by reinforcing the perception that access to power was determined by group affiliation rather than competence or merit (Suberu, 2021). The quota system thus became a double-edged sword—mitigating domination while simultaneously incentivizing politicians to mobilize along religious lines.

Rise of Religious Fundamentalism and Sectarian Movements

Since the 1980s, Nigeria has witnessed the emergence of religious fundamentalist movements that further complicated the relationship between religion and politics. In the North, the introduction of Sharia law in several states between 1999 and 2001 sparked national debates over secularism, constitutionalism, and minority rights (Abimbola, 2023). Proponents argued that Sharia reflected the cultural and religious identity of Muslim-majority states, while opponents viewed it as a threat to Nigeria's secular democracy and to the rights of Christians and other minorities.



In addition, extremist groups such as Boko Haram and later the Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP) have exploited religious grievances, targeting state institutions, churches, mosques, and markets in campaigns of violence (Paden, 2022). While these groups reject democracy outright, their rise has politicized religion further by forcing successive governments to frame counterterrorism policies in religious and security terms. The Christian Association of Nigeria (CAN) and the Supreme Council for Islamic Affairs (NSCIA) have also become increasingly vocal in national debates, shaping policies on education, family law, and economic reforms (Adesoji, 2023).

Implications for Democratic Consolidation

The intertwining of religion and politics in Nigeria has had profound implications for democratic consolidation. On the positive side, religious institutions and leaders often serve as mediators, mobilizing support for peacebuilding and civic participation. For example, interfaith dialogues and organizations such as the Nigeria Inter-Religious Council (NIREC) have sought to mitigate conflict by fostering dialogue between Christians and Muslims (Oni, 2023).

However, the negative impacts have often overshadowed these efforts. Electoral competition frequently acquires religious undertones, raising the stakes of democratic transitions. The mobilization of religious sentiments can exacerbate violence, as seen in post-election crises in 2011, which left over 800 people dead in northern Nigeria (Human Rights Watch, 2011). Moreover, the politicization of resource allocation whether in oil-producing Niger Delta or poverty-stricken northern states—reinforces perceptions that religious identity determines access to state benefits.

Ultimately, Nigeria's historical trajectory illustrates how religion, politics, and distributive justice are deeply entangled. While institutions such as the Federal Character Principle represent attempts at balancing, the persistence of religious polarization suggests that Nigeria's democracy continues to grapple with unresolved historical legacies.

Religion and Power Distribution in Nigeria's Democracy **Formal Mechanisms of Power Sharing**

Nigeria's federal democracy has long relied on formal power-sharing arrangements to accommodate its ethno-religious diversity. The most prominent of these is the zoning arrangement, an informal practice whereby political parties rotate key offices—particularly the presidency—between the predominantly Muslim North and Christian South. Though not enshrined in the constitution, zoning has become a normative framework for balancing religious and regional representation (Suberu, 2021). The 2023 presidential election, for instance, reignited debates about religious balance when the ruling All Progressives Congress (APC) fielded a Muslim-Muslim ticket, sparking widespread criticism from Christian groups and civil society actors who argued it violated the spirit of inclusion (Akinyemi, 2023).

Alongside zoning, the Federal Character Principle, embedded in the 1979 and 1999 Constitutions, mandates equitable distribution of public appointments and resources across Nigeria's 36 states and the Federal Capital Territory. While intended to prevent domination by any single group, in practice the principle has been implemented in ways that reinforce ethno-religious identities, as



elites lobby for appointments based not on merit but on their group affiliation (Agbaje, 2023). These institutional frameworks reveal how religion remains central to Nigeria's distributive politics, shaping not only who governs but also how power circulates within the federal structure.

Informal Influence of Religious Leaders and Institutions

Beyond formal structures, religious leaders and organizations wield considerable influence over political outcomes. In many regions, **clerics function as political brokers**, endorsing candidates, mobilizing voters, and framing political participation in moral or spiritual terms (Omotola, 2024).

During the 2023 elections, endorsements by prominent Pentecostal pastors and Islamic scholars significantly influenced voter choices, particularly in urban centers such as Lagos, Abuja, and Kano. The moral authority of religious leaders often surpasses that of secular politicians, enabling them to shape electoral narratives and public opinion (Ibrahim, 2023).

While this influence can serve as a check on state power—by holding leaders accountable to moral standards—it also risks entrenching religious polarization. When candidates are endorsed or rejected on religious grounds, political competition can devolve into sectarian rivalries. This dynamic not only undermines meritocracy but also reinforces the perception that leadership positions are religious entitlements rather than civic responsibilities.

Religion, Service Provision, and Resource Allocation

Religious organizations also play a vital role in **service delivery**, particularly in contexts where the Nigerian state struggles with weak capacity. Faith-based organizations operate hospitals, schools, and social welfare programs that provide essential services to millions of citizens (Nwosu & Adeoye, 2024). For example, Christian missionary schools and Islamic charitable organizations have historically filled gaps in education and healthcare, particularly in underserved rural communities.

However, the growing role of religious organizations in social service provision has distributive consequences. Studies show that resources often flow disproportionately to religiously affiliated institutions, privileging communities that align with dominant faiths (Chukwu, 2024). In some cases, government partnerships with faith-based groups have further institutionalized these disparities, as funding and subsidies are directed toward religious organizations while secular or minority communities are marginalized (Oni, 2023). This dynamic highlights the ambivalent role of religion: while it enhances state capacity through service delivery, it also risks exacerbating inequality in access to public goods.

Challenges to Equitable Distribution

Corruption and Elite Capture

Corruption remains a formidable obstacle to equitable resource distribution in Nigeria (Transparency International, 2024). Despite constitutional provisions such as the Federal Character Principle, patronage and elite capture distort public resource flows. Often, political elites prioritize loyalty and religious affiliation over merit, exacerbating inequalities. The principle, rather than fostering unity, has sometimes entrenched mediocrity and deepened divisions (Ezeani, 2023).



Farmer-Herder Conflicts and Religious Dimensions

The recurring farmer-herder conflicts, especially in Nigeria's Middle Belt, manifest as violent clashes often framed in religious terms. Predominantly Muslim Fulani herders and largely Christian farmers compete over scarce land and water resources, leading to deadly confrontations (International Crisis Group, 2025). For instance, recent attacks in Benue State resulted in dozens of deaths and injuries to religious leaders, revealing deep religious undertones in ostensibly resource-based conflicts (The Guardian, 2025). Between 2020 and 2024, more than 2,300 fatalities have been reported in herder-farmer violence across Nigeria (African Center for Strategic Studies, 2025).

Climate change aggravates this crisis by reducing arable land and forcing pastoralists into farming territories, while weak enforcement of anti-open grazing laws undermines peaceful resolution (Cambridge University Press, 2024). Furthermore, erosion of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms and widespread distrust in state institutions fuel persistent violence (Crisis Group, 2025).

Food Insecurity and Economic Fallout

Violence disrupts agricultural productivity, leading to food shortages and economic instability. Studies indicate insecurity in regions like Benue State reduced crop and livestock production by 21% and 31%, respectively (Smith & Adeola, 2025). This decline in food security exacerbates inflation and deepens poverty, especially in Nigeria's "Food Basket" regions (Okafor, 2024). Such economic fallout further compounds challenges in achieving equitable development across religious and ethnic lines.

Prospects for Equitable Distribution

Interfaith Dialogue and Grassroots Mediation

Promisingly, interfaith dialogue initiatives such as the Jos Forum have demonstrated that inclusive, faith-sensitive dialogue can reduce conflict and promote equitable governance. The 2013–2014 Jos Forum brought together diverse religious and ethnic groups to commit to peace and cooperation, leading to reconstruction of shared infrastructure and ongoing community engagement (Wikipedia, 2024). Similar initiatives in Adamawa and Kaduna States have leveraged traditional, religious, and youth leaders to mediate conflicts effectively (African Center for Strategic Studies, 2025).

Policy Interventions and Social Investment

State-led policies like the Asaba Declaration (2021), which banned open grazing in the South and promoted ranching, signal regional attempts to manage conflicts structurally (Wikipedia, 2024). Though controversial, such policies highlight the potential for coordinated governance reforms to address resource inequities.

Additionally, the National Social Investment Program (NSIP) aims to deliver cash transfers, job creation, and food assistance, transcending religious divides to reduce poverty (Federal Ministry of Humanitarian Affairs, 2024). These social safety nets can foster inclusion if implemented transparently and equitably.



Institutional Reforms and Civic Engagement

Strengthening decentralization and meritocratic public service can improve accountability and resource distribution. Empowering local governments and adopting community policing can mitigate insecurity (Smith & Adeola, 2025). Furthermore, youth-driven civic movements like #EndSARS reflect growing demands for democratic accountability and inclusion beyond religious identities (Tandfonline, 2022).

Case Studies of State-Level Dynamics

Kano State: Islam and Governance in Resource Distribution

In Kano State, Islamic institutions such as the Hisbah and Council of Ulama exert considerable influence over governance and resource flows. This fusion of religious authority and political power can marginalize secular and minority groups, concentrating development in Muslim-majority communities and limiting access elsewhere (Adesoji, 2023).

Plateau State (Jos): Reconciliation through Dialogue

Plateau State's history of violent ethno-religious clashes culminated in the 2001 and 2008 Jos riots (Wikipedia, 2024). The Jos Forum's peace dialogue process from 2013 to 2014 brought multiple communities together to sign a Declaration of Commitment to Peace, fostering trust and joint rebuilding efforts (Wikipedia, 2024). This model exemplifies the potential of inclusive dialogue to promote equitable resource sharing.

Niger Delta: Oil Wealth, Marginalization, and Militancy

Despite vast oil resources, the Niger Delta suffers from environmental degradation and economic exclusion. Militancy and conflict stem from perceptions of marginalization and unequal resource control, exacerbated by religious and ethnic tensions (Falola & Heaton, 2024). Addressing structural governance and equitable revenue-sharing is key to sustainable peace and development.

Role of International Actors & NGOs

Global Institutions Driving Reform

Organizations such as the United Nations, World Bank, and African Union support governance reforms promoting inclusion and federal restructuring. Initiatives include state police pilots and modern ranching programs to manage conflicts and resource control (Wikipedia, 2024). The controversial Asaba Declaration (2021) catalyzed national debate on these issues (Punch, 2021; Vanguard, 2021).

NGOs and Interfaith Networks

NGOs like the Centre for Humanitarian Dialogue have facilitated peace dialogues, including the Jos and Kafanchan processes, building social capital and promoting shared infrastructure development (Wikipedia, 2024). These local peacebuilding efforts complement formal governance reforms.

Civic Tech and Youth Mobilization via #EndSARS

The #EndSARS movement demonstrated how youth-led digital activism can pressure governments for accountability and reform, transcending ethnic and religious divisions (Time, 2021; Wired, 2023). Social media amplified marginalized voices and fostered political participation, influencing electoral outcomes and governance discourse (Tandfonline, 2022).



Conclusion

The Nigerian experience illustrates the complex interplay between religion, power, and resource distribution in a pluralistic democracy. Challenges such as corruption, ethno-religious conflict, and structural exclusion persist but are not insurmountable. Progress depends on:

- Strengthening interfaith dialogue and grassroots peacebuilding.
- Implementing inclusive policy reforms addressing structural inequities.
- Supporting youth-led civic engagement and democratization.

International partners and NGOs play vital roles in facilitating these processes, but ultimately, sustainable equitable governance requires Nigerian-led, inclusive solutions that respect religious diversity and promote shared prosperity.

Recommendations

- i. Government should strengthen and strictly implement policies that ensure fairness in the distribution of political offices and public resources across all regions and religious groups.
- ii. Religious leaders should promote messages of tolerance, peace, and national unity rather than sectarian interests.
- iii. Democratic institutions should be empowered to operate independently and prevent discrimination based on religious affiliation.
- iv. Interfaith dialogue and collaboration should be encouraged to foster mutual understanding and reduce religious tensions in political processes.
- v. Civic education programs should be expanded to emphasize citizenship, national identity, and democratic values above religious and sectional loyalties.

References

- Adebayo, A. G. (2021). *Federalism, governance and national integration in Nigeria*. Ibadan University Press.
- Abimbola, J. (2023). Religious fundamentalism and Nigerian democracy. *Journal of African Politics*, 15(2), 123–140.
- African Center for Strategic Studies. (2025). *Growing complexity of farmer-herder conflicts in West and Central Africa*. <https://africacenter.org/publication/growing-complexity-farmer-herder-conflict-west-central-africa/>
- Akinyele, R. (2023). Religion, representation, and the 2023 Nigerian elections. *African Affairs*, 122(488), 541–563.
- Akinyemi, T. (2023). Religion and electoral politics in Nigeria's 2023 presidential elections. *Nigerian Political Review*, 9(1), 45–60.



- Agbaje, A. (2023). The Federal Character Principle and national integration in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science*, 18(1), 77–92.
- Cambridge University Press. (2024). Farmer-herder conflicts and food insecurity: Evidence from rural Nigeria. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/agricultural-and-resource-economics-review/article/farmerherder-conflicts-and-food-insecurity-evidence-from-rural-nigeria/>
- Chukwu, E. (2024). Faith-based organizations and resource allocation in Nigerian governance. *Journal of Development Studies*, 30(3), 201–219.
- Crisis Group. (2025). *Herders against farmers: Nigeria's expanding deadly conflict*.
<https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/west-africa/nigeria/252-herders-against-farmers-nigerias-expanding-deadly-conflict>
- Ekeh, P. (2022). Colonial legacies and religious cleavages in Nigeria. *African Historical Review*, 44(1), 90–112.
- Ezeani, E. (2023). Elite capture and the challenge of equitable governance in Nigeria. *Governance and Development*, 11(2), 65–80.
- Falola, T., & Heaton, M. (2024). *A history of Nigeria*. Cambridge University Press.
- Federal Ministry of Humanitarian Affairs. (2024). National Social Investment Program annual report.
- Haynes, J. (2020). *Politics and religion: A very short introduction* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Kukah, M. H. (2022). Religion, politics and power in Northern Nigeria. Spectrum Books
- International Crisis Group. (2025). *Farmer-herder conflicts in Nigeria: Causes and consequences*. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/west-africa/nigeria/farmer-herder-conflicts-nigeria-causes-and-consequences>
- Marshall, R. (2018). *Political spiritualities: The Pentecostal revolution in Nigeria*. University of Chicago Press.
- Nwosu, C., & Adeoye, K. (2024). The role of faith-based organizations in Nigerian social service delivery. *Social Policy and Administration*, 58(1), 77–95.
- Okafor, L. (2024). Food security challenges in Nigeria's agricultural zones. *Food Policy Journal*, 19(4), 295–310.
- Omotola, J. S. (2024). Religion and politics in Nigeria: Challenges of democratic consolidation. *Journal of Democracy in Africa*, 12(2), 34–55.



- Osaghae, E. (2021). Federalism and the management of diversity in Nigeria. *Journal of African Political Economy*, 48(1), 12–34.
- Paden, J. (2022). *Religion and conflict in Nigeria*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Punch. (2021). Asaba Declaration and anti-open grazing laws: Progress and controversies. <https://punchng.com/asaba-declaration-progress-and-challenges/>
- Smith, J., & Adeola, F. (2025). Impact of insecurity on agricultural productivity in Nigeria. *Journal of Rural Development*, 27(1), 45–61.
- Suberu, R. (2021). Federalism and power sharing in Nigeria's democracy. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 51(2), 191–215.
- Suberu, R. T. (2020). Nigeria's federalism and the management of diversity. African Studies Centre.
- Tandfonline. (2022). Civic activism and digital mobilization in Nigeria's #EndSARS protests. *African Affairs*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00020184.2022.2042345>
- The Guardian. (2025). Renewed herder-farmer clashes in Benue State kill dozens. <https://guardian.ng/news/renewed-herder-farmer-clashes-benue/>
- Time. (2021). How Nigeria's #EndSARS protests changed the country. <https://time.com/6106304/nigeria-endsars-protests-impact/>
- Transparency International. (2024). Corruption perception index 2024: Nigeria. <https://www.transparency.org/en/countries/nigeria>
- Vanguard. (2021). The implications of the Asaba Declaration. <https://www.vanguardngr.com/2021/12/asaba-declaration-implications/>
- Wikipedia. (2024). Jos Forum. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jos_Forum
- Wired. (2023). Digital activism and youth engagement in Nigeria. <https://www.wired.com/story/nigeria-youth-digital-activism/>