



RETHINKING *NIKYOB* COMMUNAL ETHICS: A BIBLICAL REFLECTION ON PERSONHOOD AND COMMUNITY IN SOUTHERN KADUNA

ZACHARIAH AUDU KATOH

A PhD CANDIDATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHHRISTIAN RELIGIOUS STUDIES,
FACULTY OF ARTS, COLLEGE OF ARTS, HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND LAW,
KADUNA STATE UNIVERSITY, KADUNA
Zachskatoh24@gmail.com/08080373428

Abstract

The relationship between personhood and community remains a central concern in African philosophy and Christian ethics. While African communitarian thought has received considerable scholarly attention, relatively little research has examined the indigenous ethical traditions of the Nikyob people of Southern Kaduna and their contribution to biblical ethics. This study rethinks Nikyob communal ethics through the conceptual lens of Sweb (Social Web), understood as the interconnected network of moral relationships, communal responsibilities, and shared values that sustain personhood and social cohesion within Nikyob society. The study employs the historical method by critically examining documentary sources on African philosophy, Christian ethics, and Nikyob communal traditions. It is theoretically anchored in African Communitarian Theory and Christian Social Ethics, which provide the philosophical and theological frameworks for interpreting the relationship between indigenous ethics and biblical teaching. The study finds that Sweb promotes respect for personhood, communal labour, hospitality, mutual care, solidarity, and collective responsibility, values that resonate strongly with the biblical doctrines of the Imago Dei, covenant community, and the Body of Christ. However, the study also argues that indigenous ethical traditions require continual evaluation under the authority of Scripture so that cultural values are affirmed, transformed, or corrected in accordance with the gospel of Jesus Christ. Furthermore, the study observes that urbanization, modernization, and growing individualism have weakened communal structures that traditionally preserved social harmony among the Nikyob people. The study concludes that Nikyob communal ethics constitutes a valuable indigenous ethical resource capable of enriching Christian social ethics, contextual theology, and community development in Southern Kaduna. The study recommends that churches, traditional institutions, theological institutions, and policymakers intentionally preserve and integrate the constructive values of Sweb into discipleship, moral formation, peacebuilding, and community development initiatives.

Keywords: Nikyob, Sweb, Communal Ethics, Personhood, Community, Christian Ethics, Southern Kaduna.

Introduction

The relationship between the individual and the community has remained one of the defining themes in African philosophy, moral theology, and Christian ethics. Across many African societies, human identity is understood as fundamentally relational, with the community providing the social and moral context within which personhood is nurtured and expressed. This communitarian orientation differs significantly from modern liberal individualism, which often prioritizes personal autonomy over communal responsibility. African philosophers such as John S. Mbiti, Ifeanyi Menkiti, Kwame Gyekye, and Thaddeus Metz consistently argue that the



individual flourishes within relationships of mutual obligation, solidarity, and shared moral responsibility (Mbiti, 1969; Gyekye, 1997; Metz, 2018). Recent scholarship has further demonstrated that African communitarian ethics remains a significant resource for contemporary discussions on human dignity, justice, leadership, and social cohesion.

Among the Nikyob people of Southern Kaduna, communal life is sustained by an indigenous ethical framework that this study conceptualizes as Sweb (Social Web). Sweb refers to the interconnected network of social relationships, moral obligations, and communal values that bind members of the community together. It is not merely a cultural practice but an ethical system through which the Nikyob understand personhood, mutual responsibility, hospitality, communal labour, and social solidarity. Within this framework, every individual is regarded as a valued member of the community whose dignity deserves protection irrespective of social status or economic condition. Consequently, no member of the community is expected to suffer in isolation while others possess the means to provide assistance.

One practical expression of Sweb is communal farming, through which members voluntarily assist one another during planting and harvesting seasons. Another is the communal practice whereby relatives and neighbours discreetly replenish the food barn of a family experiencing scarcity, often during the night, to preserve the dignity of the recipient while ensuring that no member of the community goes hungry. Hospitality equally occupies a central place within Nikyob communal life, where strangers are welcomed and treated as members of the community. These practices illustrate an ethical worldview in which the welfare of the individual is inseparable from the welfare of the community.

These indigenous values bear significant resemblance to the biblical understanding of community. Scripture presents human beings as created in the image of God (*Imago Dei*) (Genesis 1:26–27) and called to live in relationships characterized by justice, compassion, mutual service, and covenant faithfulness. The Old Testament portrays Israel as a covenant community responsible for protecting the vulnerable, while the New Testament describes the Church as the Body of Christ in which believers share one another's burdens and rejoice together in mutual fellowship (1 Corinthians 12:12–27; Galatians 6:2). Christopher Wright (2020) argues that biblical ethics is fundamentally communal, emphasizing love of God expressed through justice, hospitality, and responsibility toward one's neighbour.

Despite extensive scholarship on African communitarianism, Ubuntu philosophy, and Christian social ethics, there remains limited scholarly engagement with the ethical traditions of the Nikyob people. Existing literature generally discusses African communal ethics at a broader continental or national level without examining how the ethical worldview of specific indigenous communities contributes to Christian ethical reflection. This gap is particularly evident concerning the concept of Sweb, which has received little scholarly attention despite its central role in shaping Nikyob communal life.

This study therefore seeks to rethink Nikyob communal ethics through a biblical reflection on personhood and community. Specifically, it examines the ethical principles embedded within Sweb, explores their relationship with African communitarian philosophy and biblical ethics, and evaluates their significance for contemporary Christian witness in Southern Kaduna. The study



adopts the historical method and is theoretically anchored in African Communitarian Theory and Christian Social Ethics. It argues that Sweb represents an important indigenous ethical resource capable of enriching contextual Christian ethics while promoting human dignity, communal solidarity, peacebuilding, and sustainable community development.

Conceptual Clarifications

Sweb (Social Web)

The concept of Sweb is central to this study. It is used as an analytical term to describe the indigenous ethical system that governs relationships among the Nikyob people of Southern Kaduna. In this study, Sweb refers to the interconnected network of social relationships, moral obligations, communal responsibilities, and shared values that sustain personhood, social cohesion, and collective well-being within Nikyob society. Although the term Sweb is introduced here as a scholarly conceptualization, the ethical practices it describes have long existed in Nikyob culture through communal labour, hospitality, mutual aid, conflict resolution, and respect for elders. Sweb therefore represents a relational moral framework that places the welfare of the community alongside the dignity of every individual.

Communal Ethics

Communal ethics refers to a moral system in which ethical obligations arise primarily from relationships within a community rather than from individual autonomy alone. In African philosophy, communal ethics emphasizes solidarity, reciprocity, cooperation, and collective responsibility as essential virtues for human flourishing (Mbiti, 1969; Gyekye, 1997; Metz, 2018). Moral behaviour is evaluated not only by its impact on the individual but also by its contribution to the well-being and harmony of the wider community. Within the Nikyob context, communal ethics finds practical expression through Sweb, which encourages members to support one another, preserve peace, protect the vulnerable, and promote social cohesion.

Personhood

Personhood refers to the status, identity, and moral worth of the human person. African communitarian philosophers generally argue that personhood is relational and develops through participation in communal life (Menkiti, 1984; Metz, 2018). In contrast, the biblical understanding of personhood begins with the doctrine of the Imago Dei, which teaches that every human being possesses inherent dignity because all are created in the image of God (Genesis 1:26–27). While this study recognizes the relational dimension of personhood emphasized in African philosophy, it maintains that human dignity is ultimately grounded in God's creative and redemptive purposes rather than solely in communal recognition or participation.

Community

Community refers to a network of people bound together by shared identity, mutual relationships, common values, and collective responsibilities. Within African societies, the community extends beyond the nuclear family to include the extended family, clan, neighbours, and, in many cases, ancestors and future generations (Mbiti, 1969). Biblically, community is understood as a covenant relationship established by God and expressed through justice, love, mutual service, and shared responsibility. The New Testament portrays the Church as the Body of Christ, in which believers are united despite differences in ethnicity, social status, or gender (1 Corinthians 12:12–27). This



study understands community as both a social and theological reality that nurtures human flourishing and reflects God's intention for human relationships.

Human Dignity

Human dignity refers to the inherent worth and value of every human person. In Christian ethics, human dignity is grounded in the belief that all people are created in the image of God and are therefore deserving of respect, justice, and protection (Middleton, 2005). African communitarian ethics similarly affirms human dignity through practices of mutual care, hospitality, and social solidarity. Within Sweb, dignity is preserved by ensuring that vulnerable members of the community receive support in ways that protect their honour and self-respect. The study argues that the biblical doctrine of the Imago Dei provides the most comprehensive theological foundation for understanding and safeguarding human dignity.

Christian Ethics

Christian ethics is the theological discipline that examines moral life in the light of God's revelation in Scripture, the person and work of Jesus Christ, and the guidance of the Holy Spirit. It seeks to understand how believers ought to live faithfully before God and in relationship with others. Christian ethics emphasizes love, justice, holiness, compassion, reconciliation, stewardship, and the protection of human dignity (Hays, 1996; Wright, 2004). In this study, Christian ethics serves as the normative framework for evaluating the ethical values embodied in Sweb, affirming those that align with biblical teaching while calling for the transformation of those that are inconsistent with the gospel.

African Communitarianism

African communitarianism is a philosophical tradition that understands the individual as fundamentally relational and embedded within the life of the community. It emphasizes mutual dependence, shared moral obligations, cooperation, and solidarity as the basis for social order and ethical living (Gyekye, 1997; Metz, 2018). Although often associated with the concept of Ubuntu, African communitarianism is expressed in diverse forms across different ethnic groups. This study argues that Sweb represents a distinctive Nkyob expression of African communitarianism and contributes to broader discussions on African moral philosophy and Christian social ethics.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in African Communitarian Theory and Christian Social Ethics. These complementary theoretical frameworks provide the philosophical and theological lenses through which the indigenous ethical system of Sweb is examined and evaluated. While African Communitarian Theory explains the relational understanding of personhood and communal responsibility within Nkyob society, Christian Social Ethics provides the normative biblical framework for assessing indigenous ethical values in the light of Scripture.

African Communitarian Theory

African Communitarian Theory is one of the dominant ethical paradigms in African philosophy. It maintains that the individual is fundamentally a relational being whose identity, moral character, and well-being are shaped within the community. Unlike Western liberal theories that often emphasize individual autonomy and personal rights, African communitarianism stresses mutual



dependence, solidarity, reciprocity, and collective responsibility as the foundations of ethical life (Mbiti, 1969; Gyekye, 1997; Metz, 2018).

John S. Mbiti's (1969) famous expression, "I am because we are, and since we are, therefore I am," captures the essence of African communitarian thought. Mbiti argues that human existence is inseparable from relationships with family, community, and society. Similarly, Ifeanyi Menkiti (1984) contends that personhood is not simply a biological fact but a moral achievement realized through responsible participation in communal life. According to Menkiti, the community plays a central role in shaping moral identity and conferring social recognition.

Kwame Gyekye (1997), however, proposes a more balanced understanding through his theory of moderate communitarianism. He acknowledges the importance of communal obligations while affirming that individuals possess inherent dignity, rationality, and moral agency. This perspective avoids reducing the individual entirely to the community and recognizes that both communal welfare and individual rights are essential for a just society.

Thaddeus Metz (2007, 2018) further develops African communitarian ethics by arguing that morality is fundamentally relational. According to Metz, an action is morally right if it promotes harmonious relationships characterized by goodwill, mutual identification, cooperation, and solidarity. His relational moral theory has become one of the most influential contemporary articulations of African ethics and provides a robust philosophical foundation for examining indigenous moral systems.

Within the context of this study, African Communitarian Theory provides the conceptual framework for understanding Swab as an indigenous expression of relational ethics among the Nkyob people. The theory helps explain how communal labour, hospitality, mutual assistance, respect for elders, and collective responsibility contribute to the formation of personhood and the preservation of social harmony. It also provides an interpretive lens for understanding why human dignity within Nkyob society is closely connected to communal participation and reciprocal relationships.

Christian Social Ethics

While African Communitarian Theory explains the relational dynamics of Nkyob communal life, Christian Social Ethics provides the theological framework for evaluating these ethical practices according to biblical revelation. Christian Social Ethics is concerned with the application of biblical principles to personal, social, political, economic, and cultural life. It emphasizes that ethical behaviour flows from God's character and His redemptive purposes for humanity, calling believers to embody justice, love, compassion, reconciliation, stewardship, and faithful service within society (Hays, 1996; Wright, 2004).

The biblical foundation of Christian Social Ethics begins with the doctrine of the Imago Dei (Genesis 1:26–27), which affirms that every human being possesses inherent dignity because all are created in the image of God. This theological principle establishes the equality and worth of every person irrespective of ethnicity, gender, age, or social status. Consequently, Christian ethics requires respect for human dignity, protection of the vulnerable, and the pursuit of justice as expressions of obedience to God.



The Old Testament portrays Israel as a covenant community whose members were called to uphold justice, defend widows, orphans, and foreigners, and ensure that social relationships reflected God's holiness (Deuteronomy 10:17–19; Leviticus 19:9–18). The New Testament extends this vision through the life and ministry of Jesus Christ, whose teachings on love, forgiveness, servant leadership, and neighbourly care redefine community in terms of God's Kingdom (Matthew 22:37–40; John 13:34–35).

The Apostle Paul further develops this communal ethic through the metaphor of the Body of Christ (1 Corinthians 12:12–27), emphasizing that believers are interdependent members of one spiritual community. Christian Social Ethics therefore rejects both radical individualism and oppressive collectivism, advocating instead a community characterized by mutual service, equality, compassion, and sacrificial love. Christopher J. H. Wright (2004, 2010) argues that biblical ethics consistently integrates worship of God with social responsibility, demonstrating that genuine faith is expressed through justice, hospitality, and concern for the common good.

In this study, Christian Social Ethics functions as the normative theological framework through which the ethical values embedded in Sweb are critically assessed. While recognizing the moral richness of Nikyob communal traditions, the study maintains that Scripture remains the ultimate authority for affirming, transforming, or rejecting cultural practices. Thus, values within Sweb that promote justice, communal solidarity, hospitality, reconciliation, and the preservation of human dignity are affirmed as consistent with biblical teaching.

Integration of the Two Theories

The integration of African Communitarian Theory and Christian Social Ethics provides a comprehensive framework for achieving the objectives of this study. African Communitarian Theory explains the philosophical foundations of Sweb and illuminates the relational understanding of personhood within Nikyob society. Christian Social Ethics, on the other hand, provides the biblical and theological criteria for evaluating these indigenous ethical values in the light of God's revelation.

African Conceptions of Personhood and Community

The understanding of personhood within African philosophy differs substantially from dominant Western liberal traditions. Rather than viewing the individual as an autonomous and self-sufficient entity, many African philosophical traditions conceive personhood as relational, communal, and morally constituted. The individual becomes fully human through participation in the life of the community, where identity, moral character, and social responsibility are cultivated. Consequently, personhood is not merely a biological or psychological reality but also a moral achievement grounded in relationships of reciprocity, solidarity, and communal obligation.

Among the earliest scholars to articulate this perspective was John S. Mbiti (1969), whose famous assertion, "I am because we are, and since we are, therefore I am," captures the communal orientation of African life. Mbiti argues that the individual derives identity and meaning from membership within the community, which includes not only the living but also ancestors and future generations. Human existence is therefore inseparable from social relationships that preserve communal harmony and collective well-being (Mbiti, 1969).



Building upon Mbiti's work, Ifeanyi Menkiti (1984) contends that personhood is acquired through active participation in communal life rather than being automatically possessed at birth. According to Menkiti, society confers full moral status upon individuals as they demonstrate virtues such as responsibility, generosity, honesty, and respect for others. This normative conception of personhood emphasizes moral formation and ethical participation over individual autonomy.

Kwame Gyekye (1997), however, offers a more moderate interpretation of African communitarianism. While affirming the importance of communal relationships, he cautions against reducing the individual entirely to the community. Gyekye argues that African societies recognize both communal obligations and individual rights. Individuals possess inherent dignity, rationality, creativity, and moral agency, even though these capacities flourish best within supportive communal structures. His theory of moderate communitarianism provides a balanced framework that avoids both excessive collectivism and radical individualism.

More recently, Thaddeus Metz (2007, 2018) has developed a comprehensive ethical theory grounded in African relational philosophy. Metz argues that moral excellence consists in creating and sustaining harmonious relationships characterized by goodwill, mutual identification, cooperation, and solidarity. According to him, actions are morally right insofar as they promote social harmony and strengthen interpersonal relationships. His work has significantly advanced contemporary debates on African ethics by providing a systematic philosophical account of communal morality applicable to issues of justice, leadership, governance, and human dignity.

These philosophical perspectives provide an important framework for understanding the ethical worldview of the Nikyob people. Similar to other African communities, Nikyob society understands human beings as fundamentally relational. Individual identity is realized through participation in family life, communal labour, hospitality, conflict resolution, and mutual care. However, unlike many generalized accounts of African communitarianism, the Nikyob conceptualization of Sweb offers a distinctive indigenous framework that integrates social relationships, moral obligations, and communal responsibility into a coherent ethical system. Sweb therefore represents not merely a local cultural expression but an indigenous moral philosophy that deserves scholarly recognition within broader discussions of African ethics.

At the same time, contemporary scholarship increasingly recognizes that African communitarianism is neither static nor immune from criticism. Critics argue that strong communal expectations may suppress individual freedom, marginalize dissenting voices, reinforce patriarchal structures, or limit personal initiative (Matolino & Kwindigwi, 2013). These concerns have generated important debates regarding the balance between communal responsibility and individual autonomy in contemporary African societies.

Nevertheless, recent scholarship continues to affirm that properly understood African communitarian ethics remains a valuable resource for addressing social fragmentation, poverty, conflict, and declining social trust in many African contexts (Metz, 2018; Molefe, 2019). Rather than opposing human rights, relational conceptions of personhood can enrich contemporary ethical discourse by emphasizing that human dignity is protected most effectively within communities characterized by justice, mutual responsibility, compassion, and respect for every person.



Within this broader scholarly conversation, the present study argues that the Nikyob concept of Sweb contributes a significant yet largely overlooked dimension to African communitarian ethics. By emphasizing interconnectedness, shared responsibility, discreet acts of generosity, communal labour, and the preservation of personal dignity, Sweb offers an indigenous ethical framework that resonates deeply with biblical teachings on covenant community and Christian discipleship. Its systematic examination therefore fills an important gap in the literature on African Christian ethics and contextual theology.

Biblical Foundations of Personhood and Community

The biblical understanding of personhood is rooted in the doctrine of the Imago Dei (image of God), which affirms the inherent dignity and worth of every human being. Genesis 1:26–27 declares that humanity was created in the image and likeness of God, a theological affirmation that forms the basis of biblical ethics. Unlike cultures that assign value according to age, wealth, social status, or achievement, Scripture grounds human dignity in God's creative act. Every person therefore possesses intrinsic worth because each bears the divine image (Middleton, 2005). This understanding establishes both the equality of all persons before God and the moral obligation to respect and protect human life.

The image of God is not merely an ontological status but also carries ethical implications. Human beings are created to reflect God's character by living in relationships marked by love, justice, mercy, and stewardship. As Wright (2020) observes, the biblical narrative consistently portrays ethics as a response to God's covenant relationship with humanity. Thus, biblical personhood is inseparable from responsibility toward God, neighbour, and creation.

The Old Testament further develops this communal vision through Israel's covenant identity. Rather than calling isolated individuals, God formed a covenant people whose collective life was to reflect His holiness among the nations (Exodus 19:5–6). Membership within the covenant community entailed mutual obligations of justice, compassion, and care for vulnerable members, including widows, orphans, strangers, and the poor (Deuteronomy 10:17–19; 24:17–22). These laws reveal that biblical community is measured not by ethnic identity alone but by practical expressions of covenant faithfulness and social responsibility.

The institution of the Jubilee (Leviticus 25) further illustrates God's concern for restoring social equity and preventing perpetual poverty. Through debt cancellation, land restoration, and the liberation of slaves, Israel was reminded that economic relationships should reflect divine justice rather than exploitation. Christopher Wright (2004) argues that these covenantal institutions demonstrate God's commitment to preserving human dignity through social structures that promote justice, compassion, and communal responsibility.

The prophetic literature reinforces these ethical obligations by condemning societies that neglect justice while maintaining outward religious observance. The prophets repeatedly insist that genuine worship cannot be separated from righteous relationships. Isaiah denounces fasting that ignores oppression and poverty (Isaiah 58:6–10), while Micah summarizes covenant ethics in the well-known exhortation: "to act justly and to love mercy and to walk humbly with your God" (Micah 6:8). Likewise, Amos condemns economic exploitation and social injustice, emphasizing



that true devotion to God requires defending the rights of the vulnerable (Amos 5:21–24). These prophetic teachings underscore that biblical community is sustained through justice, mercy, and covenant fidelity rather than ritual performance alone.

The New Testament deepens this communal vision through the ministry of Jesus Christ. Jesus proclaimed the Kingdom of God as a community characterized by love, reconciliation, humility, forgiveness, and sacrificial service (Matthew 5–7). His ministry consistently crossed ethnic, social, and religious boundaries, demonstrating God's concern for the marginalized, including the poor, sinners, women, Samaritans, and Gentiles. The parable of the Good Samaritan (Luke 10:25–37) expands the meaning of neighbour beyond tribal or ethnic affiliation, teaching that genuine community is expressed through compassionate action toward those in need.

Jesus also established love as the defining characteristic of His followers. In John 13:34–35, He commands His disciples to love one another as He has loved them, declaring that such love would distinguish them before the world. This command transforms community from mere social association into a covenant fellowship rooted in self-giving love. Hays (1996) observes that New Testament ethics are fundamentally ecclesial, emphasizing that Christian moral life is lived within a community shaped by the life, death, and resurrection of Christ.

The apostle Paul further develops this theology through his metaphor of the Church as the Body of Christ (1 Corinthians 12:12–27). Believers possess diverse gifts and functions, yet they remain interdependent members of one body. Consequently, the suffering of one member affects the entire community, while the honour of one member becomes the joy of all. This vision rejects both excessive individualism and oppressive collectivism by affirming diversity within unity. Paul's exhortation to "carry each other's burdens" (Galatians 6:2) reflects the communal ethic that defines Christian discipleship.

Similarly, the early Jerusalem church embodied this communal ideal through voluntary sharing of resources, mutual care, hospitality, and concern for those in need (Acts 2:42–47; 4:32–35). Importantly, this sharing arose from transformed hearts rather than legal coercion. Green (2013) argues that the economic practices of the early church demonstrated the practical implications of the gospel in creating communities where generosity, justice, and solidarity became visible expressions of God's Kingdom.

These biblical themes reveal striking points of convergence with the Nikyob concept of Swab. Both affirm the importance of mutual responsibility, hospitality, communal support, reconciliation, and the protection of vulnerable persons. Practices such as communal farming, discreet assistance to families experiencing hardship, and the welcoming of strangers reflect ethical values that resonate with biblical teachings on bearing one another's burdens and loving one's neighbour.

Nevertheless, biblical ethics also provides a critical framework for evaluating indigenous traditions. While affirming communal solidarity, Scripture rejects cultural practices that undermine justice, human dignity, or faithfulness to God. Christian ethics therefore neither romanticizes nor dismisses indigenous cultures. Instead, it subjects every cultural value to the



authority of divine revelation, affirming what reflects God's purposes while transforming or rejecting practices inconsistent with the gospel (Niebuhr, 1951).

Accordingly, this study argues that Sweb should not simply be celebrated as a cultural heritage but critically interpreted through biblical theology. Such dialogue enables Christian ethics to appreciate the richness of Nikyob communal traditions while ensuring that their moral authority remains grounded in the lordship of Jesus Christ. In this way, Scripture becomes the normative standard through which indigenous ethical systems are both appreciated and reformed, contributing to a contextual Christian ethic that promotes human dignity, communal flourishing, and faithful discipleship.

Sweb as an Indigenous Ethical Framework among the Nikyob People

Among the Nikyob people of Southern Kaduna, communal life is sustained by an intricate network of social relationships, moral obligations, and shared responsibilities that this study conceptualizes as Sweb (Social Web). The term Sweb is employed in this study as an analytical concept to describe the interconnected moral fabric that binds individuals, families, and the wider community into a unified social order. Although the terminology itself is newly articulated for scholarly purposes, the ethical realities it represents have long characterized Nikyob communal life through indigenous customs, institutions, and everyday social practices.

Unlike contractual understandings of society that are primarily founded upon individual rights and personal interests, Sweb assumes that every individual exists within a web of reciprocal relationships. Personhood is therefore inseparable from communal participation. Membership within the community entails responsibilities toward family members, neighbours, elders, strangers, widows, orphans, and other vulnerable persons. Individual well-being is understood as inseparable from the well-being of the larger community.

This relational worldview closely resembles broader African communitarian philosophy but also possesses distinctive characteristics rooted in Nikyob history and culture. Whereas Ubuntu emphasizes the maxim that a person becomes a person through other persons, Sweb places particular emphasis upon practical acts of communal responsibility that preserve both social cohesion and personal dignity. Rather than merely affirming communal identity, Sweb encourages concrete actions through which members actively protect the welfare of one another.

One significant expression of Sweb is communal labour. Agricultural activities among the Nikyob traditionally involve cooperative participation during land preparation, planting, weeding, and harvesting. Families voluntarily assist one another without immediate expectation of financial compensation. Such cooperation strengthens interpersonal relationships, distributes labour more equitably, and reinforces the conviction that the success of one household contributes to the prosperity of the entire community. Beyond its economic significance, communal labour functions as an ethical institution that nurtures solidarity, generosity, humility, and mutual dependence.

Another remarkable manifestation of Sweb is the community's approach to social welfare. When a family experiences food shortage or economic hardship, relatives and neighbours often provide assistance quietly, sometimes replenishing food stores during the night without publicly identifying themselves. This practice reflects an ethical sensitivity that seeks not only to alleviate



material need but also to preserve the dignity and self-respect of the recipient. Assistance is therefore offered in ways that minimize shame and reinforce communal belonging. Such discreet generosity illustrates a sophisticated moral understanding of compassion that avoids creating dependence or public humiliation.

Hospitality likewise occupies a central place within the ethical framework of Swab. Visitors, strangers, and travellers are traditionally welcomed with food, shelter, and protection, irrespective of ethnic or social background. Hospitality is regarded not merely as a cultural courtesy but as a moral obligation arising from shared humanity. The stranger is treated with honour because membership in the human community precedes social distinctions. This practice contributes to peaceful coexistence, strengthens inter-community relationships, and reflects the Nikyob understanding that communal well-being extends beyond immediate kinship networks.

Respect for elders also constitutes an important component of Swab. Elders are regarded as custodians of communal memory, moral wisdom, and customary law. Through storytelling, proverbs, public deliberation, and conflict mediation, they transmit ethical values from one generation to another. Their authority, however, is traditionally exercised not for personal privilege but for the preservation of communal harmony, justice, and reconciliation. Decisions affecting the community are often reached through consultation and consensus rather than coercion, reflecting an ethical preference for dialogue and collective responsibility.

Conflict resolution within the Nikyob community similarly reflects the principles of Swab. Disputes are ordinarily addressed through communal mediation involving family representatives, elders, and respected members of the community. The primary objective is not punishment alone but the restoration of broken relationships and the reintegration of offenders into communal life where genuine repentance is demonstrated. This restorative orientation bears important similarities to contemporary restorative justice models, which prioritize reconciliation, accountability, and social healing over purely retributive approaches.

The ethical values embedded within Swab also shape attitudes toward leadership. Traditional leadership is expected to embody integrity, generosity, impartiality, and service to the community rather than personal enrichment. Leaders derive legitimacy not merely from hereditary succession or formal authority but from their demonstrated commitment to communal welfare. Leadership therefore carries moral responsibilities that are evaluated according to the extent to which leaders promote justice, protect vulnerable members, and preserve social harmony.

Despite these enduring strengths, Swab has increasingly come under pressure from rapid social transformation. Urbanization, migration, formal education, economic individualism, political competition, and globalization have weakened many of the communal institutions through which these ethical values were traditionally transmitted. Younger generations often experience reduced participation in communal labour, traditional conflict resolution, and extended family support systems. Consequently, social isolation, declining communal trust, and increasing individualism have begun to challenge the moral foundations that historically sustained Nikyob society.

Nevertheless, the continued relevance of Swab should not be underestimated. Contemporary challenges such as youth unemployment, communal conflict, poverty, social fragmentation, and



weakening family structures demonstrate the need for ethical frameworks capable of rebuilding social trust and collective responsibility. The relational values embodied within Sweb offer significant resources for strengthening community resilience, promoting peacebuilding, encouraging social inclusion, and fostering sustainable local development.

From the perspective of Christian ethics, Sweb represents an important example of what many missiologists describe as redemptive cultural values elements within indigenous cultures that resonate with biblical revelation and may serve as bridges for contextual theological reflection. At the same time, Christian engagement requires that every cultural practice be evaluated in the light of Scripture. Thus, while Sweb provides valuable ethical insights concerning community, solidarity, and human dignity, its ultimate normativity must remain subject to the transforming authority of the gospel of Jesus Christ.

Toward a Contextual Christian Ethical Framework

The dialogue between Sweb and biblical ethics demonstrates that indigenous moral traditions need not be viewed as obstacles to Christian faith. Rather, they may serve as important resources for contextual theology when critically interpreted through Scripture. Bediako (1995) argues that Christianity becomes authentically rooted within African societies not by rejecting indigenous cultures but by allowing the gospel to transform them from within.

Accordingly, Sweb provides an important ethical foundation upon which contextual Christian discipleship can be built. Its emphasis on communal responsibility, hospitality, restorative justice, generosity, and mutual care resonates deeply with biblical teaching and offers practical resources for addressing contemporary challenges such as social fragmentation, poverty, ethnic conflict, youth alienation, and weakening family structures.

At the same time, Scripture calls for the continual transformation of culture. Christian communities are therefore challenged to preserve those aspects of Sweb that reflect God's purposes while reforming any practices inconsistent with the gospel. Such a critical yet appreciative engagement avoids both cultural romanticism and cultural rejection. Instead, it affirms that Christ is Lord over every culture and that all human traditions find their fullest meaning and transformation in Him.

This integrative approach enables Nikyob communal ethics to contribute not only to local Christian practice but also to broader conversations within African Christian ethics, contextual theology, and peacebuilding. By bringing Sweb into dialogue with biblical revelation, this study demonstrates that indigenous ethical traditions can enrich theological reflection while remaining accountable to the authority of Scripture.

Implications for Christian Ethics and Community Development in Southern Kaduna

The dialogue between Sweb and biblical communitarian ethics has significant implications for Christian ethics, ecclesial practice, peacebuilding, and sustainable community development in Southern Kaduna. Rather than treating indigenous ethical traditions merely as cultural artifacts, this study argues that critically appropriated communal values can become important resources for strengthening Christian discipleship and promoting human flourishing in contemporary society. As rapid social change continues to reshape African communities, there is an urgent need for



ethical frameworks capable of addressing growing individualism, social fragmentation, economic inequality, and communal conflict.

Reclaiming Community as a Context for Christian Discipleship

One of the principal implications of this study is the recovery of community as the primary context for Christian moral formation. Contemporary Christianity in many African urban settings increasingly reflects individualistic patterns that emphasize personal success, private spirituality, and individual prosperity. While personal faith remains indispensable, biblical discipleship is fundamentally communal. The New Testament consistently portrays believers as members of one body whose spiritual growth occurs through mutual encouragement, accountability, worship, and service (Ephesians 4:11–16; Hebrews 10:24–25).

The communal orientation embodied in Sweb provides valuable resources for recovering this neglected dimension of Christian life. Practices such as shared labour, mutual assistance, hospitality, and collective responsibility remind the Church that discipleship extends beyond individual devotion to encompass active participation in the well-being of others. Churches in Southern Kaduna can therefore intentionally cultivate ministries that strengthen fellowship, encourage intergenerational relationships, and provide practical support for vulnerable members of their congregations.

Promoting Human Dignity through Christian Social Ethics

The findings of this study reaffirm that both Sweb and biblical ethics recognize the importance of protecting human dignity. Nevertheless, Christian ethics deepens this commitment by grounding human worth in the *Imago Dei* rather than in social contribution or communal recognition. Consequently, churches are called to defend the dignity of every person, including widows, orphans, persons living with disabilities, internally displaced persons, the elderly, and economically disadvantaged members of society.

In the context of Southern Kaduna, where communities have experienced episodes of violence, displacement, and social disruption, this theological affirmation provides an essential foundation for healing and reconstruction. Christian congregations should therefore develop ministries that combine evangelism with compassionate social engagement, including trauma care, educational support, poverty alleviation, healthcare outreach, and advocacy for justice. Such ministries demonstrate the holistic character of the gospel by addressing both spiritual and material dimensions of human life.

Preserving Indigenous Ethical Knowledge for Future Generations

Modernization, migration, digital technology, and changing patterns of family life have significantly weakened many traditional mechanisms through which ethical values were transmitted from one generation to another. Oral traditions, communal labour, storytelling, proverbs, and customary conflict-resolution practices are increasingly declining among younger generations.

This study therefore highlights the importance of documenting and preserving indigenous ethical knowledge before it is lost. Universities, theological seminaries, churches, cultural associations, and traditional institutions should collaborate in recording oral histories, ethical traditions,



proverbs, customary practices, and indigenous systems of moral education. Such documentation will not only preserve cultural heritage but also enrich African Christian theology, contextual ethics, and interdisciplinary scholarship.

Findings

Overall, the study demonstrates that Sweb is a rich indigenous ethical resource that affirms communal responsibility, protects human dignity, and promotes social harmony. While its values resonate strongly with biblical teachings on personhood and community, they require continual evaluation under the authority of Scripture. Properly appropriated, Sweb has significant potential to enrich Christian ethical discourse, strengthen the mission of the Church, promote reconciliation, and contribute to sustainable community development in Southern Kaduna and other African contexts.

Conclusion

This study has examined the relationship between Sweb, the indigenous communal ethical framework of the Nikyob people of Southern Kaduna, and the biblical understanding of personhood and community. Situated within the broader discourse of African communitarian philosophy and Christian social ethics, the study has argued that Sweb represents a coherent moral vision grounded in interconnectedness, mutual responsibility, hospitality, communal labour, restorative justice, and respect for human dignity.

The analysis has shown that there are substantial areas of convergence between Sweb and biblical communitarian ethics. Both affirm that human beings are created for relationships and that authentic community is expressed through compassion, justice, generosity, reconciliation, and shared responsibility. These convergences demonstrate that indigenous ethical traditions can provide valuable points of contact for contextual Christian theology and ethical reflection.

At the same time, the study has argued that Scripture remains the normative standard for evaluating every cultural tradition. While Sweb embodies many values consistent with biblical teaching, Christian ethics calls for the continual transformation of culture under the lordship of Jesus Christ. Indigenous practices that uphold human dignity and communal flourishing should be affirmed, whereas those that conflict with the gospel should be reformed or rejected. Such a critical yet appreciative approach avoids both cultural absolutism and cultural dismissal.

A major contribution of this study is its conceptualization of Sweb as an analytical framework for understanding Nikyob communal ethics. By bringing this indigenous ethical system into dialogue with African communitarian philosophy and biblical theology, the study fills an important gap in the literature on Christian ethics, contextual theology, and African indigenous moral traditions. It demonstrates that localized ethical systems, when carefully studied, can enrich wider scholarly conversations on personhood, community, and human dignity.

The study therefore concludes that Sweb is not merely a cultural heritage deserving preservation but also a significant ethical resource for contemporary Christian discipleship, peacebuilding, ethical leadership, and community development in Southern Kaduna. Churches, theological institutions, traditional authorities, educators, policymakers, and community organizations should



intentionally preserve and critically appropriate the constructive values embodied in Sweb while ensuring that they remain faithfully grounded in the authority of Scripture.

Recommendation

This study recommends further empirical research involving interviews, oral histories, and ethnographic investigation among Nikyob elders, community leaders, and church members. Such research would deepen scholarly understanding of Sweb and further illuminate the contribution of indigenous ethical traditions to African Christian ethics and contextual theology. By continuing this dialogue, scholars and practitioners alike may contribute to a more holistic vision of human dignity, communal responsibility, and faithful Christian witness within Africa and beyond.

References

- Bediako, K. (1995). *Christianity in Africa: The Renewal of a Non-Western Religion*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Chimakonam, J. O., & Cordeiro-Rodrigues, L. (Eds.). (2024). *African Ethics: A Guide to Key Ideas*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Gyekye, K. (1997). *Tradition and Modernity: Philosophical Reflections on the African Experience*. Oxford University Press.
- Hays, R. B. (1996). *The Moral Vision of the New Testament: Community, Cross, New Creation: A Contemporary Introduction to New Testament Ethics*. HarperSanFrancisco.
- Jecker, N. S. (2022). *African ethics, respect for persons, and moral dissent*. *Theoria*, 88(3), 666–678.
- Jecker, N. S., & Atuire, C. A. (2025). *Personhood: An emergent view from Africa and the West*. *Developing World Bioethics*, 25(2), 154–163.
- Keener, C. S. (2012–2015). *Acts: An Exegetical Commentary* (Vols. 1–4). Baker Academic.
- Matolino, B., & Kwindigwi, W. (2013). *The end of Ubuntu*. *South African Journal of Philosophy*, 32(2), 197–205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02580136.2013.817637>
- Matolino, B. (2022). *The Concept of Person in African Political Philosophy: An Analytical and Evaluative Study*. CODESRIA.
- Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African Religions and Philosophy*. Heinemann.
- Menkiti, I. A. (1984). *Person and community in African traditional thought*. In R. A. Wright (Ed.), *African Philosophy: An Introduction* (3rd ed., pp. 171–181). University Press of America.
- Metz, T. (2007). *Toward an African moral theory*. *The Journal of Political Philosophy*, 15(3), 321–341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2007.00280.x>



- Metz, T. (2018). *A Relational Moral Theory: African Ethics in and Beyond the Continent*. Oxford University Press.
- Middleton, J. R. (2005). *The Liberating Image: The Imago Dei in Genesis 1*. Brazos Press.
- Molefe, M. (2019). *An African Philosophy of Personhood, Morality, and Politics*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Molefe, M. (2020). *An African Ethics of Personhood and Bioethics: A Reflection on Abortion and Euthanasia*. Springer.
- Niebuhr, H. R. (1951). *Christ and Culture*. Harper & Brothers.
- Sanneh, L. (2008). *Disciples of All Nations: Pillars of World Christianity*. Oxford University Press.
- Wright, C. J. H. (2004). *Old Testament Ethics for the People of God*. InterVarsity Press.
- Wright, C. J. H. (2010). *The Mission of God's People: A Biblical Theology of the Church's Mission*. Zondervan.