JORAS, 15 (2026) pp. 218-222

When the Collar Meets the Campaign Trail: Navigating the Tightrope of Canon 287 §2 In Modernized Nigeria and Beyond

Alexander Thomas Yayock

Abstract
This article examines the tensions and theological-legal boundaries governing Catholic priests' involvement in partisan politics, with a specific focus on Canon 287 §2 of the Code of Canon Law. Through an analysis of the canonical text, recent Vatican directives, global case studies, and the unique socio-political context of Nigeria, this paper explores the delicate balance priests must strike between prophetic witness and improper partisanship. It argues that while the restriction is pastorally necessary to preserve the unity of the Church and the transcendent nature of its mission, it demands a nuanced understanding and clear local guidelines to be effective in politically charged environments.

1. Introduction: Why the Question Matters – When the Collar Meets the Ballot

In the bustling political arena of a nation like Nigeria, where election cycles are often marked by fervent rhetoric, ethnic mobilisation, and high stakes, every voice of influence is sought after. Among these voices, the moral authority of the clergy holds a unique power. The image of a priest in his clerical collar standing beside a candidate on a podium, or delivering a homily that veers into explicit electoral endorsement, is a potent one. It raises immediate questions: Is this a proper exercise of pastoral influence, or a dangerous blurring of sacred and secular lines? This tension is not unique to Nigeria; it echoes in the political landscapes of the United States, the Philippines, Latin America, and across the globe where faith and political identity intertwine.

The "hook" of the clerical collar on the campaign trail captures a fundamental dilemma for the Catholic Church: how should its ordained ministers, called to be "in the world but not of it," engage with the inherently divisive world of partisan politics? The Church is not apolitical; she engages the polis by forming

consciences and preaching social justice. Yet, direct partisan alignment risks fracturing the Eucharistic community, reducing the Gospel to a political manifesto, and compromising the priest's role as a father to all.

This article investigates the specific canonical rule designed to navigate this minefield: Canon 287 §2. We will dissect its text, explore its theological underpinnings, highlight its key restrictions and permissible avenues, and examine real-world case studies from Nigeria and abroad. By doing so, we aim to provide clarity for the faithful, guidance for clergy, and a contribution to the ongoing discourse on the Church's role in democratic societies.

2. **Canon 287 §2 in Context: The Letter of the Law**

Canon 287 §2 of the Code of Canon Law (1983) states succinctly: "Clerics are not to have an active part in political parties and in governing labour unions unless, in the judgment of competent ecclesiastical authority, the protection of the rights of the Church or the promotion of the common good requires it" (*Codex Iuris Canonici*).

As simple as this sentence may appear, it contains critical nuances. The core prohibition is against "active part" (*partem active*) in partisan entities. The canon implicitly distinguishes between the public and private spheres of a cleric's life. A priest's private political opinions, formed in conscience, are his own. However, the moment he leverages his public, sacramental identity as a cleric to advance a partisan agenda, he crosses into forbidden territory. The canon is not concerned with a priest's citizenship but with the public perception and use of his ordained office. The exception clause is stringent and rarely invoked, reserved for extreme situations where the very rights of the Church or the fundamental common good are under direct threat, and even then, only with explicit ecclesiastical approval.

3. **Key Restrictions: The Clear "Thou Shalt Nots"**

Canon 287 §2, reinforced by later documents like the Vatican's "Note on the participation of Catholics in political life" (2002) and the Congregation for the Clergy's "Directory for the Ministry and Life of Priests" (1994), outlines clear prohibitions:

- Running for Elective Public Office: This is almost universally forbidden, as it would necessarily involve the priest in partisan machinery and compromise his pastoral availability and symbolic unity.
- Public Endorsement of Parties or Candidates: Using the pulpit, church publications, or official social media accounts to urge support for a specific party or candidate is a direct violation.
- Holding Office in a Political Party: Serving as a party chairman, treasurer, or publicity secretary constitutes an "active part" and is prohibited.

- Delivering Partisan Campaign Speeches: Acting as a surrogate speaker at political rallies in clerical attire is seen as an appropriation of ecclesiastical authority for partisan goals.

Disciplinary Consequences: Breaches of this canon are considered serious. A bishop can issue formal warnings, impose canonical penalties, and in severe or persistent cases, remove a priest from his office or even initiate processes that could lead to suspension or dismissal from the clerical state. The discipline aims not merely to punish but to repair scandal and restore the integrity of the priestly ministry.

4. **Permissible Activities: The Arena of Prophetic Witness**
The restrictions do not render the priest politically mute. On the contrary, his role is vital but operates on a different plane. Permissible and even encouraged activities include:

- Preaching Moral and Social Doctrine: Teaching on the dignity of life, the option for the poor, solidarity, subsidiarity, and the ethical requirements of public service is central. A priest must "form consciences, not replace them."
- Non-Partisan Advocacy: Championing issues like good governance, anti-corruption, electoral integrity, peacebuilding, and social justice without tying them to a specific party's banner is not only allowed but is a pastoral duty. As Pope Francis emphasises, the Church must be a "field hospital" engaged in healing societal wounds, not a partisan combatant (*Evangelii Gaudium*).
- Speaking as a Pastor, Not a Politician: A priest can and should address moral issues that have political implications (e.g., condemning political violence or voter intimidation) from a perspective of universal moral principles, not partisan strategy.
- Encouraging Lay Vocation: A primary duty is to form, encourage, and support the laity in their specific vocation to transform the temporal order directly, including through political involvement. As Nigerian theologian Agbonkhianmeghe Orobator notes, the laity are the "primary actors in the task of social transformation" (Orobator 157).

5. **Case Studies/Illustrations: Lessons from the Front Lines**
The Nigerian Context: Nigeria provides rich, often contentious, examples. The outspoken role of clergy like Bishop Matthew Hassan Kukah of Sokoto Diocese is illustrative. Kukah's sharp critiques of government failures on security, corruption, and poverty are often perceived as political. However, he generally frames his interventions within the context of national morality, social justice, and the common good, rather than explicit partisan endorsement—a nuanced, if sometimes controversial, walking of the canonical tightrope. In contrast, instances where priests have openly campaigned for candidates from their own

ethnic group, or used Sunday announcements to direct parishioners' votes, have drawn private censure from bishops, aiming to avoid public scandal while enforcing discipline.

International Cases: In the United States, the 2004 case of Fr. Frank Pavone, National Director of Priests for Life, who placed a pro-life voting guide directly endorsing Republican candidates on the altar, sparked nationwide debate and rebuke from several bishops for violating the prohibition on partisan endorsement. Conversely, the clergy-led social justice movements like those against the Marcos regime in the Philippines or in parts of Latin America often operated in the ambiguous space where defending human rights and opposing tyrannical regimes blurred into political action, sometimes receiving local episcopal sanction under the "common good" exception.

6. **Pastoral & Legal Balancing Act: Safeguarding Trust, Empowering the Laity**
The wisdom of Canon 287 §2 lies in its pastoral goal: to safeguard the trust of the faithful. A priest must be a shepherd for all—supporters of APC, PDP, Labour Party, and others alike. Open partisanship shatters that unity, turning the Church into another faction. It also protects the priest from being instrumentalised by politicians seeking a veneer of divine approval.

Simultaneously, the canon respects and empowers the lay vocation. Catholic social teaching insists that the laity, by their specific calling, are charged with the renewal of the temporal order. When priests overstep into partisan politics, they inadvertently stifle this lay mission. The clergy's role is to provide the moral and spiritual framework—the "why"—while the laity executes the political application—the "how."

7. **Conclusion: Charting a Path Forward**
Canon 287 §2 serves as a necessary boundary, protecting the transcendent and unifying mission of the Church and her clergy in the face of partisan divisions. The "dos and don'ts" are clear: Do teach, form consciences, advocate for justice, and condemn evil from a moral standpoint. Don't run for office, endorse candidates, hold party positions, or use ecclesiastical platforms for partisan campaigning.

To navigate the intense political pressures in contexts like Nigeria, a call for clear, localised diocesan guidelines is urgent. Bishops' conferences and individual dioceses should develop pastoral letters and formation programs that translate Canon 287 §2 into concrete, context-sensitive directives for priests and the faithful. This will prevent ambiguity, offer support to priests under pressure, and educate the laity on the proper distinct but complementary roles of clergy and laypeople in the political sphere.

Ultimately, when the collar meets the campaign trail, it should not be as a partisan cheerleader but as a sign of contradiction—a reminder that our

ultimate hope is not in any political party, but in the transformative power of the Gospel, which challenges all political systems to greater justice, peace, and human dignity.

References

Codex Iuris Canonici. Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 1983.

Congregation for the Clergy. Directory for the Ministry and Life of Priests. Vatican Press, 1994.

Francis, Pope. Evangelii Gaudium. Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 2013.

Ilo, S. C. (2014). *The Church and Development in Africa: Aid and Development from the Perspective of Catholic Social Ethics*. Eugene, Oregon, US: Pickwick Publications.

John Paul II, Pope. Post-Synodal Apostolic Exhortation *Christifideles Laici*. Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 1988.

Kukah, M. H. (2011). *Witness to Justice: An Insider's Account of Nigeria's Truth Commission*. Ibadan: University Press.

Orobator, A. E. (2008). Theology Brewed in an African Pot. New York: Orbis Books, 2008.

Pontifical Council for Justice and Peace. Compendium of the Social Doctrine of the Church. Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 2004.

The Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith. "Doctrinal Note on Some Questions Regarding the Participation of Catholics in Political Life." L'Osservatore Romano, 16 Jan. 2003, pp. 6-9.

Ukah, A. (2017) "God, Votes and Violence: Rethinking the Role of Religion in Nigerian Politics", *Nigerian Journal of Sociology and Anthropology*, vol. 15, (1) 1-22.