

THE OLODO UPRISING: A PHILOSOPHICAL LOOK AT ANTI-INTELLECTUALISM AND MATERIALISM IN THE QUEST FOR NATIONHOOD AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

Vincent Gerald Onwudinjo
Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies,
Tansian University, Umunya,
Vincentgerald58@gmail.com; +2348100663655

Kanu, Ikechukwu Anthony, PhD
Department of Philosophy,
Veritas University Abuja,
ikee_mario@yahoo.com; +234 803 634 5466

ABSTRACT

This study thoughtfully analyzes the cultural influences that have obstructed Nigeria's advancement. It identifies the emergence of an "Olodo Culture," characterized by a societal attitude that celebrates ignorance and mediocrity while diminishing the value of knowledge, as a significant barrier to intellectual progress and sustainable development. This is further exacerbated by a pervasive materialistic mindset that emphasizes wealth accumulation at the expense of ethical accountability, contributing to the ongoing crises in Nigeria's education system, governance, and national unity. The research utilizes a philosophical analytical approach to examine and question the concepts of anti-intellectualism, materialism, and Olodo culture, revealing their contradictions and their impact in perpetuating systemic stagnation. Additionally, hermeneutical method was used to interpret Nigeria's historical, cultural, and political contexts, illustrating how these attitudes have been normalized in society and passed down through generations. The study's findings show that anti-intellectualism leads to mediocrity and ineffective leadership, while materialism promotes corruption, a focus on short-term gains, and a lack of commitment to collective welfare. Both trends erode educational institutions and compromise the ethical foundations necessary for sustainable development. To combat these issues, the study suggests reforms, including curriculum redesign, mentorship programmes, ethics-driven leadership initiatives, and community-based knowledge sharing as means for cultural revitalization. Conclusively, the paper asserts that Nigeria's journey toward nationhood and sustainable development demands a deliberate intellectual renaissance, where knowledge is esteemed, material pursuits are ethically tempered, and education is reinstated as the foundation for national transformation.

Keywords: Anti-Intellectualism, Materialism, Olodo Culture, Nation-building, Sustainable Development

1. INTRODUCTION

This topic can be seen as somewhat recent, as there has been scant academic engagement

with it. Nonetheless, the effects of anti-intellectualism in Nigeria have been extensively explored in the context of a related issue called brain drain syndrome or human capital flight. Although, this issue has been thoroughly examined, a more in-depth analysis of anti-intellectualism itself is necessary to comprehend the factors contributing to its prevalence in Nigeria, which leads to outcomes such as the brain drain syndrome, among other issues. It is important to note that the terms "Olodo" and "anti-intellectualism" are essentially synonymous or closely related. One is an English term, while the other is a Nigerian expression that refers to emptiness or not knowledgeable. Although some might classify "Olodo" as "Pidgin or mangled English" or a Nigerian slang, others argue that it originates from Yoruba or Benin, meaning "zero." An Internet user, Ewaen D. On Naira land forum, equally argued thus: "*Olodo* is a Benin word and not Yoruba. *Olodo* means a door frame. It was used as a slang word to mean a dull person, but it's original meaning from Benin Language is a door frame."¹ In this context, an Olodo is someone perceived as lacking knowledge or understanding, a dullard or slow learner (dunce). Janet Meizem is credited to use the term "Olodo Uprising" on Twitter to effectively highlight the growing trend of anti-intellectualism in Nigeria.²

While the term "uprising" typically implies a violent revolt or rebellion, in this research, it refers to a concerning disturbance or disruption. The phrase "Olodo Uprising" is interpreted to mean a troubling trend of mediocrity infiltrating various aspects of Nigeria's society, undermining meritocracy and becoming the prevailing norm and culture. This situation relegates intellectualism, creativity, and critical thinking to the background across politics, leadership, education, and other sectoral endeavours.

The significant risk presented by this trend is its detrimental impact on development. Despite Nigeria's abundant natural resources and human capital, it remains poor and underdeveloped. Before independence, Nigeria, despite valid grievances against colonialism, held great promise for its people, particularly through education. Missionary and colonial schools led to high literacy levels, and those who attended received quality education that equipped them for life. This education was accompanied by moral grounding, fostering individuals ready to contribute positively to society and nation-building. Unlike today's materialistic culture focused on individual wealth, the past was centred on collective good and moral values.

The generation of influential figures like Nnamdi Azikiwe, Obafemi Awolowo, Chike Obi, Chinua Achebe, and Wole Soyinka represents a time filled with intellectual prowess, producing many prominent writers, mathematicians, and scientists. Education and intellectualism were viewed as essential for success and nation-building. Achebe even referred to his contemporaries as "A Lucky Generation."³

However, the decline of education and the rise of mediocrity have rendered the Olodo culture a symbol of emptiness, threatening sustainable development. The prevalent notion that "school or education is a scam" reflects a belief that one can achieve success without quality education or through the path of an Educational institution (which could be true but wrong to demonize those who pass through it or to call it a scam). The educational system and Nigerian authorities have worsened this situation by neglecting necessary investments

in education, affecting its quality and effectiveness. This has led to a political class seemingly devoid of vision for nation-building, more focused on wealth accumulation for personal gain than the common good. Such ostentatious displays of affluence have influenced younger generations, who now pursue success through any means, often overlooking the values of education and discipline.

In contemporary Nigerian society, rampant materialism fueled by poverty has overshadowed the questioning of wealth sources, resulting in a diminished appreciation for educated and intelligent individuals in nation-building efforts. The focus has shifted from merit and ideas to financial power, with favouritism and tribalism further degrading the societal fabric, while projecting Nigeria as a prebendal State.

This study will examine the Olodo uprising concept and its impact on intellectualism, exploring how the relentless pursuit of material wealth has led to a decline in the values and ideas that education traditionally fosters. It aims to offer solutions to foster a sense of nationhood in Nigeria and promote sustainable development.

2. WHO IS AN OLODO?: CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION AND INTERPRETATION

"Olodo" is a Nigerian slang term commonly used in casual contexts to describe someone perceived as lacking intelligence, being uninformed, or slow to grasp concepts. According to Oluchi, while writing on the platform—*Medium*, noted that this Yoruba and Nigerian slang refers to an individual who is dim-witted, struggles academically, or lacks awareness. It translates to "someone who doesn't know books." It can serve as an insult or be employed in light-hearted teasing. When used in a self-deprecating manner, it indicates a sense of disorder or cluelessness in life, rather than a deficiency in intelligence.⁴

Capturing this issue further on Facebook, Ola Brown succinctly noted that:

The Olodo Uprising: The Rise of Anti-Intellectualism in Nigeria. In the 1960s, Professor Chike Obi was a national celebrity. Nicknamed "the great mathematician," he was celebrated across Nigeria simply for being brilliant at mathematics. There were songs written in his honor, schoolchildren aspired to emulate him, and when he walked into an event, he was recognized and revered not for wealth or political power but for intellectual achievement. Obi went on to become the first Nigerian to earn a PhD in Mathematics, trained generations of scholars, and won the UNESCO Science Prize in 1987. His fame sent a powerful message: knowledge itself could make you a national hero. Fast forward to today, and Nigeria still produces extraordinary mathematical talent. Each year, Nigerian students win global math Olympiads and science prizes. But unlike Chike Obi, their names rarely make headlines, no songs are written about them, and they are quickly forgotten outside small academic circles. The culture no longer celebrates intellectual mastery. Compare this to China, where winners of national mathematics competitions are treated as celebrities, profiled in major newspapers, and revered as proof of the nation's progress. In Nigeria,

by contrast, a young person with similar brilliance struggles for recognition - because intellectual excellence is not what we, as a society, choose to respect anymore. This was not always the case. Nigeria once stood at the intellectual forefront. Wole Soyinka became Africa's first Nobel laureate in Literature in 1986, while his contemporary Chinua Achebe, though controversially overlooked for the Nobel, reshaped world literature through *Things Fall Apart* and other works. For a time, intellectual excellence was not only possible but widely admired. *Olodo* - a Yoruba word loosely meaning "not good at school" has come to represent a social phenomenon where ignorance is not just tolerated but, actively glamorized. The *Olodo* Uprising is more than a social media phrase; it is a national crisis. A society that mocks intellect and glorifies ignorance cannot innovate, cannot compete, and cannot sustain democracy. If Nigeria is to reclaim its future, it must rediscover the spirit of Chike Obi - a culture where being a thinker, a problem-solver, and a scholar is something to be celebrated, not sneered at.⁵

However, there have been those who argued that an *Olodo* cannot be someone who is dull in all areas, as this could be existentially nihilistic or too exaggerated. It has been argued that someone has to be good at something, no matter how insignificant or inconspicuous. For instance, there are those who are not so academically inclined but have gone on to do well in business and other enterprise. There are those who are not proficient with spoken or written English but are employers of labour and wealthy business owners. Someone who is not among the very intelligent in class, is a good mechanical engineer and can fix any vehicle having mechanical issues, even more than those who learnt mechanical engineering in the university or other tertiary institutions. Some have also pointed out that some Sports persons, like in football or so, were not always the best in class. In fact, they do not come to school for the most part or do so without seriousness or complacency but are always skilled on the pitch or even when in school, do well on the playing field than in the classroom. This raises a conundrum of who is actually an *Olodo*? Is it the one who fails to understand or grasp technical, educational concepts easily or the one who amounts to nothing at all or not skilled or good at anything in life? This raised question can be subject to further hypotheses and future discursive pattern.

However, it is also a matter in psychological domain that all educational challenges in students cannot be pointed out as an *Olodo* issue. Some are from the place of psychological disorders needing therapy. Such issues or disorders like: Anxiety Disorders (such as Generalized Anxiety Disorder or Social Anxiety), Mood Disorders (like Depression or Bipolar Disorder), Neurodevelopmental Disorders (such as ADHD or Autism Spectrum Disorder), Behavioural Disorders (including Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Conduct Disorder), Eating Disorders, and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and even autism, can impact gravely on academic performance, learning outcomes and overall well-being.

In addition to the foregoing and previously mentioned argument, the primary focus of this study is not necessarily to examine the concept of *Olodo* as a strict educational discourse, even though, it is part of it but rather to analogously employ it [*Olodo* concept] as a

metaphor for anti-intellectualism, which poses a significant obstacle to sustainable development and nation-building, particularly in Nigeria's increasingly materialistic society, where superficiality often eclipses depth. The foundation of a thriving nation lies in ideas, creativity, intellectualism, and critical thinking. For intellectualism to flourish, the populace must be engaged and eager for knowledge, rather than complacent or uninformed. The rise of the *Olodo* mentality is a troubling issue undermining the fabric of Nigerian society, affecting even the youth, who are the future leaders. Addressing this concern has become a crucial topic of discussion, motivating this study's exploration.

3.THE HISTORY OF ANTI-INTELLECTUALISM AND THE DECLINE OF EDUCATION IN NIGERIA

Anti-intellectualism, characterized by a disdain for intellectual pursuits, expertise, and critical thinking, has manifested in various forms throughout different societies. Richard Hofstadter's foundational insights identified its origins in political, religious, and cultural trends that value practical achievements more than academic knowledge and prioritize feelings over thoughtful consideration.⁶ In Nigeria, anti-intellectualism developed distinctive features: suspicion of the university as an enclave of dissent; the elevation of wealth, charisma, or clerical authority as alternative sources of "truth"; and a policy pattern that expanded enrolment while eroding quality. The result has been a decades-long weakening of educational institutions, teacher professionalism, and public trust in knowledge itself. To see how this happened, we need a brief historical lens that connects (1) the colonial formation of Nigerian schooling, (2) post-independence expansion and reform, (3) the austerity and structural adjustments of the 1980s–1990s, and (4) twenty-first-century crises: funding shortfalls, insecurity, politicized governance, and cultural currents that devalue intellectual work.

3.1 Post-Independence Optimism: UPE, JAMB, and the National Policy on Education

The 1960s–70s saw dramatic expansion. The Universal Primary Education (UPE) programme launched nationwide in 1976 under the Obasanjo military government sought to universalize access and rapidly build classrooms and teacher capacity. A quasi-experimental study of the UPE rollout (1976–81) finds substantial gains in schooling attainment among cohorts exposed to the policy, even amid implementation challenges.⁷

The State also standardized admissions into tertiary institutions. In 1978, the Joint Admissions and Matriculation Board (JAMB) was established by decree to organize matriculation examinations and coordinate placements, signalling a push toward meritocratic order in a fast-growing system.⁸

A major statement of national vision arrived with the National Policy on Education (NPE), first issued in 1977 and revised repeatedly (1981, 1993/1998, 2004, 2007, 2013). The NPE articulated the famous 6-3-3-4 structure (six years primary, three junior secondary, three senior secondary, four tertiary), later morphing to a 9-3-4 basic/secondary model.⁹ The policy aimed to align education with national development, citizenship, and self-reliance.¹⁰ In practice, however, expansion outpaced investment in teacher training, facilities, and inspection.

3.2 The Turning Point: Austerity, Structural Adjustment, and the University

The collapse of oil prices in the mid-1980s precipitated macroeconomic crisis. In 1986 Nigeria adopted an IMF- and World Bank-backed Structural Adjustment Program (SAP), triggering currency devaluation, import compression, and deep cuts in public investment.¹¹ Research on the period documents a sharp fall in capital grants to universities from the mid-1980s, with erosion of autonomy, brain drain, and deteriorating facilities.¹²

The Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU) formed in 1978, emerged as the academy's chief defender. Its confrontations with the state escalated under military rule: following a 1988 strike over autonomy and funding, the Babangida regime proscribed ASUU (later reinstated), setting a pattern of periodic closures.¹³ The long 2022 strike, February 14 to October 14, was only the most recent crescendo in a cycle driven by unimplemented agreements on revitalization funds, earned allowances, and university payroll autonomy.¹⁴ SAP-era austerity did more than thin budgets; it helped seed anti-intellectual tropes. As conditions worsened on campuses, overcrowded lecture halls, under-resourced labs, unpaid salaries, the image of the intellectual shifted from nation-builder to “obstructionist” or “irrelevant.” Military decrees and propaganda painted university dissent as political subversion. The result was a public sphere less inclined to see scholars as partners in policy.¹⁵

3.4 Anti-Intellectualism as Cause and Consequence

Across these decades, anti-intellectualism has been both driver and product of decline. When politicians dismiss research, underfund universities, or reward patronage over expertise, they validate public cynicism about the value of learning. When influencers and pulpit stars overshadow scientists and scholars in public deliberation, the market for rigorous ideas shrinks. Conversely, when schools are overcrowded, teachers underpaid, and curricula politicized, citizens experience education as ineffectual and turn to alternative authorities. The cycle is not uniquely Nigerian; it echoes regional and global trends. But Nigeria's scale, federated governance, security challenges, and intense media sphere give its version distinctive force.

4.EFFECT OF OLODO CULTURE/ ANTI-INTELLECTUALISM IN NIGERIA TODAY

The phenomenon of anti-intellectualism and its local expression in what may be called the “Olodo Culture” represents one of the most disturbing developments in contemporary Nigerian society. Though the concept is relatively novel in academic discourse, it is a lived social reality that has gradually taken root in Nigerian politics, education, culture, and leadership. The term Olodo—originating from Yoruba and sometimes linked to Benin—denotes a person who is ignorant, slow, or “empty”, as already stated earlier in this study. While traditionally used as slang to describe poor academic performance, the phrase has been elevated into a cultural metaphor signifying a broader disdain for knowledge and

intellectualism. Thus, “Olodo Culture” mirrors the global phenomenon of anti-intellectualism but is distinctly Nigerian in its articulation and consequences. The effects include but not limited to:

4.1 The Decline of Educational Prestige

Nigeria once prided itself on producing towering intellectual figures who shaped both the nation and the wider world. The likes of Nnamdi Azikiwe, Obafemi Awolowo, Chinua Achebe, and Wole Soyinka symbolized the power of education to transform individuals into nation-builders. Achebe himself described his cohort as “a lucky generation,” blessed with opportunities for quality education and the chance to apply it to the service of the nation.¹⁶ Education, at that time, was not only about individual advancement but also about collective moral responsibility and nation-building. Today, this vision has been replaced by a materialistic culture that trivializes education.

The growing popularity of the slogan “school na scam” exemplifies this cultural shift. Many young people see formal education as a waste of time, pointing to examples of individuals who have attained wealth without formal schooling. While entrepreneurship outside formal institutions is commendable, demonizing intellectual effort and reducing knowledge to a “scam” delegitimizes the very foundation of sustainable development. Such attitudes stem partly from state neglect of the educational system, where underfunding, poor infrastructure, and frequent strikes have weakened institutions. As a result, mediocrity flourishes, and many who ascend political or economic ladders lack the intellectual grounding to provide visionary leadership.

4.2 Anti-Intellectualism in Leadership and Politics

The infiltration of *Olodo* Culture into Nigeria's political class is particularly alarming. Whereas the earlier generation of leaders were largely intellectuals trained in the finest institutions of their time, today's leaders often dismiss critical inquiry and substitute governance with shallow populism. The result is a system where mediocrity is normalized, and material display replaces merit. Such anti-intellectualism not only impoverishes policy-making but also discourages intellectuals from entering politics. This erosion of intellectual leadership has reinforced Nigeria's prebendal state, where positions are pursued for personal enrichment rather than for advancing the common good. This trend has worsened under the current leadership of President Bola Ahmed Tinubu. Nigeria's governance style has shifted to focus more on party politics and cronyism rather than meaningful development or efforts to lift millions of Nigerians out of the poverty caused by poor governance. The recent administrations of Buhari and Tinubu have significantly degraded Nigeria's reputation on the international stage, resulting in it becoming a subject of ridicule among nations, as reflected by public sentiment. Governance has reached an all-time low, with citizens feeling disconnected from a government that enforces harsh policies that further increase their struggles. Those appointed to positions of power often have questionable backgrounds and credentials, with both Buhari and Tinubu embroiled in certificate scandals, particularly Tinubu's, which remains a lingering issue in public discourse. Despite this, he serves as the president of Nigeria, the most populous black

nation in the world. His administration is not anchored on any intellectually- driven parameters, at least, one that has public confidence or well supported by citizens.

4.4 Cultural Shifts and the Glamourisation of Ignorance

The wider culture reflects this decline. In the 1960s, Professor Chike Obi was celebrated as a national hero for his brilliance in mathematics, inspiring generations of students. Today, even though Nigerian students continue to excel in international Olympiads, their achievements barely make headlines, while entertainers and wealth-driven figures dominate the public imagination. The message is clear: intellectual excellence no longer commands respect in Nigeria. Instead, ignorance, mediocrity, and even fraudulence are glamorised. This cultural orientation has dangerous consequences. It creates a society where innovation is stifled, where young people are encouraged to chase shortcuts rather than discipline, and where democratic ideals are undermined. A people that mock intellect while glorifying ignorance will inevitably struggle to produce the kind of problem-solvers and innovators needed for twenty-first century challenges. Comparatively, countries like China celebrate their brightest minds as symbols of national progress, whereas Nigeria's *Olodo* Culture relegates its intellectuals to obscurity.

4.5 The Social and Economic Costs

The effects of *Olodo* Culture extend beyond politics and cultural values into the realm of economic development. Nigeria, despite its vast human and natural resources, remains underdeveloped, in part because it has failed to build systems that value intellectual labour. Anti-intellectualism weakens the educational system, discourages innovation, and disincentivizes research. Furthermore, it undermines meritocracy by substituting intellectual competence with favoritism, tribalism, and raw financial power. This shift creates a society where wealth, regardless of its sources, is celebrated, while knowledge and creativity are disregarded. The outcome is a cycle of underdevelopment: poor educational investment breeds mediocrity, mediocrity leads to poor governance, and poor governance perpetuates underdevelopment. Worse still, younger generations grow up with distorted values, believing that education and critical thinking are irrelevant to success. This trend is socially corrosive, as it produces citizens less equipped to hold leaders accountable, thereby reinforcing authoritarian tendencies and weakening democratic culture.

The entrenchment of *Olodo* Culture in Nigerian society is not a harmless trend but a profound national crisis. It erodes the value of education, produces incompetent leadership, undermines meritocracy, and glamorizes ignorance at the expense of knowledge. If Nigeria is to reclaim its developmental promise, it must revive the spirit of its intellectual past—a spirit where intellectualism is celebrated, critical thinking is cultivated, and education is restored to its rightful place as the cornerstone of national progress. Rediscovering this ethos is not merely a cultural necessity but an existential requirement for Nigeria's survival in a knowledge-driven global economy.

5. THE CHALLENGE OF MATERIALISM IN THE NIGERIAN CULTURE OF

TODAY: A PHILOSOPHICAL LOOK

Materialism has long been one of the central debates in philosophy, representing both a metaphysical outlook and a cultural disposition toward life. In its philosophical sense, materialism is the doctrine that reality is fundamentally composed of matter and that all phenomena—including consciousness—can be explained by physical processes. In its cultural application, materialism often denotes an excessive attachment to material wealth, possessions, and physical comforts at the expense of spiritual, moral, or communal values. Nigeria today is deeply affected by this latter form of materialism, as its cultural, political, and social life increasingly revolves around wealth acquisition, status display, and consumerism. This essay provides an analytical basis of materialism in philosophy and applies it to the materialistic culture currently bedeviling Nigeria.

5.1 Philosophical Analysis of Materialism

Philosophically, materialism has roots in ancient Greek thought. Thinkers such as Democritus and Epicurus argued that reality is reducible to atoms and void, emphasizing a naturalistic worldview in which no immaterial soul or supernatural explanation was necessary.¹⁷ This metaphysical stance contrasted with idealism, as represented by Plato, who held that immaterial forms were more real than material things. In modern philosophy, materialism gained renewed strength through the mechanistic science of the 17th century and was later reinforced by Marxist philosophy, which advanced historical materialism. According to Karl Marx, the material conditions of society—its economic structures—determine consciousness, culture, and political life.¹⁸

Materialism in philosophy, therefore, comes in two forms: ontological materialism, which affirms matter as the only substance, and practical or ethical materialism, which sees material wealth and physical satisfaction as the highest human good. The former is primarily metaphysical, while the latter is cultural and ethical in its implications. When these two intersect, societies often develop worldviews in which spiritual, moral, and intellectual dimensions of life are subordinated to economic or material considerations.

5.2 Materialism in Nigerian Culture

In the Nigerian context, materialism has assumed the form of a pervasive cultural challenge. Wealth is not only a symbol of success but often the sole determinant of respect, status, and authority. The “get-rich-quick” mentality is widespread, shaping the aspirations of both the youth and the political class. This cultural materialism can be linked to Nigeria's colonial and post-colonial experience, where economic exploitation and later oil wealth contributed to distorted values that equated prosperity with virtue and poverty with failure.¹⁹

Philosophically, this Nigerian materialistic orientation reflects ethical materialism, that is: the pursuit of wealth and comfort as the highest human good. The result is a culture where intellectual achievement, moral integrity, and communal solidarity are devalued. This is evident in the rise of internet fraud (popularly called “Yahoo Yahoo”), ritual killings for

wealth, ostentatious lifestyles, and corruption in public service. Nigerian politics in particular illustrates the materialist challenge, as public office is frequently viewed as an avenue for wealth accumulation rather than a platform for service. This parallels what Thorstein Veblen described as “conspicuous consumption,” where social honor is sought through the visible display of wealth.²⁰ Moreover, materialism has corroded traditional values of communal life in Nigeria. The Igbo concept of *Igwebuike* (strength in unity), the Yoruba value of *Omoluabi* (character and integrity), and the Hausa notion of *Mutumin kirki* (the good person) are increasingly overshadowed by individualistic pursuits of wealth. In philosophical terms, Nigeria is facing a crisis of values where materialism has become the dominant ethos.

5.3 Toward a Philosophical Response

The challenge of materialism in Nigeria calls for a rethinking of cultural priorities. Philosophically, the antidote lies in reclaiming non-material values such as virtue, justice, truth, and communal solidarity. As Aristotle observed, human flourishing (*eudaimonia*) is not reducible to wealth but to the cultivation of virtue and the fulfillment of rational and moral capacities.²¹ Similarly, African philosophers such as Kwame Gyekye have argued that African communalism must balance the legitimate pursuit of material well-being with moral and spiritual responsibilities.²² Therefore, a philosophical look at Nigerian materialism reveals a society in need of ethical reorientation. Wealth is not inherently evil, but its deification undermines the moral fabric of society. Unless Nigeria re-centres its cultural and political life on higher values beyond wealth, the consequences will continue to manifest in corruption, moral decay, and social instability.

Materialism, in its philosophical sense, is a doctrine affirming the primacy of matter. Applied ethically, however, it describes the cultural obsession with wealth and possessions. Nigeria today reflects the negative side of ethical materialism, where wealth acquisition dominates cultural values, political life, and social aspirations. To address this challenge, Nigeria must philosophically and practically recover the primacy of virtue, truth, and communal solidarity. This calls for a cultural shift that recognizes material goods as means to human flourishing, not as ends in themselves.

6. ANTI-INTELLECTUALISM, MATERIALISM, AND THE CRISIS OF NATION-BUILDING AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

One of the major obstacles to sustainable development in Nigeria today is the interplay between anti-intellectualism and materialistic culture. Sustainable development, understood as development that “meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs,”²³ requires a society that values critical thought, innovation, and long-term planning. Yet Nigeria's socio-cultural environment has increasingly undermined these values. Anti-intellectualism, manifested in the devaluation of knowledge, education, and expertise, combined with a materialist ethos that prioritizes wealth accumulation over collective well-being, has created a structural impediment to Nigeria's sustainable growth.

Anti-intellectualism in Nigeria is often expressed through suspicion of scholars, disregard for evidence-based policymaking, and the marginalization of intellectual contributions to governance. As Peter Ekeh argued, the post-colonial Nigerian state inherited a bifurcated structure where modern institutions often lack legitimacy in the eyes of the populace.²⁴ In such a context, intellectuals and experts are sidelined in favour of patronage networks and populist sentiments. This has produced a political culture where policies are not informed by rigorous research but by expediency and the interests of ruling elites. When expertise is devalued, the capacity to generate innovative solutions for economic diversification, environmental protection, and technological advancement—core aspects of sustainable development—is severely weakened.

Coupled with anti-intellectualism is a materialistic orientation that permeates Nigerian culture. Wealth accumulation has become the dominant aspiration, overshadowing ethical values, knowledge, and service. Toyin Falola notes that colonial and post-colonial experiences fostered a culture where material wealth became the marker of success and respect.²⁵

The educational sector further demonstrates the destructive synergy of anti-intellectualism and materialism. Universities remain poorly funded, lecturers frequently strike due to unpaid salaries, and research receives little governmental support. This has driven a massive brain drain, with Nigerian professionals leaving for Europe, North America, and the Middle East in search of better conditions.²⁶ The migration of skilled workers in medicine, engineering, and education robs the country of the intellectual capital necessary for development. Meanwhile, materialistic values among the youth, fueled by social media and celebrity culture, encourage quick wealth through fraud or politics rather than scientific or technological pursuits.

Moreover, materialism undermines environmental sustainability. Urban expansion without planning, indiscriminate logging, and the unchecked exploitation of natural resources are all driven by profit motives without concern for ecological consequences. As Amartya Sen has argued, development should be seen as an expansion of human capabilities rather than merely the accumulation of wealth.²⁷ Nigeria's overemphasis on wealth accumulation without investing in knowledge and capacity-building undermines this vision, trapping the country in cycles of poverty, inequality, and ecological degradation and underdevelopment.

7. EVALUATION AND CONCLUSION

The erosion of education and intellectual culture illustrates a deep contradiction in Nigeria's identity. Kwame Appiah has argued that identities shape obligations and responsibilities within a community.²⁸ By neglecting intellectualism and celebrating mediocrity, Nigeria has embraced a distorted identity that equates nationhood with material success rather than collective responsibility and intellectual excellence. In this sense, the *Olodo* culture is not merely an individual weakness but a national crisis of self-

understanding.

Furthermore, the rise of materialism in Nigeria demonstrates the collapse of communal values that once sustained African societies. By abandoning these values in favour of conspicuous consumption and personal wealth, Nigeria has forsaken its communal ethic, thereby impeding sustainable development. In philosophical terms, the country has subordinated the common good to the logic of private gain.

Based on the foregoing, the following is being recommended in the most pragmatic sense:

1. Virtue Education Curriculum Reform:

By embedding philosophical ethics, African communal values, and critical thinking in curricula, this directly dismantles *Olodo* Culture (celebration of ignorance and mediocrity) and combats anti-intellectualism by re-centering education as a tool of virtue and wisdom rather than mere credentialism. It also curbs materialism by teaching that the good life transcends wealth.

2. National Centre for Intellectual and Ethical Leadership:

Training leaders in philosophy and evidence-based governance counters anti-intellectualism by ensuring that intellectual capacity becomes a prerequisite for leadership. It also undermines materialism by shifting political authority from wealth to knowledge and ethical integrity.

3. Media Reorientation Project:

By promoting intellectual debates and role models, this directly targets *Olodo* Culture by replacing the glorification of ignorance with admiration for intellectual excellence. It undermines materialism by reducing the celebrity culture of conspicuous consumption that dominates Nigerian media.

4. Community Knowledge Hubs:

Accessible libraries and digital hubs attack anti-intellectualism at the grassroots by making knowledge both available and attractive. They weaken *Olodo* Culture by equipping young people with tools to compete intellectually rather than seeking shortcuts. They also reframe material aspirations by encouraging self-development over fraudulent wealth.

5. Annual National “Wisdom and Innovation Festival”:

Celebrating scholars, inventors, and cultural custodians challenges materialism by showing that recognition and honor can be based on wisdom and service, not wealth. It weakens *Olodo* Culture by making intellectual achievement a source of pride, and it erodes anti-intellectualism by bringing intellectuals into the center of national life.

6. Integrity Index for Public Officials:

Publicly scoring leaders on ethical conduct and accountability directly tackles materialism by exposing corrupt enrichment, while simultaneously reinforcing intellectualism by rewarding evidence-based policymaking. It disrupts *Olodo* Culture by modeling seriousness and competence at the highest levels of governance.

7. Diaspora Knowledge Integration Programme:

Attracting Nigerian scholars abroad reverses anti-intellectualism by making knowledge production visible and valuable within Nigeria. It reduces *Olodo* Culture by connecting

local communities with global expertise. It also challenges materialism by shifting aspirations from migration for wealth alone to migration for knowledge transfer and national development.

In conclusion, the evaluation of anti-intellectualism and materialism reveals that Nigeria's struggle for sustainable development is ultimately a struggle for its moral and intellectual soul. The *Olodo* Uprising is thus a rebellion of misplaced values against the very ideals: wisdom, knowledge, virtue, and communal solidarity, that can secure true nationhood. To overcome this crisis, Nigeria must reimagine itself, placing intellectualism, virtue, and ethical responsibility at the heart of its developmental project. Only then can the nation embody an identity that aligns material progress with moral rectitude and sustainable growth.

ENDNOTES

1. Nairaland Forum, "What is the Definition of 'Olodo'?" *Nairaland Forum*, <https://www.nairaland.com/34070/what-definition-olodo>.
2. Ola Brown, "The Olodo Uprising and the Rise of Anti-intellectualism in Nigeria," *Ivory NG*, September 2, 2025, <https://www.ivory-ng.com/the-olodo-uprising-the-rise-of-anti-intellectualism-in-nigeria/>.
3. C. Achebe, *There Was a Country* (UK: Penguin Books, 2012), 39.
4. Oluchi Archives, "Olodo," *Medium*, August 12, 2025, <https://medium.com/@oluchiarchives/olodos-core-c7f61f350d50>.
5. Brown, "The Olodo Uprising and the Rise of Anti-intellectualism in Nigeria,"
6. Richard Hofstadter, *Anti-Intellectualism in American Life* (New York: Knopf, 1963), 17–19.
7. A. B. Fafunwa, *History of Education in Nigeria* (London: George Allen & Unwin, 1974), 15–22; reprint ed. (London: Routledge, 2018).
8. Federal Military Government of Nigeria, *Joint Admissions and Matriculation Board Decree* No. 2 of 1978, Official Gazette Supplement, February 16, 1978.
9. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *National Policy on Education* (Lagos: Federal Ministry of Information, 1977), 5–8; revised eds. 1981, 1998, 2004, 2013.
10. N. S. Okoroma, "Educational Policies and Problems of Implementation in Nigeria," *Australian Journal of Adult Learning* 46, no. 2 (July 2006): 242–263.
11. International Monetary Fund, "Structural Adjustment in Nigeria," *Finance & Development* 24, no. 3 (September 1987): 22–25.
12. Keith Hinchliffe, "Economic Austerity, Structural Adjustment and Education: The Case of Nigeria," *IDS Bulletin* 20, no. 1 (1989): 5–10.
13. Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU), "History & Struggles of ASUU," accessed September 10, 2025, <https://asuu.org.ng/about>.
14. Premium Times, "After Eight-Month Prolonged Strike, Here Are Nigerian Govt's Positions against ASUU's Demands," *Premium Times*, October 15, 2022, <https://www.premiumtimesng.com>.
15. Adepaju Aderonke, "The Dynamics of Forced Neoliberalism in Nigeria Since the 1980s," *Journal of Regional Analysis* 6, no. 2 (2014): 45–61.

16. C. Achebe, *There Was a Country* (UK: Penguin Books, 2012), 39.
17. Democritus, Fragments, trans. C.C.W. Taylor, in *The Atomists: Leucippus and Democritus* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999), 45–47.
18. Karl Marx, *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy*, trans. N.I. Stone (Chicago: Charles H. Kerr & Company, 1904), 11–12.
19. Toyin Falola, *Colonialism and Violence in Nigeria* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2009), 88–90.
20. Thorstein Veblen, *The Theory of the Leisure Class* (New York: Macmillan, 1899), 75.
21. Aristotle, *Nicomachean Ethics*, trans. Terence Irwin (Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing, 1999), 1095a15–20.
22. Kwame Gyekye, *Tradition and Modernity: Philosophical Reflections on the African Experience* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997), 42–43.
23. *World Commission on Environment and Development, Our Common Future* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987), 43.
24. Peter P. Ekeh, “Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement,” *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 17, no. 1 (1975): 108–109.
25. Toyin Falola, *Colonialism and Violence in Nigeria* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2009), 88.
26. Adebayo Olukoshi and Osita Agbu, “The Deepening Crisis of Nigerian Universities,” *International Higher Education* 23 (2001): 16–18.
27. Amartya Sen, *Development as Freedom* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1999), 18–20.
28. Kwame Anthony Appiah, *The Ethics of Identity* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005), 114.