

THE EVOLUTION OF CREATIVITY: AI AND THE FUTURE OF ART

Musa Danjummai Mato

Department of Philosophy, Augustinian Institute
Makurdi
&

Benedict Michael PhD

Philosophy Department, Rev Fr. Moses Ashio Adasu
University, Makurdi

Abstract

Artificial intelligence has brought about significant changes in various creative domains, sparking discussions about the nature of art and its authenticity in the era of AI. Some scholars assert that the computer monitor now serves as a canvas, a brush, a musical instrument, and even an art tutor, leading us to explore deeper connections between AI and creativity. The fast-evolving artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed many domains, but its effects on aesthetics and creativity are a matter of heated controversy. In this article, the relationship between AI and creative work is considered, analyzing the effects that the spread of AI-generated works has on conventional assumptions regarding aesthetics, originality, and creative value. Although AI software has made creative work accessible to all and facilitates unprecedented ways of creative expression, it also provokes fundamental questions regarding the lack of human touch, emotional intensity, and cultural richness

of creative work. In the debate regarding the effects that the growth in AI has on the creative process, the spectator, and the commercialization of creativity, this article contends that the growth in AI can indirectly lead to the depreciation of the intrinsic value of art and the devaluation of aesthetic experience. Ultimately, this article demands a reflective debate regarding the retention of the human touch in art in a progressively automated environment, advocating for a compromise between technological advancement and the continued relevance of human creativity.

Keywords: Evolution, Human Creativity, Arts, Artificial Intelligence

Introduction

The confluence of art and technology has always been one of a dynamic, evolving relationship; with each technological innovation, art would change in creation, perception, and comprehension. Chatbots have enabled people to interact with artificial intelligence to a far greater extent in society. There is a feeling among many practitioners and the general public that we are amid a breakthrough, following fast-paced progress in the performance of deep generative models through new algorithms and scaling up of models and training sets. Art, the ultimate expression of human imagination, has been revolutionized throughout history. Art has changed as a reflection of our intellectual, technological, and cultural progress. As AI increasingly pushes into the

territory of art, questions of authenticity reverberate throughout the art world. Can a masterpiece created by an AI be equally valuable and emotionally expressive as one created by a great human artist? Does the absence of a human creator reduce or enhance the work's authenticity? These are certainly not merely philosophical ones; they get to the very core of how we view and enjoy art. This article explores the fascinating realm where art and artificial intelligence meet, posing fundamental questions regarding the future of art creation, authenticity, and the very essence of human creativity.

Contextualizing AI in the Creative Sphere

The use of artificial intelligence in the arts is a milestone in the history of the arts. Painting and music created with the aid of AI to algorithmic fiction are revolutionizing the boundaries of creativity (Crawford 1107). Despite the innovations promising much, they have raised essential questions on the future of the arts and beauty. Even as AI unlocks creativity and increases the probability of artistic expression, it risks denying the arts the human touch, with the likely erosion of the aesthetic and cultural value likely to be lost. It is submitted in the article that increased use of AI in the production of the arts may scatter the emotional, intellectual, and cultural significance of the arts and, in the long run, reduce the role of the arts as a reflection on the human experience.

Problems with the roles and significance of AI would seem to have limited bearing on human artistic creation. Interpreting a sculpture or painting would scarcely seem urgent in comparison to attempting to decipher the meaning of current advances in AI (Cetinic and She 15). Pitting the role of the influence of art on society against the potential role of generative AIs would seem inappropriate. After all, Hitsuwari et al are convinced that just like a fanciful poem or aesthetically rendered image from a generative AI is enjoyable, a piece of artwork is enjoyable in the same way; it's hard to see how listening to artwork in an exhibit hall, or the soundtrack to a movie or bestselling novel for that matter, would be as dangerous as a decision-maker (139) taking on face value a mismeasured or inaccurately-phrased response from a chatbot.

The Rise of AI in Art

Artistic evolution is a decade-to-decade ongoing complex transformation caused by technological, cultural, and societal revolutions. Painting, sculpture, and music have existed for centuries, and a man gifted them with the ability to evoke emotions, tell stories, and represent the human state (Scruton 74). But with the aid of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the arts field, the new art history began. AI gifted the brush of a new kind of approach to the imagination and opened doors for creators/artists to take the limits of creativity to unprecedented heights. AI

algorithms and machine learning allow artists to create the kind of artwork created half by the painter and half by the machine (Robinson 63). Thus, the imagination limit seems practically unlimited, from the creation of paintings with the aid of artificial intelligence to the creation of music, sculpture, and installation design. The incorporation of AI in the arts sparked the imagination debate and the role of the artist in the virtual era (Karnouskos 139). The collaboration between technology and the arts is not new. From the discovery of the camera revolutionizing visual representation to the creation of digital art software such as Photoshop, technology has continuously impacted the practice of the arts. AI is the next evolution in the advancement, bringing with it tools with the ability to create works of art on their own. Unlike the technology before it, which served as an extension of man's imagination, AI contains the ability to act alone and raise concern on the part of the artist in creating (AI Art: Does the Artist Hold the Pen Now?). to this however, the researcher argues that the Artificial Intelligence is limited in such a way that it cannot will to design something, it does not see anything as beautiful, appreciate it and is being moved to create it. The capacity to will an artwork, music, or sculpture is a purely human endeavor. That is why the AI only works on commands given by a human person.

AI-generated art has occupied the limelight in the not-so-distant past with the emergence of tools such

as DALL·E, MidJourney, and DeepDream, creating breathtaking visual representations. These systems apply machine learning algorithms trained on massive sets of pre-existing art to create new art. For instance, DALL·E can produce complex images based on text inputs, while DeepDream produces surreal, dream-like images. These technologies have been adopted by professional artists and amateur artists, and art-making has been democratized. Perhaps the most significant advantage of AI in art is that it democratizes art-making so that more people can engage in it (Baxter). People who do not have any kind of training in art can now produce inspiring works with the aid of AI technologies. Such art democratization can lead to innovation and experimentation, with more people engaging in the production of art. This, however, also predicts the undervaluation of traditional art skills as well as the possibility of too much flooding of the art market. The impact of AI on art is heterogeneous and far-reaching in how art and artists are produced in society. AI has been developing very quickly and is also known to completely transform the face of art in the future; new possibilities are opened up with the assistance of such technologies for artists. Kamouskos, in the article, *Artificial Intelligence in Digital Media* believes that to be able to achieve the benefits, drawbacks, and applications of AI in art, there needs to be consideration of the scope of the phenomenon currently (139). One of the largest advantages of using AI in art is that AI aids and enables people's

imagination. The AI algorithms can also examine big data and generate patterns and ideas that could not easily or perhaps be conceived through common thinking. This opens up new room for artistic development because artists will be able to try new things and produce art that will be provoking to the conventional society (Robinson 43). AI will also increase the practice of creativity and people's experience because it will allow artists to try new styles, new techniques, and variations in the medium at speed.

The Erosion of Creativity and Originality

Originality has been the cornerstone of artwork value for centuries. Concepts of creativity in the classical age place a strong emphasis on the eye and personal touch of the author in the artwork. AI defies this with the production of "original" work from patterns and data in existing artwork. The works may appear new, but are reproductions and copies; therefore, the question of the validity of AI work persists. The role of the artist in the AI era is transforming from production to curation of the artwork. Rather than conceptualizing the artwork from scratch, artists resort to AI tools in conceptualizing ideas or enhancing the artwork (Cetinic and She 12). The shift compromises the personal touch of the artist in the artwork because the intellectual and emotional labour of conceptualizing the artwork goes to algorithms. The lack of the human touch may lead to artwork that is

lacking in depth and meaning. The use of AI in artwork production has drawbacks as well, and these must be taken into consideration. Another diaspora is control and personal expectation in AI-generated artwork because the thought of creation and the artificial brain in AI raises serious questions about the soul in the artwork. However, democratic artwork with the use of AI is one of the issues with issues, such as copyright, ownership, and cultural appropriation. In big cases such as works of art with or by using AI, the conditions under which authorship and hence the rights for ownership are determined become a burning issue because of the intersection of AI with artwork in the grand narrative of tested and tried tools of authorship (Bozkurt et al 801).

The Commodification of Art

The AI revolution sped up the commodification process and turned it into a mass-produced commodity. AI software is capable of creating thousands in just a few minutes and flooding the market so much so that traditional or handmade artwork will not be valuable anymore. The commodification may depreciate the art into a mere commodity and take away the cultural and emotional value the artwork is imbued with. Lauren Gannod, in his article *"The dangers of commodifying art amongst AI: Missing the pleasure of crafts and creations"* voiced his opinion that:

Art is a purely humanistic talent; it was created using human hands with human

creativity. But over time, art has gone from being viewed as a traditional craft to a technological pursuit, and part of that includes the advent of AI art. For those unaware, "AI art" refers to when an image generator produces an image in seconds utilizing human artwork that developers feed into the system to train the algorithm.

In the art world, creating with generative AI and attributing it to oneself is cause for debate and ridicule. A lot of it is due to artists' concern regarding the way they are treated, never even asked if they would be okay with people's use of their content to train algorithms, and the protection of their employment status. People are frightened for their living; what they take minutes and days to accomplish, an AI generator accomplishes in mere seconds. Big companies are jumping on the AI bandwagon in every way imaginable. Marvel utilized AI artwork in the opening of *Secret Invasion*, for instance.

Ogunlowo went on to say that AI art destroys the creation process. We create art so we can enjoy the process of painting, sculpture, drawing, etc. If we make the creation process a speedy food kind of thing, we destroy the significance of the creation. Good art takes time, patience, talent, and passion. Good art is a culmination of all the skills the maker has developed in the form of a particular medium. If

we view and enjoy food for the eyes the same way we enjoy eating speedy food, we commodify something we should respect and take the time for. If we let companies provide us with AI-created content, we are ingesting soulless stuff. The more we promote human artists and attempt to keep creators' achievements secure, the more we will enjoy the delight of creating; we will not let companies pull the wool over our eyes and compensate the creators less than they are worth and earn money off slop (Crawford and Paglen, 1108).

The problem is not the AI art but the individuals; AI is now a tool for capitalism and excess (Baxter). It is affecting the labour of the artists. Now they will be thinking, "Why create when AI will create in minutes?" AI should not have meaningful employment with creation or inventions, but make life easier, not steal the livelihoods away. It is fulfilling to create something nurtured from the skills you have spent labor on, rather than typing in a prompt and having the bot create it for you. Trivedi et al went on to state that the rise in NFTs (non-fungible tokens) added complexity to the realm of the arts. AI-generated artwork is mostly sold in the form of NFTs, offering additional streams of income for digital artists. The phenomenon does have issues around ownership and authorship concerns because the line is increasingly becoming indistinct between human and machine-created works (7513). In addition to this, the environmental impact of NFTs was the focus

of the criticism and the need for sustainable practices in digital arts. AI's reliance on available sets may lead to the standardization and homogenization of the styles in the arts, with the algorithms falling towards the famous or commercially viable ones. It risks the erasure of cultural variety and muffles the voices of the arts' marginalized voices. In addition, the globalized AI tools may lead to the erasure of local art traditions, with artists adopting the standard methods to compete.

The Decline of Aesthetic Experience

It is the fate of new technology to elicit Luddite terror. The onlookers are quickly polarized into two camps. The visionary amateurs are stimulated by the new potential, extrapolating their fantasies with glee. The sceptics and the Luddites raise warning flags, screaming alarms, and hyperventilating with fear and suspicion of the new phenomenon.

These answers are perhaps an extension of our evolutionary inclinations. The explorers and inquirers are dispatched off into new worlds, and the conservatives batten down in subtlety and bed down in silence. And they must live together in harmony still. Or else they will be pushed to extinction, or in modern circumstances suffer the totalitarian destiny of the opposing political extremes, who will not tolerate a middle ground. The emergence of AI has happened in an era of intensifying culture wars, economic anxiety, and geopolitical tensions (Aris et

al. 236). Unlike previous game-changing technologies, AI is going to have to contend with record combinations of issues. In such a setting, there is an unheard constituency that is worried about AI's harm to unique thinking and the proliferation of sameness (Zylinska 181). As social media has shown us, a new way of interacting with customers may have the price of blunting originality, so that every message in the public or branded space sounds the same because they are written for algorithms and platforms. It was easy to identify a brand from the tone and flavour of the message, but in the sea of blandness, the needles are the majority in the proverbial haystack.

Aesthetically and philosophically, AI's contribution to beauty and creativity is capped at the best and harmful to how we relish these quintessential human qualities (Robinson). Of concern is how it boils down human creativity to data extrapolation. It boosts productivity and processing, but it does not necessarily equate innovative output with the resonance and inbuilt meaning we get to see in works done by humans. There is a reason why classical works engage us with a depth of emotion not found in the photoshopped ones, or as yet to be discovered in them. That long-term human appreciation and implication of beauty and creativity are the reasons the tourist trinkets are placed in classical European architecture. Modern cities might be tempted by attention-grabbing

architecture, but evoke no such degree of marvelment as works not displaying technological wizardry. Aesthetic experience is the emotional and intellectual experience with the artwork. It entails the profound connection between viewer and artwork with attendant meaning from context, history, and human purpose (Jayawardena and Dewasiri). AI-generated art, for all the visual wow factor it might carry, will miss the emotional resonance and cultural meaning present in traditional art. AI's inability to feel or express human emotions is a serious shortcoming. While algorithms might be able to replicate artistic styles, but not the experienced life and personal stories behind human creativity (Hitsuwari et al). The result is an art that is technically better but emotionless and incapable of provoking the same degree of engagement we encounter in works rendered with the human hand. Studies indicate the audience reacts to AI-generated works differently from works developed with the human hand. While there are audiences who appreciate the newness and technical expertise of AI art, others consider it to lack emotional depth. The divide in opinion emphasizes the significance of human intention and context in determining the beauty quotient.

Ethical and Philosophical Considerations

One such strong critic of computer-generated art is the philosopher Roger Scruton, a long-time defender of traditional art. According to Scruton, art is a

valuable manner in which human beings engage with the world around them and the 'here and now'—one thing AI-generated art fails to accomplish (52). Another area of disagreement is in the areas of originality and genuineness. A painting is a singular object. It carries the touch of the painter, the particular arrangement of the colours put into it, and even the texture of the canvas is part of the object's uniqueness. Each piece is an original and is often thought to be the reason the piece is imbued with the soul and intent of the painter. AI-generated art is easily duplicable in comparison. Jean Baudrillard, another critic in the book of Aris et al., sees AI-generated paintings as an extension of his belief in "simulacra," where signs and representations take the place of the real (16). AI-generated art, according to Baudrillard, leads us further away from the 'real' into a realm of high-definition illusion. His concern is not just the ontological status of the piece but the ethical, where we live in a world governed increasingly by the unreal (17). His book "Simulacra and Simulation" expands on such thoughts and warns the reader that the line between the 'real' and the 'simulation' may get lost to the extent of obscuring the experience of the authenticity of life and art. The effect of AI-generated art on the viewer is another issue of disagreement. Commentators like Walter Benjamin have remarked upon how an artwork's "aura," its presence and history as an object, is lost or destroyed in the case of AI art.

Benjamin's seminal essay, *"The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction"*, although not addressing AI art as such, offers a useful context for how easily digital art can be reproduced, disseminated, and altered, divesting it of its distinctive 'aura' and in the process influencing how it is interpreted and valued (18). Intentionality is an important aspect of artistic creation. Human artists invest their work with meaning and intention, deriving from their points of view and life experiences. AI, by contrast, creates without intention, and this raises some basic philosophical questions regarding the nature of art and creativity. The use of copyrighted material to train AI models has ushered in legal and ethical controversy. Artists whose work is utilized without their permission can feel exploited, and this has instigated demands for more openness and responsibility in AI creation. Moreover, the possibility of AI replacing human artists has instigated fears of unemployment and the devaluing of artistic labour.

As AI becomes more ubiquitous, there is a danger that human art will be a niche in an AI-filled world. An effort needs to be made to preserve the human aspect of art to safeguard its cultural and emotional value. This involves some effort to balance technological advancement with the maintenance of traditional artistic methods. Rather than viewing AI as a menace, however, some artists are embracing it as a means of augmenting their creativity (Bozkurt et al 805). Human-AI collaborations have resulted in

innovative works taking the strengths of both to the next level. Artist Mario Klingemann uses AI to create thought-provoking installations that redefine traditional perceptions about art. To address the cultural and ethical challenges raised by AI, there needs to be clear standards and regulations (Ogunlowo). The issue is keeping the AI-generated artwork transparent, protecting the rights of human artists, and promoting sustainable use within the digital market for the arts. The coming generation of artists needs to be taught the potential and limitations of AI and encouraged to develop critical thinking in the use of technology in the arts.

Conclusion

My argument in this article is that even as artificial intelligence has pushed new frontiers of human-like creation, artistic creation is still the exclusive domain of human activity. Traditional artists employ several arguments to counter the merit of AI-generated art. One of the basic arguments is on the issue of skill and technique. In traditional art, be it oil painting, watercolour, or sculpture, an artist learns the technique over the years. The subtle brush strokes, the dance of light and shadow, and even the mix of pigments are all techniques that take a lot of time and effort to master. Each stroke is permanent, and errors are not easily rectified. This adds a layer of complexity and challenge that, in the view of traditional artists, cannot be replicated by digital art. The advent of AI in art comes with opportunities as

well as challenges. As AI democratizes creativity and broadens the canvas of the arts, it serves to undermine the human element of art, leading it to lose its aesthetic and cultural significance. It is essential to preserve the human element of art to retain its emotional, intellectual, and cultural appeal. This must be a balanced strategy that embraces technological change while being respectful of traditional modes of art. Further study needs to be conducted to analyze the long-term cultural impact of AI-generated art as well as to develop ethical guidelines for its use. By ensuring continuous dialogue between technologists, artists, and policymakers, we can be certain that AI contributes to rather than diminishes the value of art.

Works Cited

- Aris, S., Aeini, B., & Nosrati, S. (2023). A Digital Aesthetics? Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Art. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, 7(2), 219-236.
<https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2023.366256.1097>
- Baudrillard, J. *Simulacra and Simulation*. University of Michigan Press, 1981.
- Benjamin, W. *The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction*. Schocken/Random House, 1935
- Bozkurt, A., Karadeniz, A., Baneres, D., Guerrero- Roldán, A. E., & Rodríguez, M. E. Artificialintelligence and reflections

- from the educational landscape: A review of AI Studies in half a century. *Sustainability* (Basel), 13(2), 2021, pp 800–812. DOI: 10.3390/su13020800
- Cetinic, E., & She, J. Understanding and creating art with AI: Review and outlook. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing Communications and Applications*, 18(2), 2022, pp 1–22. DOI: 10.1145/3475799
- Ogunlowo, Chris. Will Artificial Intelligence Kill Aesthetic Experience? Mar 19, 2023. <https://chrisogunlowo.medium.com/will-artificial-intelligence-kill-aesthetic-experience-e58917821d30>. Accessed March 27th 2025.
- Baxter, Claudia. AI art: The end of creativity or the start of a new movement? 21 October 2024, <https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20241018-ai-art-the-end-of-creativity-or-a-new-movement>. Accessed 27th March, 2025.
- Crawford, K., & Paglen, T. Excavating AI: The politics of images in machine learning trainingsets. *AI & Society*, 36(4), 2021. pp 1105–1116. DOI: 10.1007/s00146-021-01301-1
- Gannod, Lauren. "The dangers of commodifying art amongst AI: Missing the pleasure of crafts and creations" 2023.

- Hitsuwari, J., Ueda, Y., Yun, W., & Nomura, M. Does human-AI collaboration lead to a more creative art? Aesthetic evaluation of human-made and AI-generated haiku poetry. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2023, 139, 107502. DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2022.107502
- Jayawardena, N. S., & Dewasiri, N. J. Food Acquisition and Consumption Issues of South Asian Countries: A Systematic Literature Review and Future Research Agenda. *FIIIB Business Review*, 2023, DOI: 10.1177/23197145231194113
- Kanu, A. I., Addidi, T. & Kanu, C. C., "Artificial intelligence and cybercrime in Nigeria: Towards an Ethical framework". *Dialogue and Universalism*. 32(1) 143-158, 2024.
- Kanu, I. A. (2020). "Igwebuiké philosophy of science and technology". *Nnadiabube Journal of Education*. Vol. 5. No. 4, pp. 64-74.
- Kanu, I. A. and Ndubisi, J. O. E. (2022). "Artificial intelligence and socio-economic development in Africa". *OCHENDO: An African Journal of Innovative Studies*. Vol. 3. No. 1. pp. 2-21.
- Onyiah, S. C.; Ndubisi, J. O. E; Kanu, A. I. (2024). "Navigating the Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence through Carol

- Gilighan's Ethics of Care." *Aquino Journal of Philosophy*. Vol. 4. No. 2. pp. 1-12.
- Kanu, A. I. & Okpokwasili, O. A. (2025). "Artificial Intelligence and Digital Colonialism in Africa." *International Journal of Intercultural Values and Indigenous Ecoethics*. Volume 5. Number 2. 14-24.
- Karnouskos, S. Artificial intelligence in digital media: The era of deepfakes. *IEEE Transactionson Technology and Society*, 1(3), 2020, pp 138–147. DOI: 10.1109/TTS.2020.3001312
- Robinson, S. C. Trust, transparency, and openness: How the inclusion of cultural values shapes Nordic national public policy strategies for artificial intelligence (AI). *Technology in Society*, 63, 2020. 101421. DOI: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101421
- Scruton, R. . *Beauty*. Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Trivedi, S. K., Vishnu, S., Singh, A., & Yadav, M. Research trends in sustainable E-paymentsystems: A study using a topic modelling approach. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 2023, pp 7511–7525. DOI: 10.1109/TEM.2023.3280216
- Zylinska, J. *AI art: machine visions and warped dreams*. Open Humanities Press, 2020.