



Veritatis Gaudium:
Multidisciplinary Journal of Postgraduate Studies

College of Postgraduate Studies,
Veritas University, Abuja, Nigeria

ISSN: 3043 - 5285

Volume 1, Number 4, August Special Edition, 2026

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17243.12321

**THE PLACE OF SCRIPTURE IN THE LITURGICAL ASSEMBLY:
EXEGESIS OF NEHEMIAH 8:1–12**

Magnus I. Ajoku, PhD

Theology Department, Seat of Wisdom Seminary, Imo State. Nigeria
(ajokumagnus@gmail.com)

Abstract

This paper examines the central role of the Scripture in the liturgical assembly using the text of Nehemiah 8:1-12 as a foundational text. It argues that contemporary Christian worship has, in some contexts, witnessed a gradual decline in the centrality and authority of the word of God. This is due to the rise of motivational, entertainment-driven, or prosperity-oriented messages. Through exegetical study of Neh 8:1-12, this paper demonstrates that the postexilic assembly under Ezra presents a model in which the Scripture is publicly read, carefully interpreted, reverently received, and practically applied. Drawing from the principles of historical biblical exegesis and supported by selected theological scholars, the article concludes that authentic liturgical renewal is inseparable from restoring Scripture to its rightful central place in worship.

Keywords: the gate, the assembly, Levite, the wooden platform, understanding.

Introduction

Nehemiah 8:1–12 occupies an important place in the narrative of Ezra-Nehemiah. It depicts a public reading of the Torah which marks a crucial moment in post-exilic Judaism. The event serves not just as a liturgical assembly but also as a theological reorientation of YHWH's people around the word of God. The text pictures Ezra leading the assembly in hearing the Torah, with the Levites assisting in the interpretation. The people responded with repentant hearts, reverence and joy. The text demonstrates how the scripture became the central identity and worship of the restored people of YHWH. This event took place after the completion of Jerusalem's wall (Neh 6:15-10) and the repopulation of the city (Neh 7:73). Biblical scholarship underscores the role of the text (Neh 8:1-12) in the evolution of Jewish worship, especially the emergence of synagogue worship and the centrality

of Torah reading (Sarna, 1990), and the theological significance of covenant renewal (Sarna, 1990; Blenkinsopp, 1988).

In the text (Neh 8:1-12), the Word has served as the primary instrument of divine revelation and transformation. However, the contemporary church faces a subtle but serious challenge that majorly bothers on the diminishing centrality of the word of God in preaching. While sermons are still delivered regularly, their depth, faithfulness and transformative power are often weakened by competing priorities such as entertainment, testimonies, prophecies, and motivational or prosperity emphasis. These elements often overshadow the Scripture. This raises a critical theological concern regarding the rightful place of the Bible in worship. This paper contends that Neh 8:1-12 corrects these deficiencies by providing a foundational biblical paradigm in which proclamation, interpretation, and reception of the Scripture are central to the liturgical assembly.

Historical background of Neh 8:1–12

The event of Neh 8:1-12 is reported to have happened in the mid-fifth century BC during the Period of domination. Following the Babylonian exile (ca. 586 BC) and the defeat of Babylon by Persia, King Cyrus the Great issued a decree in 538 BC which permitted the Jewish exiles to return to their ancestral home, Judah (Ezra 1:1-4). Gradually, several returnees resettled at Jerusalem and rebuilt the temple (completed ca. 515 BC; Ezra 6:15) as well as its walls under the leadership of Nehemiah (Schmidt, 2015). The restoration goes beyond a political or architectural one to the reconstitution of the religious identity of Israel around the Torah. Nehemiah and Ezra were depicted as contemporary figures in the text. Nehemiah provided the political leadership and the structural rebuilding; Ezra, the scribe and priest, on the other hand, offered the religious and theological orientation. Joseph Blenkinsopp captures this idea thus: “the Ezra tradition emphasizes the normative function of the Torah in Jewish life, while Nehemiah stresses communal solidarity through physical and social reconstruction” (Blenkinsopp, 1988, p.282). The meeting point of their mission culminates in chapter 8, from which comes the text of study (Neh 8:1-12), where the reading of the Torah highlights Israel’s covenantal life renewal. We now look at the literary context of Nehemiah 8:1-12.

Literary Context of Nehemiah 8:1-12

3.1. The Remote Literary Context

The remote literary context of Neh 8:1-12 can be located in the earlier reading of the Torah in Deut 31:9-13, where Moses instructs for the gathering of the people to gather at various times to hear the law so as to observe its dictates. The remote literary context is also located in the covenant renewal ceremony where Joshua read the Law at Shechem (Josh 8:30–35). Another is Josiah’s reform that followed after the discovery of the Book of the Law (2 Kgs 23:1–3). In each case, the public proclamation of Scripture fosters communal identity and covenant fidelity.

3.2. The Immediate Literary Context

The immediate literary context of Neh 8:1-12 can be seen in chapter six (6) that narrates the events of building and completion of the wall of Jerusalem. Next is the registration of the returned exiles in chapter seven (7). These events that formed the immediate literary context of Neh 8:1-12 are significant because it is only after the people are reconstituted physically and socially that they are renewed spiritually through the Torah.

The Delimitation of Neh 8:1-12

Scholars debate whether Neh 8 belongs to the Ezra tradition or it was a later insertion into Nehemiah’s memoir (Williamson, 1985). Some scholars regard Neh 7:73 or its last half as a preface to Neh 8:1-12. Other experts opine that the account of Neh 8:1-12 logically follows from Ezra 8:36. Originally, the Books of Ezra and Nehemiah formed one collection with the Books of Chronicles, and their author is referred to as the Chronicler (*The New Community Bible*, 2013). Despite the relational redaction

history, the text now forms and functions as part of Ezra-Nehemiah. It emphasizes the role played by a leader and a scribe in a post-exilic restoration.

Viewing the text (Neh 8:1-12) in its final canonical form, it is located within the larger context of Neh 8:1-18, which generally dwells on the reading of the Law to the Jews after the return from the Babylonian exile. However, vv. 1-12 can be treated as a unit because it stands on its own for the following reasons: Firstly, the immediately preceding text (7:4-73) treated the different families that returned from the exile, and their offerings for the work of God. 8:13-18 records the movement of heads of families, the priests and the Levites that came to Ezra, “the teacher of the Law to learn the Law” (v.13), the Mosaic prescription for the celebration of the feast of the seventh month (14-15) and the actual celebration of the feast accompanied by the reading of the Law (16-18). Unlike 7:4-73 and 8:13-18, the content of 8:1-12 focuses on the reading and interpretation of the Torah as well as the people’s immediate reaction elicited by the reading and interpretation of the Law. Thirdly, the time and the day of the events of vv. 1-12 and vv. 13-18 are different; that of vv. 1-12 is captured as what happened on the first day of the seventh month: “...it was the first day of the seventh month...” (v.2), while that of vv. 13-18 was on the “second day” (v.13). Finally, Neh 8:1-12 displays vocabulary and grammar that are unique, which justifies its being treated as a distinct unit.

The Literary Structure of Nehemiah 8:1–12

The pericope of Nehemiah 8:1–12 is carefully structured as follows:

- i. Introduction: The assembly and its request for the word of God (v.1-2)
 - a. The Assembly
 - b. The Location
 - c. The Request and Ability to Understand
 - d. The Time of the Assembly
- ii. The Public Proclamation of the Scripture (vv.3-8)
 - a. Reading and Attentiveness of the Assembly (v.3)
 - b. Ezra’s Elevated Platform and the Opening of the Book (v.4-5)
 - c. The Liturgical Acclamations (v.6)
 - d. The Levites’ Role (v.7-8)
- iii. The Assembly’s Response and Exhortation (vv.9-12)
 - a. Response of Contrition/Conviction/Grieve (v.9)
 - b. Exhortation of Joy as against Grief (vv.10-11)
 - c. Celebration in Obedience (v.12)

The text’s literary structure fits within the three major dynamic flows in the use of Scripture in worship, namely, the availability of the assembly to hear the word, the proclamation and the response.

Textual Analysis of Nehemiah 8:1–12

- i. Introduction: The Assembly and its Request of the word of God (v.1-2)

“And all the people gathered as one man at the square which was in front of the Water Gate, and they asked Ezra the scribe to bring the book of the Law of Moses which the LORD had given to Israel” (Neh 8:1 *NAS*).

- a. The Assembly

The opening verse highlights the availability or the unity of the assembly. The expression *wāy·yē·’ā·sə·pū kāl hā·’ām kə·’iš ’e·hād* “and all the people gathered as one,” is a Hebrew idiom denoting total solidarity and unanimity (Judg 20:1; Ezra 3:1). This unity is not merely physical but covenantal, reflecting Israel’s collective identity before YHWH (George, 1992).

- b. The location

The place or the venue of the assembly (“the gathering of all the people”) was identified as “the square which was in front of the Water Gate.” The term *ša·’ar* (gate) in the ancient Israelite parlance implies, amongst others, a public “meeting place” (Vawter, 1973 p. 57). According to King, *ša·’ar* refers to

the “public square for meetings ... within the city” (Philip, 1969, p. 249; cf. also Lambdin, 1973). This idea of “gate” is not exclusive to Israel; in Ugaritic also the *tgr* (“gate”) was the place of popular assembly. Hence, unlike the Temple courts, the assembly meets in a public, civic space, accessible to all, including women and children (v. 2). According to Williamson, “the Water Gate setting suggests that this was an open, inclusive gathering not limited to cultic specialists” (Williamson, 185, p.290)

c. The Request and Ability to Understand

It was the people who made a request that the book of the law be read to them: “and they asked Ezra the scribe to bring the book of the law...” (2b); this underscores a bottom-up yearning for the Word of God. In the words of Eskenazi (1988, p.105): “the people themselves initiated the liturgical act, an indication of their readiness for renewal.” Having made their request, v. 2a,b reads: “Then Ezra the priest brought the law before the assembly of men, women, and all who *could* listen with understanding. The assembly is defined as “men and women and all who could understand.” This phrase *wə-ḵōl mē-ḥîn* (“and all who could understand”) likely refers to children who are of a sufficient age of reasoning to understand the reading. This implies that the covenant instruction is not restricted to adults (Deut 31:12). God’s word and his salvation are for all (2 Tim 2:4,5). The universality imprint in the text anticipates a later synagogue practice/tradition where the people gather for the reading and explanation of the Torah, outside of the Temple ritual.

The Timing/Day of the Assembling

Besides the inclusivity (the assembly of men and women and all who could understand) in v. 2a,b, the text highlights the timing of the assembly in 2c. as “on the first day of the seventh month.” This first day of the seventh month, according to Charles Fritsch, if it “refers to Ezra’s first year in Jerusalem, the time is only two months after his arrival (cf. Ezra 7:7-9)” (Charles, 1971 p.22-231). Commenting on “the seventh month” in this v.2 Robert North in *New Jerome’s Biblical Commentary*, explains that this seventh month “is certainly not envisioned as the next after Elul of 6:15, which was in fact a sixth month by the Nisan- based calendar, but twelfth based on the new year beginning in the ‘seventh’ month, Tishri (October)” (North, 2019 p.396). Furthermore, according to North, “there is a similar Jerusalem assembly in a ‘seventh month’ immediately after the identical list of Ezra 2:70, so we must conclude that it is a colophon to the list. Rearrangement of the text could have resulted in part from overhasty identification among various seventh month assemblies” (Robert North, 2019, p.396). Obviously, the seventh month identified in this verse (2c) is very significant, because it corresponds to the Feast of the Temple (Lev 23:23-25), a sacred assembly and a day of remembrance. Hence, the reading of the Torah on this day symbolically reconstitutes the Israelites as YHWH’s covenanted people.

ii. The Public Proclamation of the Scripture (vv. 3- 8)

a. Reading and attentiveness of the assembly (v.3)

“And he read from it before the square which was in front of the Water Gate from early morning until midday, in the presence of men and women, those who could understand; and all the people were attentive to the book of the law” (v.3). Ezra read “from ...the book of the law,” that is the Torah. According to Fritsch (1971), the book of the law he read “was probably not the Pentateuch as we know it but certain portions of it” (p.229). However, there are different opinions on the exact part of the Torah he read. To this, North thinks that “we cannot exclude that the *portion* of the Pentateuch read aloud by Ezra was chiefly Lev..., nor that it was rather the chiefly deuteronomic non-P parts” (North, 2019 p.396). D. McCarthy finds parallels to Neh 8-10 in Deut 26: 17-19 (McCarthy 1982 p.26). R. Klein, on his part, suggests four possible parts of “Ezra’s law” may have come from: (a) the whole of the Pentateuch; (b) some law code in it; (c) only a Priestly source; (d) only a Deuteronomic source. Whatever part of the Torah he may have read from, one thing that is very certain is that he read from the Torah (North, 2019). However, for some scholars, “the law

of Neh 8:2 cannot be the Pentateuch because Yom Kippur of Lev 16 is ignored” (North, 2019, p.396).

As Ezra read, the people were very attentive to the book of the law. They listened from morning until midday. The phrase: *wā-’ā-zā-nē kāl hā-’ām ’el- sē-ṭēr hat-tō-w-rāh*. (“and the ears of all the people were toward the Book of the Law”) indicates not passive hearing but focused engagement and encounter with the word. The Torah became the central medium by which Israel encountered God, replacing the centrality of sacrifice with the centrality of the Word. The Word of God, publicly read and explained, is indispensable for the faith and life of God’s people (Tambasco, 2011). In post-exilic Judaism, the scripture/Torah functions not just as instruction but also as a liturgical event. It became a central medium by which the people encounter YHWH.

b. Ezra’s elevated platform and the opening of the book (v.4-5)

“And Ezra the scribe stood at a wooden podium which they had made for the purpose. And beside him stood Mattithiah, Shema, Anaiah, Uriah, Hilkiah, and Maaseiah on his right hand; and Pedaiah, Mishael, Malchijah, Hashum, Hashbaddanah, Zechariah, and Meshullam on his left hand” (v.4). The wooden platform or podium (*miḡ-dal-’ēš*) denotes any elevated structure built to secure vantage, safety, storage, or prominence (Strong, 2001). It is used here in v.4 as a platform, a prominent position to proclaim the word of God, thereby emphasizing the solemnity and visibility of the act. Ezra reading from an elevated position symbolizes both the authority of the Torah and its proclamation to the gathered community. V.5 reads: “And Ezra opened the book in the sight of all the people for he was standing above all the people; and when he opened it, all the people stood up.” The statement, *kol hā-’ām, hā-yāh; ū-kā-ṭīt-ḥōw ’ā-mā-dū* (“when he opened it, all the people stood up”), is very significant. The gesture of standing, according to Gabriel Ekong, “shows active participation” (Ekong, 2011, p.24). It expresses reverence and attentiveness, a gesture that was later embedded in Jewish and Christian liturgical traditions (Eskenazi, 1988).

c. The Liturgical Acclamations (v.6)

“Then Ezra blessed the LORD the great God. And all the people answered, ‘Amen, Amen!’ while lifting their hands; then they bowed low and worshiped the LORD with *their* faces to the ground” (v.6). Ezra’s blessing (*way-bā-rek ’ez-rā, ’et- Yah-weh hā-’ē-lō-hīm*, Ezra blessed the LORD the great God) inaugurates the reading with a doxological act, linking proclamation of Scripture with worship. The people respond with “Amen, Amen,” a double affirmation signifying strong assent (cf. Ps 41:13; 1 Chr 16:36). Their gestures of lifting up hands are a sign of prayer (Ps 28:2), while bowing heads and prostration reveal a full-bodied liturgical response. The text illustrates how hearing the Word evokes worship, showing that Scripture and liturgy are closely linked. Hence, Ezra opening the book and the people rising to their feet (v. 5), the acclamation of “Amen, Amen” with lifted hands and prostration in v.6 reflect the ritualization of Scripture reading. Later in Jewish liturgy, it will be adjusted with formalized, similar practices, namely: standing for the Torah, responding “Amen,” and blessing before and after the reading. Against this, Eskenazi acknowledges that “the assembly is portrayed as a worship service centered on the Torah, with ritual gestures, corporate responses, and an interpretive homily” (Eskenazi, 1988, p.103). Hence, Neh 8:1-12 is not merely a historical account but a paradigmatic depiction of liturgical assembly in the post-exilic period. Thus, Lee Levine notes, “the Torah service of the synagogue has its embryonic expression in Nehemiah 8” (Levine, 2000, p.32).

d. The Levites’ Role (v.7-8)

“Also Jeshua, Bani, Sherebiah, Jamin, Akkub, Shabbethai, Hodiah, Maaseiah, Kelita, Azariah, Jozabad, Hanan, Pelaiah, and the Levites explained the law to the people while the people remained in their place. And they read from the book, from the law of God, translating to give the sense so that they understood the reading” (vv. 7, 8). These verses (vv. 7-8) make mention of לֵוִיִּם (the Levites); who are they? The term Levite (Hebrew: לֵוִי, *Levî*) refers to an Israelite of the tribe of Levi, who is set aside for religious service in Ancient Israel. They trace their origin to Levi, the third son of Jacob

and Leah (Gen 29:34). YHWH made a choice of the Levites in place of the firstborn of Israel to serve in sacred duties (Num 3:11-13). The Levites are distinct from the Aaronic priests (descendants of Aaron). They assisted the priests in various cultic functions, such as instructing the people in the Torah (Num 3:5-9; Deut 10:8; 1 Chron 23:27-32). Their name reflects the Hebrew root I-w-h, meaning “to attach” or “to join,” which likely alludes to their dedication to the sacred services of YHWH (Gen 29:34) (Brown et al. 2010).

From these vv. 7 & 8, their tasks included to “read from the ... Law of God, clearly, and [to give it]... sense, so that the people understood the reading.” In other words, the Levites’ task is interpretive; they “gave the sense” (*wəšōm šēkel*) that the people might “understand” (*way-yā.bînû*). Scholars debate whether the expression *wəšōm šēkel* refers to translation (from Hebrew into Aramaic, the vernacular of the time) or explanation (expository teaching) (Fritsch, 1971). Most agree that both are implied: translation plus interpretation for application (Williamson, 1985). These verses provide biblical precedent for preaching or homiletics within the liturgical assembly. Thus Charles Fensham writes, “the Levites’ activity represents the earliest form of what became the *targumic* tradition, the *paraphrastic* rendering of Scripture for the people’s comprehension” (Fensham, 1982, p.204). The goal of this teaching was not just information but transformation, ensuring the people grasped the meaning and applied it to their lives. Having heard the word, what ordinarily should follow is the response it elicits from the audience. This is taken care of by vv. 9-12 below.

iv. The Assembly’s Response and Exhortation (vv.9-12)

a. Response of Contrition/Conviction/Grief (v.9)

Attentive hearing of the Law produces deep conviction, and the people responded with weeping: “Then Nehemiah, who was the governor, and Ezra the priest *and* scribe, and the Levites who taught the people said to all the people, ‘this day is holy to the LORD your God; do not mourn or weep’. For all the people were weeping when they heard the words of the law” (v.9). The Hebrew term *bākāh*, according to context, means bewail, make lamentation, mourn, complain, sore, with tears, or to weep (Strong, 2001). In the text (v.8), it conveys the sense of weeping as *NAS* and *KJV* translated it, or bewail, make lamentation. Fritsch opines that they wept “because of their sins and the punishment which is to come upon them” (Fritsch, 1971, p. 229). This act of weeping is consistent with Israel’s history, where encounters with the word of YHWH often brought repentance (cf. 2 Kgs 22:11–13, Jonah 3).

b. Exhortation of Joy as against Grief (vv.10-11)

As the people expressed penitence in grieving for their misdeeds, vv 10-11 state: “Then he said to them, ‘Go, eat of the fat, drink of the sweet, and send portions to him who has nothing prepared; for this day is holy to our Lord. Do not be grieved, for the joy of the LORD is your strength.’ So the Levites calmed all the people, saying, “Be still, for the day is holy; do not be grieved” (vv.10, 11). The people wept for their sins; however, the leaders redirected them from grief to joy as holiness is not marked by despair but celebration. They were exhorted to feast and share portions with those who have nothing, which highlights the social dimension of liturgical joy. Hence, the phrase: *hed-wat Yah-weh hî mā-‘uz-zā-kem* (“the joy of the Lord is your strength”), which highlights the paradox that true spiritual strength rests not in human achievement but arises from the divine joy. So, the Levites calmed all the people to be quiet, and do not grieve, for “this day is holy ’-a day on which they have come to hear the words of the law” (Fritsch, 1971, p. 229).

c. Celebration in Obedience (v.12)

The people did as they were told, “And all the people went away to eat, to drink, to send portions and to celebrate a great festival, because they understood the words which had been made known to them” (v.12). The assembly’s obedience to the word read resulted in immense gladness and a recommitment to follow the dictates of YHWH; and the rediscovery and observance of the Feast of Tabernacles mentioned later in vv.13-18. Most importantly, the people obeyed and celebrated because they

understood the words read out to them. This underscores the important role of understanding. Hence, the scripture, when properly read, explained and understood, transforms grief into joy. Summarily, the text (Neh 8:1-12) having been analyzed thus far, can be diagrammatically depicted in the following manner: Proclamation (vv.1-8) → response of contrition/grief (v. 9) → exhortation to joy (vv. 10-11) → celebration in obedience (v. 12). This pattern foreshadows later liturgical structure pattern in Judaism and Christianity, namely; reading of the Scripture, explanation, communal response and “celebration” (Anagwo, 2012 p.40).

Some Theological Themes in Nehemiah 8:1–12

7.1. The centrality of the word of God.

Neh 8:1-12 underscores that the Scripture is central in defining the identity and mission of God’s people. The assembly was constituted to hear and understand the word of God. Hence, in the words of Williamson, “the Torah, not the Temple, becomes the central symbol of the restored community” (Williamson, 1985, p. 293). This implies the indispensable role of the word of God. It should not be played down. By the word of God, the assembly is spiritually renewed and rebuilt, for faith comes by hearing the word of God (Rom 10:17).

7.2. Desire for the word of God

The people spontaneously gathered as “one man” at the Water Gate and asked that Ezra read the Book of the Law of Moses. They were spiritually hungry and listened attentively from early morning till midday (about six hours). This demonstrates their yearning to align their lives with the word of God after rebuilding the physical walls of Jerusalem. We are called to have such desire for the word of God.

7.3. Scripture as Covenant Renewal.

The public reading of the Torah demonstrates earlier covenant renewal ceremonies (Deut 31; Josh 8; 2 Kgs 23). Neh 8:1-12 situates the covenant renewal not in sacrifice but in the word’s proclamation, interpretation and communal response. The word of God is alive and active (Heb 4:12). Every generation freshly hears it and embraces it to renew their commitment to YHWH.

7.4. The Role of Understanding

The repeated emphasis *on bin*, meaning, amongst others, to “understand,” “perceive,” “discern,” “consider,” “pay attention,” etc.) (Strong, 2001, p.995) (vv. 2, 3, 7, 8, 12) highlights that mere recitation is insufficient but comprehension is essential. The people’s joy arises because “they had understood the words” (v. 12). This theme anticipates later Jewish traditions of Midrash and Christian traditions of homily, where explanation ensures that Scripture shapes life. The word of God should be delivered in a way that it will be intelligible to the audience according to their level of understanding, socio-economic and political conditions (*Sitz im Leben*). It should not be a platform to display one’s academic prowess while leaving the audience at sea. Hence, Neh 8:1-12 calls us to place the word of God at the heart of worship, wherein hearing becomes understanding and understanding brings the joy of salvation.

7.5. Reverence associated with the word of God in and within the liturgical assembly.

The Christian tradition of standing when the scripture (Gospel) is read primarily conveys some theological symbolism, namely: reverence for Christ’s presence, readiness to respond and honour for the Resurrection. These will be briefly explained.

Firstly, as an act of reverence for the Presence of Christ in the Gospel, Christians stand during the Gospel to show honour for Christ, whose words and deeds are proclaimed uniquely in the four Gospels. Augustine captures it thus: “we rise for the Gospel, which is the voice of the Lord” (Augustine, Sermon 52). *The General Instruction of the Roman Missal* has it thus: “The faithful stand for the Gospel reading, as a sign of reverence to the Gospel of Christ” (*Roman Catholic Church*.

General Instruction of the Roman Missal (GIRM), 2011, p.62). St. John Chrysostom, in urging the faithful to stand out of reverence for the Scripture, writes: “When the Gospel is read, Christ speaks to us. Let us stand with fear and trembling” (John Chrysostom, 10. 2).

Secondly, as an act of readiness and attentiveness to the Word as depicted in Neh 8:1-12 (especially in v.5), standing in Christian tradition signifies readiness to act on Christ’s teachings. Hence, the Apostolic Constitution of the fourth century instructs: “let all rise with silence and attention to the Gospel” (*Apostolic Constitutions*, 2.57).

Again, standing liturgically is the symbol of the resurrection. The early Christians understood standing during worship, especially on Sundays, as a testimony to the resurrection. The First Council of Nicaea (325 A.D.) canonized this practice thus: “prayers should be offered standing on the Lord’s Day... as a sign of the Resurrection” (Council of Nicaea 1, Canon 20). Since the Gospel is the proclamation of the risen Christ, his teachings, miracles, passion and resurrection, Christians stand to honour its memory and the mystery it contains.

7.5. From Conviction/Weeping /Contrition to Joy.

As the people understood the requirements of the Law, they began to weep, convicted by their failure to live according to YHWH's standards. This "godly grief" is a necessary step, leading to repentance and a right relationship with YHWH. However, Nehemiah and the Levites told the people to stop mourning, as the day was holy. They instructed them to eat rich food, drink good wine and share their portion with the less fortunate. Hence, while conviction is important, it should lead to the joy of forgiveness and the strength to obey and be faithful to YHWH. The movement from grief to joy highlights the scripture’s theology that combines conviction of sin with the celebration of joy. This dialectic is central to liturgical theology, wherein penitence and praise coexist. This joy is rooted in YHWH’s faithfulness and the hope of his salvation.

Nehemiah 8:1-12 and its Contextual Contemporary Relevance in Christian liturgical Worship

Liturgical assembly at its deepest theological level is not merely a ritual participation but a covenantal renewal between God and His people through the Word. However, in some contemporary contexts, worship risks becoming fragmented, either overly entertainment-driven or excessively clerical, with minimal engagement of the congregation and a limited transformative depth. There is an overbearing emphasis on fundraising, harvest, among others, to the detriment of the preaching of the Word. Against this background, Neh 8:1-12 will be instrumental in tackling these concerns by offering a biblical paradigm for renewal of worship, deep participation and fostering authentic spiritual transformation in the worshipping community.

In Neh 8:1-4, the people “gathered as one man” and requested that Ezra bring the Book of the Law. This demonstrates that worship is fundamentally initiated and shaped by the word of God rather than by human preference or innovations. Today’s Christian liturgy bears the imprint of Neh 8:1-12. The early Church inherited the synagogue practice of public Scripture reading (Luke 4:16–20; Acts 13:15). Justin the Martyr testifies that Christian gatherings included reading of “the memoirs of the apostles or the writings of the prophets” (1 Apology 67). The pattern in Neh 8:1-12, namely, proclamation, explanation and response, closely parallels the Liturgy of the Word in Christian liturgy that features the reading of Scripture followed by exhortation (cf. 1 Tim 4:13).

According to John Scott, authentic Christian worship is Word-centered, because God’s self-revelation through Scripture governs the church’s faith and practice (Scott, 1982). Hence, worship that marginalizes Scripture definitely risks becoming anthropocentric rather than theocentric. Against this backdrop, the text (Neh 8:1-4) reminds us that in liturgical worship, the word of God is the foundation of worship and not an optional element. Preaching the word should not be rushed. Worship must allocate significant time and reverence to the Scripture. The assembly is formed and unified by the

word of God. Hence, Nehemiah challenges contemporary ministers who prioritize performance or emotional stimulation over sustained engagement with the biblical text.

Another significant contribution of Neh 8:1-12 is its portrayal of the activeness of the assembly. The people were not passive observers but engaged participants who responded physically, verbally and emotionally to the Word. In Neh 8:5-6, the assembly stood, lifted their hands and responded “Amen, Amen,” followed by worship. These gestures reflect active liturgical participation. According to D. Martin Lloyd-Jones, true preaching and worship must engage the whole people, producing intellectual understanding and spiritual response (Lloyd-Jones, 1971). Neh 8:1-12 challenges today’s ministers to recover reverence, attentiveness, and corporate response to Scripture.

Furthermore, Neh 8:7-8 shows that the Levites assisted Ezra in explaining the Law to ensure the assembly could understand it. This interpretative process underscores the vital role of biblical exegesis in ensuring the Scripture is not only read but understood. From these verses (vv7-8), one can deduce that liturgical participation is enriched in three ways: 1) physical participation (standing, lifting hands and communal response), 2) intellectual participation (understanding through interpretation) and 3) communal participation (shared hearing and unified response). This challenges contemporary worship tendencies where the congregation often becomes spectators instead of active participants.

A central outcome of the liturgical assembly in Neh 8:1-12 is the spiritual transformation of the assembly by the power of the word of God. When the people heard the Law, they responded with weeping (Neh 8:9). This response is not manipulation but a natural effect of a genuine encounter with the divine truth. This is one of the aims of the word of God in the Christian assembly. The Church Fathers emphasized the transformative power of the Scripture in the assembly. Origen, for instance, states that “the Scriptures are our food” (Hom. Lev. 7.2), Augustine describes public reading as the moment when “the voice of God resounds among His people” (Confessions 6.3). These testimonies underscore the continuity between Neh 8:1-12 and Christian worship. For the Christian, Scripture is not only read, but it is proclaimed as God’s living Word fulfilled in Christ. Thus, Neh 8:1-12 foreshadows the liturgical theology in which the Word is both heard and embodied in the sacrament (Eucharist).

However, the text does not end in sorrow. Neh 8:10 introduces a balance: “the joy of the Lord is your strength.” This demonstrates that biblical transformation includes both conviction and restoration. The Word leads the people from mourning over sin to joy in God’s mercy. The transformation dynamics of the text can be summarized as follows: Revelation (the word is publicly proclaimed); conviction (the people recognize their sin and weep); instruction (leaders guide understanding); restoration (joy replaces sorrow); and action (the people share and celebrate together (Neh 8:12). Thus, Haddon W. Robinson explains that biblical preaching must move one beyond explanation to transformation, resulting in changed lives (Robinson, 2001). Nehemiah 8:1-12 exemplifies this fully integrated process.

Recommendations

The transformative aspect of the Word, sometimes, is not felt or experienced in worship assemblies, most probably because the Word is not adequately given its proper prominent place, attention and reverence. To ensure this is adequately taken care of, the following suggested recommendations may be helpful:

- i. There should be adequate preparation on the part of the minister of the Word. He should be ever ready to proclaim the Word (2 Tim 2:4) as was done by Ezra and the Levites.
- ii. There should be adequate disposition on the part of the audience as in Neh 8:1-12. The people desired that the word to be preached and were very attentive.
- iii. Proper reverence and decorum during the liturgical assembly should be upheld.

- iv. Instruments being used at liturgy should be properly arranged and placed as hinted in Neh 8:1-12; the podium was positioned for proper visibility of the minister. Visibility can enhance the level of attention
- v. A good public address system is needed to enhance effective communication between the minister and the audience. In the words of Emmanuel Anagwo, “the means of delivering the Word of God with a very high and powerful microphone is very important. It facilitates the biblical injunction that ‘faith comes from hearing, and that means hearing the word of Christ’ (Rom 10:17)” (Anagwo, 2012, p.35). Again, “the dignity of the Word of God demands that it be proclaimed publicly to the hearing of every member of the worshipping community. The basic principle is that it should be loud and clear” (Anagwo, 2012, p.36). A bad public address system hampers the effective communication and reception of the message.

Conclusion

Neh. 8:1-12 stands out as one of the clearest biblical testimonies on the important and central role of the scripture in liturgical assembly. It highlights how the people of God gathered as one and requested that the Torah be read; they listened attentively and responded positively in worship. This led to their repentance and transformation. The text testifies how the scripture functions not merely as a text but as a liturgical event, proclaimed, interpreted and received in the assembly.

For the post-exilic Israel, this marks the reconstitution of their covenantal life. For the Christian church, it foreshadows the liturgy of the word. In essence, Neh 8:1-12 provides a powerful blueprint for spiritual renewal, embracing a collective hunger for the word of God, a commitment to understand it and apply it in our lives so as to experience its transformative effects of finding joy and strength in YHWH’s grace and forgiveness.

References

- Anagwo, E. (2012). *Hundred Questions and Answers on the Mass vol. II*. Laurens Prints & Co. Ltd.
- Blenkinsopp, J. (1988). *Ezra-Nehemiah: A Commentary. Old Testament Library*. Westminster Press.
- Bradshaw, P. (2002). *The Search for the Origins of Christian Worship*. Oxford University Press.
- Brown, Francis et al. (2010). *The Brown-Driver-Briggs: Hebrew and English Lexicon (Repr.)*. Hendrickson Publishers.
- De Vaux, R. (1961). *Ancient Israel: Its Life and Institutions*. McGraw-Hill.
- Ekong, G. (2011). *The Liturgy. One issue. Many answers to questions people ask about the Mass and other liturgical celebrations*. Inela Ventures & Publishers.
- Eskenazi, T. C. (1988). *In an Age of Prose: A Literary Approach to Ezra-Nehemiah*. Scholars Press,
- Fensham, F. C. (1982). *The Books of Ezra and Nehemiah, NICOT*, Eerdmans,
- Fritsch, C. (1971). “The Book of Nehemiah” in Charles M. Layman. *The Interpreter’s One-Volume Commentary on the Bible*. Nashville & New York. 22-231
- Grabbe, L. L. (1998). *Ezra-Nehemiah. Old Testament Readings*. London: Routledge.
- Jungmann, J. A. (1951). *The Mass of the Roman Rite: Its Origins and Development*. Benziger Brothers.
- Kanu, I. A. (2015a). *African philosophy: An ontologico-existential hermeneutic approach to classical and contemporary issues*. Nigeria: Augustinian Publications.
- Kanu, I. A. (2015b). *A hermeneutic approach to African traditional religion, theology, and philosophy*. Nigeria: Augustinian Publications.
- Kanu, I. A. (2020). “Igwebuike theology of Ikwa Ogwe and the Inculturation of the Gospel message.” *OGIRISI: A Journal of African Studies*. 16(1), 15-28.

- Kanu, I. A. (2020). "Igwebuike Theology of Udi: God's unchanging revelation and man's changing culture". *Catholic Voyage: African Journal of Consecrated Life*. 17(2), 12-24.
- Kanu, I. A. (2020). "Igwebuike theology of Omenani and the missionary bifurcation of horizons." *OGIRISI: A New Journal of African Studies*. 16(1), 127-144.
- Kanu, I. A. (2016a). Igwebuike as a trend in African philosophy. *Igwebuike: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 2(1), 97-101.
- Kidner, D. (1979). *Ezra and Nehemiah*. Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries. Downers Grove: IVP.
- King, J. P. (1969). "Amos." *JBC*. (1), 245-252.
- Lambdin, O. T. (1973). *Introduction to Biblical Hebrew*. Darton Longman & Todd
- Levine, L. I. (2000). *The Ancient Synagogue: The First Thousand Years*. Yale University Press.
- Lloyd-Jones, D. M. (1971). *Preaching and Preachers*. Michigan. Zondervan,
- Mendenhall, G. E. G. (1992). "Covenant." *The Anchor Bible Dictionary*, Vol. 3. Doubleday, 1127-1129
- Milgrom, J. (1990). *Numbers*. JPS Torah Commentary. Jewish Publication Society.
- Niskanen, P. (2008). "Levites." *The New Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible*, Vol. 3. Abingdon.
- North, R. (2019). "The Chronicler: 1-2 Chronicles, Ezra, Nehemiah." *The New Jerome Biblical Commentary (NJBC)*. Bengaluru Theological Publication. 362-398
- Robinson, H. W. (2001). *Biblical Preaching: The Development and Delivery of Expository Messages*. Baker Academic.
- Roman Catholic Church. (2011). *General Instruction of the Roman Missal (GIRM)*. Bangalore: Pauline.
- Sarna, N. M. (1990). *Exploring Exodus: The Heritage of Biblical Israel*. Schocken Books.
- Schmidt, H. W. (2015). *Old Testament Introduction*. St. Paul's,
- Scott, J. (1982). *Between Two Worlds: The Challenge of Preaching Today*. Eerdmans.
- Strong, J. (2001). *The New Strong's Expanded Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*. Thomas Nelson.
- Taft, R. (1993). *The Liturgy of the Hours in East and West*. Liturgical Press.
- Tambasco, J. A. (2011). "Word of God." *The New Dictionary of Theology*. Theological Pub., 1096-1098
- Temba, L. (1992). "Just, Justice." *The Anchor Bible Dictionary*, Vol. 3. Doubleday, 1127-1129.
- The Hebrew Bible (Tanakh), 1962
- Throntveit, M. A. (1992). *Ezra-Nehemiah*. Interpretation. John Knox Press.
- Vawter, B. (1973). *Amos, Hosea, Micah with an Introduction to Classical Prophecy*. Michael Glazier, Inc.
- Williamson, H. G. M. (1985). *Ezra, Nehemiah*. Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 16. Word Books.
- Wybrew, H. (1989). *The Orthodox Liturgy: The Development of the Eucharistic Liturgy in the Byzantine Rite*. SPCK.