



**Veritatis Gaudium:**  
*Multidisciplinary Journal of Postgraduate Studies*

College of Postgraduate Studies,  
Veritas University, Abuja, Nigeria

ISSN: 3043 - 5285

Volume 1, Number 4, August Special Edition, 2026

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17243.12321

**BEYOND THE LAW: THE PROPHETIC ETHICS OF THE BOOK OF AMOS AND  
MORAL PHILOSOPHY IN NIGERIA'S ANTI-CORRUPTION FIGHT.**

**Uzoma Amos Dike**

Department of Religious Studies, National Open University of Nigeria

[udikeq@noun.edu.ng](mailto:udikeq@noun.edu.ng)

&

**Elochukwu Afoka**

Department of Philosophy, National Open University of Nigeria

---

**Abstract**

*Nigeria continues to face pervasive corruption and financial crimes that undermine economic development, weaken public institutions, and erode public trust. Despite legal reforms and anti-corruption initiatives, bribery, embezzlement, fraud, and abuse of office remain widespread, suggesting that institutional responses alone are insufficient. While existing scholarship has largely emphasized legal, political, and economic perspectives, limited attention has been given to the complementary insights of Old Testament prophetic ethics and philosophical moral reasoning. This study examined how the ethical teachings of the Old Testament prophets, interpreted alongside philosophical theories of justice and virtue, can contribute to a normative framework for combating corruption and promoting ethical governance in Nigeria. The study adopted a qualitative interdisciplinary methodology, employing historical-textual analysis of Amos 5:7–24. Relevant passages from Micah 3:1–12, Micah 6:6–8, and Isaiah 1:21–26 are employed inferentially to corroborate and enrich the ethical themes emerging from the primary text. The biblical analysis is complemented by philosophical examination of virtue ethics and deontological ethics, alongside relevant literature on corruption, financial crimes, and governance in Nigeria. Findings revealed that contemporary manifestations of corruption: bribery, exploitation, dishonest gain, and abuse of power mirror the injustices condemned by the Old Testament prophets. Philosophical ethics reinforces these prophetic ideals by highlighting integrity and civic responsibility as essential virtues for public leadership. Thus, integrating Old Testament prophetic ethics with philosophical moral reasoning provides a robust ethical foundation for complementing legal and institutional anti-corruption measures and fostering a culture of integrity, accountability and the common good in Nigeria.*

**Keywords:** Prophetic Ethics, Economic and Financial Crimes, Virtue Ethics, Corruption

## Introduction

Corruption and economic crimes constitute one of the most persistent obstacles to sustainable development in Nigeria. They have undermined economic growth, weakened public institutions, discouraged investment, and eroded public confidence in governance. Manifestations such as bribery, embezzlement, money laundering, procurement fraud, tax evasion, and abuse of public office continue to divert resources meant for national development, thereby worsening poverty, inequality, and social injustice (Modupe, 2024; Ladi, et al, 2025). Despite the establishment of anti-corruption institutions such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC), corruption remains pervasive, suggesting that legal and institutional measures alone have not sufficiently addressed its underlying moral causes (Sukare & Abdullahi, 2026).

Scholarly discussions on corruption in Nigeria have largely focused on legal, economic, political, and institutional reforms. While these perspectives have contributed significantly to understanding the structural dimensions of corruption, comparatively less attention has been devoted to the ethical and moral foundations that shape individual and collective behaviour. Yet corruption is fundamentally an ethical problem because it reflects failures of integrity, justice, accountability, and responsibility. Consequently, sustainable anti-corruption efforts require not only effective laws and institutions but also the cultivation of moral values that discourage unethical conduct and promote the common good (Jubaer, 2025).

The Old Testament prophetic tradition offers an important ethical resource for examining corruption and economic injustice. The eighth-century prophets, particularly Amos, confronted societies characterized by judicial corruption, bribery, exploitation of the poor, dishonest commercial practices, and abuse of political power. Rather than limiting religion to ritual observance, the prophets consistently maintained that genuine worship must be accompanied by justice, righteousness, and social responsibility. Amos' denunciation of those who "turn justice into wormwood" (Amos 5:7), "take bribes" (Amos 5:12), and trample upon the poor provides a compelling theological critique of corruption and economic exploitation that remains relevant to contemporary societies.

Beyond biblical theology, philosophy has long examined the ethical foundations of public life. Virtue ethics, rooted in Aristotelian philosophy, argues that moral conduct depends on the cultivation of virtues such as honesty, justice, integrity, prudence, and temperance (Carr, 2008). Likewise, deontological ethics emphasizes duty, moral obligation, and adherence to universal ethical principles irrespective of personal gain (Dilbar, 2024). These philosophical traditions provide conceptual tools for evaluating corruption not merely as a legal offence but as a violation of moral character and civic responsibility. Bringing these perspectives into dialogue with Old Testament prophetic ethics creates an interdisciplinary framework capable of enriching contemporary anti-corruption discourse.

Notably, several biblical scholars have examined the social justice message of Amos (Amissah, 2023; Adegboyega, 2022; Jusu, 2017), and philosophers have extensively explored ethical theories relevant to public morality (Fraser, 2022; Dilbar, 2024), few studies have systematically integrated Old Testament prophetic ethics with philosophical ethics to address the moral dimensions of corruption in contemporary Nigeria. Existing scholarship on anti-corruption has also tended to privilege legal enforcement and institutional reforms over ethical transformation. This gap suggests the need for an interdisciplinary inquiry that explores how biblical and philosophical ethical traditions can jointly contribute to the fight against corruption.

Against this background, this study examines the ethical teachings of Amos 5:7–24, with inferential reference to related prophetic traditions in Micah and Isaiah, in dialogue with virtue ethics and deontological ethics. The study argues that the convergence of these traditions provides a robust moral framework for promoting integrity, accountability, justice, and responsible leadership. By demonstrating how prophetic ethics complements philosophical moral reasoning, the study contributes to ongoing conversations on economic and financial crimes and proposes an ethical foundation that strengthens existing legal and institutional anti-corruption measures in Nigeria.

## Review of Related Works Relevant to the Study

This literature review establishes an interdisciplinary foundation for analyzing the ethical relevance of Amos 5:7–24 to contemporary financial governance and public accountability in Nigeria, organizing its inquiry around biblical traditions, philosophical frameworks, and contemporary governance scholarship.

Current biblical scholarship firmly links scriptural models of leadership to societal stability and justice. Investigating royal theology, U. A. Dike (2021) utilizes Psalm 72:1–7 to argue that legitimate political authority depends entirely on an impartial commitment to justice (*mishpat*), righteousness (*šēdāqā*), and the protection of vulnerable citizens. Dike maps these ideals directly onto the Nigerian context, attributing the nation's political instability to leadership failures and a departure from these biblical standards. However, her work focuses on royal ethics and the positive ideals of leadership rather than dissecting structural corruption itself. Addressing this more confrontational dimension, J. K. Adegboyega (2022) and P. K. Amissah (2023) examine the prophetic critique within the Book of Amos. Adegboyega highlights Amos's historical denunciation of eighth-century BCE elite exploitation, noting that the prophet views justice as an absolute divine imperative and explicitly rejects institutionalized ritualism when it is divorced from moral conduct. Similarly, Amissah frames the prophetic tradition as a continuous moral corrective that holds political and judicial elites accountable to divine standards of equity rather than personal greed. While these theological analyses establish that corruption is a violation against both God and society, they collectively stop short of engaging normative philosophical ethics.

To enrich this theological foundation, the review incorporates established philosophical perspectives on moral responsibility, balancing duty-based and character-based frameworks. Representing the deontological tradition, K. Dilbar (2024) draws on Kantian ethics to argue that morality is grounded in universal, unconditional duties rather than consequences or expediency. From this perspective, public officials have an absolute obligation to act with integrity, and systemic corruption is exposed as a fundamental violation of the categorical imperative because it treats citizens as mere means to personal enrichment. This insistence on objective, universally applicable moral standards is reinforced by K. C. Fraser et al. (2022), whose study of ethical reasoning demonstrates that consistent normative benchmarks are vital for evaluating institutional accountability. To prevent these rule-bound perspectives from devolving into rigid, mechanical compliance, the framework incorporates the virtue ethics of R. Hursthouse and G. Pettigrove (2007). They contend that ethical governance is sustained not merely by rules, but by the internal cultivation of moral character and virtues like honesty, prudence, and temperance. Under virtue ethics, corruption is diagnosed as a systemic defect of character driven by unrestrained vices.

Ultimately, a synthesis of the literature reveals a significant disciplinary divide: biblical scholarship offers profound theological insights into prophetic justice but lacks philosophical scaffolding, while philosophical frameworks provide robust mechanisms for duty and character but remain detached from religious traditions and the specific socio-political realities of developing nations like Nigeria. This study directly addresses this academic gap. By staging an interdisciplinary dialogue that interprets the systemic critique of Amos 5:7–24 through the dual lenses of deontological duty and virtue-based character, it constructs a comprehensive normative framework designed to advance public integrity, transparent leadership, and structural anti-corruption efforts in contemporary Nigeria.

### **Exegetical study of the ethical teachings on justice, integrity, and accountability in Amos 5:7–24, with reference to related prophetic texts in Micah and Isaiah**

Amos begins his indictment by exposing the collapse of civic and judicial integrity: “You who turn justice to wormwood and cast down righteousness to the earth!” (Amos 5:7). In the ancient Near East, the city gate (*ša'ar*) served as the centre of *mishpāt* (justice), where legal disputes were resolved (Ademiluka, 2017). By likening justice to wormwood (*la'anāh*), a bitter and poisonous herb, Amos depicts a legal system that no longer sustains communal life but instead inflicts harm. Verse 10 deepens the charge, observing that those who “reprove in the gate” and “speak the truth” are hated, revealing a society where accountability is suppressed. Verse 11 further exposes structural exploitation as the elite trample the poor through excessive grain levies, enriching themselves with luxurious “houses of hewn stone” financed by judicial corruption and the oppression of the vulnerable (Kessle, 2025).

Amid this indictment, Amos 5:8–9 inserts a hymn celebrating YHWH as Creator of the Pleiades and Orion and sovereign over creation. This doxology underscores that the God who governs the cosmos also judges human injustice. Accordingly, Amos exhorts the people to “Seek good, and not evil, that you may live” (v. 14), while overturning popular expectations of the “Day of the LORD” (vv. 18–20). Rather than a day of national triumph, it will bring darkness and judgment upon a society corrupted from within.

The oracle culminates in Amos 5:21–24, where YHWH rejects Israel’s religious festivals, offerings, and music with the emphatic declaration, “I hate, I despise your feasts,” because ritual observance has become detached from ethical responsibility (Groenewald, 2019). Instead, God demands that “justice roll down like waters, and righteousness like an ever-flowing stream” (v. 24). In Israel’s arid environment, this image contrasts fleeting seasonal streams with a permanent, life-giving flow, signifying that *mishpāt* (justice) and *ṣēdāqā* (righteousness) must become enduring features of social and institutional life rather than occasional acts of piety (Ademiluka, 2017).

Amos’s message is reinforced by his eighth-century contemporaries, Micah and Isaiah. Micah condemns leaders who exploit legal structures to seize ancestral lands, portraying them as predators who consume the poor (Mic. 2:1–2; 3:1–3; see Boloje & Omosor). Like Amos, he rejects ritual divorced from ethics, declaring that what the Lord requires is “to do justice, love kindness, and walk humbly with your God” (Mic. 6:8; Boloje, 2018). Isaiah likewise denounces religious observances unsupported by moral integrity, condemning sacrifices offered by hands “full of blood” and calling instead for justice, the correction of oppression, and the defence of widows and orphans (Isa. 1:11–17; Esterhuizen & Groenewald, 2023).

Together, Amos, Micah, and Isaiah demonstrate that biblical worship is inseparable from social ethics. Fidelity to YHWH is measured not by ritual performance but by justice, economic fairness, and institutional integrity. Worship that masks systemic exploitation is rejected, while genuine covenant faithfulness is expressed through accountability and righteousness in public life.

### **Virtue Ethics and Deontological Ethics as Philosophical Perspectives on Moral Responsibility and Anti-Corruption**

The fight against corruption and the definition of moral responsibility are foundational concerns of normative ethics. When evaluating how societies, organizations, and individuals should combat corruption and uphold integrity, two prominent philosophical frameworks offer distinct, yet powerful, lenses: Virtue Ethics (an agent-centered approach) and Deontological Ethics (an action- and duty-centered approach). Understanding these frameworks provides a robust philosophical foundation for building anti-corruption mechanisms, moving beyond mere compliance into the realm of deep-seated ethical responsibility.

Originating with ancient philosophers like Aristotle, Virtue Ethics shifts the moral focus from abstract rules to the character of the agent. It asks not “*What should I do?*” but rather “*What kind of person should I become?*” In Virtue Ethics, moral responsibility is an internal disposition. An individual acts rightly because it flows naturally from a stable, deeply ingrained state of character (*hexis*). Aristotle argued that virtue is a mean between excess and deficiency. Finding this mean requires *phronesis* (practical wisdom), the ability to deliberate well about what is good and advantageous for a flourishing life (*eudaimonia*).

From a virtue ethics perspective, corruption is a symptom of character failure, specifically, vices like greed (*pleonexia*), cowardice, or dishonesty. Anti-corruption strategies grounded in virtue ethics focus on. Rules and regulations are necessary, but insufficient. If an official lacks the virtue of honesty, they will simply find clever ways to bypass legal loopholes. Anti-corruption depends on nurturing individuals who choose *not* to take a bribe because it violates their identity, not because they fear getting caught. Because virtues are caught rather than merely taught, the behavior of institutional leaders is critical. Leaders must embody virtues like justice, temperance, and courage (e.g., the courage to be a whistleblower) to establish a cultural norm of integrity. Virtue ethics emphasizes the long-term cultivation of character through habituation from an early age. Anti-corruption, therefore, starts with education that values civic virtue and common good over ruthless individual gain.

Derived primarily from the philosophy of Immanuel Kant, Deontological Ethics posits that the morality of an action is inherent to the action itself, judged by its adherence to rules, duties, and universal laws, regardless of the consequences. For Kant, an action has true moral worth only if it is performed out of a sense of duty (*Deon*). Kant formulated the Categorical Imperative to test the morality of an action. One formulation dictates that you should “*act only according to that maxim whereby you can at the same time will that it should become a universal law.*” Another formulation demands that we treat humanity always as an end, never merely to an end.

Deontology treats corruption as an absolute, non-negotiable moral wrong. It provides philosophical scaffolding for rigid institutional frameworks, legal compliance, and human rights. Under the Categorical Imperative,

bribery fails the test of universalizability. If everyone accepted bribes, the very concept of contracts, public trust, and law would collapse. Because a system based on universalized corruption is logically self-defeating, bribery is strictly irrational and prohibited. Corruption almost always involves using other people such as citizens, taxpayers, or competitors as mere tools or objects to achieve personal wealth or power. Deontology directly forbids this, demanding absolute respect for the dignity and rights of all stakeholders. A deontological anti-corruption framework relies heavily on clear codes of conduct, whistleblower protection laws, strict compliance audits, and uniform enforcement. It removes subjective bias; the rule must apply equally to the CEO/Head of State as it does to the entry-level employee. A purely deontological system creates an environment of strict accountability, but risks producing a "compliance culture" where individuals follow the letter of the law while violating its spirit. Conversely, a purely virtue-based system relies too heavily on human perfection, leaving institutions vulnerable if leadership lacks internal integrity. Therefore, the most effective philosophical framework for anti-corruption is a hybrid model: utilizing deontological rules to establish clear boundaries, absolute duties, and legal accountability, while simultaneously deploying virtue ethics to cultivate an organizational culture where individuals inherently *desire* to do what is right.

### **Old Testament Prophetic Ethics in Dialogue with Philosophical Ethics on Corruption and Financial Misconduct in Nigeria**

Old Testament prophetic ethics and philosophical ethics converge on several fundamental principles that are essential for addressing corruption and financial misconduct in Nigeria, particularly justice, integrity, accountability, the common good, and moral transformation.

Justice forms the foundation of both traditions. Amos presents justice (*mishpat*) and righteousness (*šedāqâ*) as indispensable expressions of covenant faithfulness, calling for justice to "roll down like waters" (Amos 5:24) (Adegboyega, 2022; Amissah, 2023). Micah and Isaiah similarly portray justice as the ethical basis of leadership and the protection of the vulnerable (Mic. 6:8; Isa. 1:21–26; Dike, 2021). This vision parallels deontological ethics, which regards justice, fairness, and respect for persons as universal moral duties (Dilbar, 2024), and virtue ethics, which identifies justice as a cardinal virtue necessary for promoting the common good (Opara, 2025). Both traditions therefore reject corruption because it subordinates public welfare to private gain.

Integrity is another significant point of convergence. The prophets condemn leaders who exploit the poor, accept bribes, and abuse public office (Amos 5:10–12; Mic. 3:1–12; Isa. 1:23), portraying leadership as a moral trust rather than a means of personal enrichment. Likewise, virtue ethics emphasizes the cultivation of honesty, prudence, justice, and integrity as the foundation of ethical leadership (Groenewald, 2019), while deontological ethics requires public officials to discharge their duties honestly and impartially regardless of personal interests (Dilbar, 2024). Together, these perspectives affirm that ethical governance depends on both moral character and fidelity to ethical obligations.

The two traditions also converge on accountability and the common good. The prophets insist that leaders remain accountable before God for the exercise of authority (Amissah, 2023), while deontological ethics emphasizes accountability to universal moral duties (Ogundeke, 2025). Virtue ethics complements this by highlighting internal accountability through the cultivation of moral character (Salifu & Olulowo, 2026). Both traditions further understand leadership as stewardship directed toward the common good rather than private interests, rejecting corruption because it undermines justice, human dignity, and public trust.

Finally, both traditions recognize that sustainable anti-corruption efforts require moral transformation alongside institutional reform. Amos calls for ethical renewal through seeking good and establishing justice (Amos 5:14–15), while virtue ethics emphasizes character formation and deontological ethics reinforces adherence to objective moral principles (Murugan, et al, 2025). Consequently, legal reforms and anti-corruption institutions, though indispensable, are unlikely to achieve lasting success without ethical leadership and a culture of integrity.

The convergence between Old Testament prophetic ethics and philosophical ethics therefore provides a compelling normative basis for combating corruption in Nigeria. By integrating the prophetic emphasis on justice, integrity, and accountability with the philosophical insights of virtue ethics and deontological ethics, this dialogue broadens anti-corruption discourse beyond legal compliance to include moral formation, responsible leadership, and the promotion of the common good.

## **A Normative Framework for Complementing Legal and Institutional Anti-Corruption Measures in Nigeria**

The foregoing discussions have demonstrated that Old Testament prophetic ethics and philosophical ethics offer complementary normative resources for addressing corruption and financial misconduct. While the prophetic tradition grounds ethical conduct in covenantal faithfulness and accountability before God, virtue ethics emphasizes moral character, and deontological ethics underscores universal moral duties. Although these traditions differ in their philosophical and theological foundations, they converge on the principles of justice, integrity, accountability, and the common good. Building on this convergence, this study proposes an integrated normative framework that complements existing legal and institutional anti-corruption measures in Nigeria by providing a coherent ethical basis for responsible leadership, public accountability, and good governance.

Nigeria has enacted numerous anti-corruption laws and established institutions such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission, the Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission, the Code of Conduct Bureau, and the Public Procurement Bureau. Although these institutions have strengthened the country's anti-corruption architecture, corruption remains pervasive, suggesting that legal sanctions and institutional reforms alone are insufficient. Their effectiveness is often undermined by weak ethical values, abuse of public trust, and inadequate moral commitment among both public officials and citizens (Modise & Modise, 2023). Consequently, anti-corruption efforts require an ethical dimension that addresses the values and dispositions underlying corrupt behavior.

An integrated normative framework recognizes that sustainable anti-corruption strategies should integrate ethical character, moral obligation, and institutional accountability. Old Testament prophetic ethics contributes a theological vision of leadership as stewardship exercised under divine authority, where justice, integrity, and accountability are indispensable covenantal obligations (Amissah, 2023; Adegboyega, 2022). Virtue ethics complements this perspective by emphasizing that ethical governance depends on leaders whose character is formed by virtues such as honesty, prudence, justice, courage, and integrity (Opara, 2025). Deontological ethics reinforces the framework by insisting that public officials have binding moral duties to uphold fairness, transparency, respect for people and the rule of law irrespective of personal or political interests (Ulum, 2025). Together, these perspectives provide both the moral motivation and normative standards necessary for ethical public administration.

The framework therefore extends anti-corruption discourse beyond compliance with laws to the cultivation of an ethical culture within public institutions and society. Legal reforms remain indispensable for investigating and sanctioning corruption, but they are most effective when reinforced by values that encourage voluntary ethical conduct (Hidayat, et al, 2023). Public office should be understood not as an avenue for private enrichment but as a public trust requiring responsible stewardship of national resources. Similarly, public institutions should promote ethical leadership through transparent procedures, merit-based appointments, and consistent enforcement of accountability mechanisms, while educational and religious institutions should strengthen ethics education and character formation.

This integrated approach also broadens responsibility for combating corruption. Rather than viewing anti-corruption solely as the responsibility of enforcement agencies, it recognizes the complementary roles of government, educational institutions, religious organizations, professional bodies, civil society, and citizens in fostering a culture of integrity and accountability (Agu et al, 2024). By promoting ethical values alongside institutional reforms, the framework addresses both the structural and behavioral dimensions of corruption. The framework proposed in this study does not seek to replace existing legal and institutional anti-corruption mechanisms but to strengthen them by providing the ethical foundation upon which they can function more effectively. By integrating the prophetic ethics of Amos, Micah, and Isaiah with the philosophical insights of virtue ethics and deontological ethics, the framework offers a holistic approach to combating corruption in Nigeria. It demonstrates that sustainable anti-corruption efforts require not only effective laws and institutions but also leaders and citizens whose conduct is shaped by justice, integrity, accountability, and an enduring commitment to the common good.

### **Discussion of Findings**

The synthesis of Old Testament prophetic ethics, normative philosophical frameworks, and the socio-political reality of contemporary Nigeria yields several profound insights. Rather than treating corruption merely as a

legal infraction or a failure of institutional design, this discussion interprets the findings to reveal how a combined theological-philosophical approach can fundamentally reshape Nigeria's anti-corruption architecture. Below is a discussion of the findings:

#### i. The Subversion of Public Institutions: From "Wormwood" to Systemic Decay

The exegetical analysis of Amos 5:7–12 reveals a striking parallel between eighth-century BCE Israelite society and contemporary Nigeria regarding the subversion of civic spaces. Amos's metaphor of turning *mishpāt* (justice) into "wormwood" (a bitter, toxic herb) directly mirrors how systemic corruption has toxicified Nigerian public life. When the judiciary, regulatory agencies, and law enforcement agencies (such as the EFCC and ICPC) are compromised by bribery or political interference, they cease to protect the public and instead become instruments of harm. In the ancient Near East, the city gate (*šā'ar*) was the institutional locus of legal redress. In Nigeria, the modern counterparts: the courts, procurement offices, and public registries have similarly been weaponized by elites. The findings demonstrate that when institutional gates are governed by personal enrichment rather than objective duty, the vulnerable are systematically disenfranchised through excessive economic burdens (such as procurement fraud and embezzlement), mirroring the unjust "grain levies" condemned by Amos.

#### ii. The Illusion of Compliance and the Failure of Empty Ritualism

A central finding of this study is the systemic failure of external mechanisms when detached from internal moral frameworks. This tension is manifested in two distinct ways. Amos 5:21–24, Micah 6:8, and Isaiah 1:11–17 converge on a fierce critique of ritual performance devoid of social righteousness. In Nigeria, a deeply religious society, high levels of personal piety, church/mosque attendance, and public religious declarations coexist paradoxically with pervasive financial crimes. The findings show that contemporary religious practices often mirror ancient Israelite ritualism, serving as a moral cosmetic to mask systemic exploitation. True covenant faithfulness, as established by the prophets, demands that justice "roll down like waters," establishing public accountability as the ultimate metric of genuine spirituality. From a philosophical standpoint, a parallel failure occurs within Nigeria's anti-corruption agencies. Relying solely on strict legal enforcement creates a rigid "compliance culture" where public officials look for legal loopholes or satisfy the mere letter of the law while violating its spirit. Kantian deontology elevates this finding by showing that anti-corruption measures cannot just be tools of convenience or fear of punishment. Instead, public service must be recognized as a categorical imperative. That is, an absolute, unconditional moral duty to treat citizens as ends in themselves, rather than as means to personal wealth accumulation.

#### iii. Character as the Missing Link in Institutional Design

While existing scholarship heavily privileges structural and legislative adjustments, the integration of virtue ethics demonstrates that institutions are only as strong as the character of the agents who populate them. Virtue ethics diagnoses Nigeria's corruption crisis as a collective pathology of character characterized by unchecked *pleonexia* (greed) and a deficiency in temperance and justice. Legal sanctions exist to deter vice, but they cannot manufacture virtue. If a public official lack ingrained moral dispositions (*hexis*), institutional frameworks will continually fall victim to bypasses and collusion. Because virtues are habituated and caught through social emulation, the moral failure of leadership in Nigeria creates a trickle-down effect that normalizes unethical behaviour across lower institutional tiers. Conversely, cultivating *phronesis* (practical wisdom) within leadership transforms public governance from a theatre of self-interest into a stewardship directed toward the common good.

#### iv. The Synergy of the Hybrid Normative Framework

The ultimate value of this study lies in the formulation of an integrated, multi-layered framework where theology, philosophy, and law operate symmetrically rather than in isolation.

| Dimension   | Source Tradition    | Primary Mechanism                              | Target Objective                                                                    |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Theological | Amos, Micah, Isaiah | Covenantal stewardship; divine accountability. | Reorientation of public office as a sacred trust; eradication of ethical hypocrisy. |

|                        |                               |                                                        |                                                                                          |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Philosophical (Action) | Deontological Ethics          | Categorical Imperative; universal moral duties.        | Codification of rigid boundaries, clear codes of conduct, and uniform legal enforcement. |
| Philosophical (Agent)  | Virtue Ethics                 | Character formation; habituation of civic virtues.     | Internalized intolerance for bribery; long-term cultural shift toward integrity.         |
| Institutional          | Nigerian Anti-Corruption Laws | Statutory sanctions; investigative powers (EFCC/ICPC). | Enforcement of accountability; punitive deterrence for structural violations.            |

By superimposing prophetic and philosophical ethics onto the existing statutory powers of Nigerian anti-corruption bodies, the framework addresses both the behavioural and structural dimensions of financial crime. It shifts the anti-corruption paradigm from a reactive stance (punishing crime after it occurs) to a proactive culture (nurturing an environment where corruption becomes structurally and morally untenable). Consequently, structural anti-corruption efforts in Nigeria will remain unsustainable until legal enforcement is anchored in a deep-seated cultural and ethical transformation.

## Conclusion

This study evaluated the pervasive crisis of corruption and financial crime in Nigeria by integrating the Old Testament prophetic traditions of Amos, Micah, and Isaiah with the philosophical frameworks of virtue and deontological ethics. The investigation yields three definitive conclusions matching the study's core objectives: first, while Nigeria's legal and institutional anti-corruption architecture (EFCC, ICPC) is structurally essential, it addresses only the symptoms of corruption rather than its root causes. Without an underlying moral foundation, structural reforms merely produce a superficial "compliance culture" riddled with legal loopholes. Second, the synthesis of biblical theology and normative philosophy bridges a critical disciplinary gap. Prophetic ethics reclaims public office as a sacred covenantal trust, Kantian deontology establishes corruption as an absolute violation of universal duty, and virtue ethics identifies character development (*hexis*) as the vital link preventing institutional decay. Third, lasting anti-corruption reform in Nigeria demands a hybrid paradigm shift. The nation must complement statutory punitive measures with deliberate moral transformation. Cultivating civic virtues, ensuring genuine accountability over empty religious ritualism, and viewing public governance as a commitment to the common good are indispensable for achieving sustainable national development.

Thus, the study affirms that combating corruption in Nigeria requires a multidimensional approach that integrates ethical formation with institutional accountability. The enduring relevance of the Old Testament prophets, when interpreted alongside philosophical ethics, demonstrates that the pursuit of justice, integrity, and the common good remains central to ethical governance. This integrated perspective not only contributes to scholarship in Old Testament theology and moral philosophy but also offers practical ethical insights for policymakers, religious leaders, educators, public institutions, and civil society organizations committed to fostering transparent, accountable, and just governance in Nigeria.

## References

- Adegboyega, J. A. (2022). Social Injustice in Amos 5 and its Implications for the Contemporary Society. *Pharos Journal of Theology*, 103(2).
- Ademiluka, S. O. (2017). Justice and righteousness on Old Testament prophets in relation to insecurity in Nigeria. *Journal for Semitics*, 26(1), 294–316. <https://doi.org/10.25159/1013-8471/3118>
- Agu, J. C., Nkwo, F. N., & Eneiga, R. U. (2024). Governance and anti-corruption measures in Nigeria: Strategies for enhancing transparency, accountability and public trust. *International Journal of Economics and Public Policy*, 8(1), 1-15.
- Amissah, P. K. (2023). *The prophetic voice of Amos on contemporary social justice* (Vol. 215). Brill.
- Boloje, B. O. (2018). Micah's theory of the justice of judgement (Micah 3:1-12). *Journal for Semitics*, 26(2). <https://doi.org/10.25159/1013-8471/2948>
- Boloje, B. O., & Omosor, F. O. (2025). Oppressive politics and economics of affluence: Micah 3: 1-4 and its multifaceted parallels in modern-day Nigeria. *Pharos Journal of Theology*, 106(5).

- Carr, D. (2008). Character education as the cultivation of virtue. *Handbook of moral and character education*, 99-116.
- Dike, U. A. (2021). Kingship and Integrity in Psalm 72: 1-7 and Its Relevance for National Stability in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Christian Studies*, 4(2), 19-35.
- Dilbar, K. (2024). The principles of deontological ethics. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 2(5), 56-59.
- Essoh, P. A., Daniel, U. S., & Asangausung, O. S. (2025). An assessment of Nigeria's anticorruption agencies: challenges and implications for national development. *IJO-International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 8(5), 1-17.
- Esterhuizen, E., & Groenewald, A. (2023). A trauma reading of Isaiah 1–12 from the “Unity Movement” perspective. *Journal for Semitics*, 32(1), 1-22.
- Fisayo, D. P., Nte, N., Adejoke, A. A., & Ayoola, O. R. (2023). Elite capture syndrome and Anti-Corruption control in nigeria: the economic and financial crimes commission and the independent corrupt practices commission in perspective. *International Journal of Business, Humanities, Education and Social Sciences (IJBHES)*, 5(2), 144-152.
- Fraser, K. C., Kiritchenko, S., & Balkir, E. (2022, July). Does moral code have a moral code? probing delphi’s moral philosophy. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Trustworthy Natural Language Processing (TrustNLP 2022)* (pp. 26-42).
- Groenewald, A. (2019). 'But let justice roll down like waters, and righteousness like an ever-flowing stream' (Am 5:24). Social justice versus cult criticism in Amos (5:21–24) and Isaiah (1:10–20): A trauma perspective. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 75(3). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v75i3.5629>
- Hidayat, A. S., Yunus, N. R., & Helmi, M. I. (2023). Law enforcement ethics and morality contribution in reducing the culture of corruption. *Education*, 3(4), 608-618.
- Hursthouse, R., & Pettigrove, G. (2007). Virtue ethics in stanford encyclopedia of philosophy. *Metaphysics Research Lab*.
- Jubaer, S. (2025). Corruption, Accountability, and Legal Enforcement: Evaluating Anti-Corruption Mechanisms. *Asian Migrant*, 10.
- Jusu, J. (Ed.). (2017). *Africa Study Bible, NLT*. Tyndale House Publishers.
- Kessler, R. (2025). *Amos*. Kohlhammer Verlag.
- Ladi, S. M., Daniel, H. E., & Umar, A. (2025). Corruption as a barrier to effective governance in key sectors of Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(4), 4953-4969.
- Modise, J. M., & Modise, P. S. (2023). Improved public trust, integrity and good governance with respect to corruption. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 8(3), 2573-2583.
- Modupe, A. (2024). Corruption and its impact on sustainable development in Nigeria. *Wukari International Studies Journal*, 8(4), 33-44.
- Murugan, A., Pandiamani, B. K., & Murugesan, S. K. (2025). Honesty as a Moral and Professional Virtue in Teaching: A Conceptual Framework Integrating Virtue Ethics, Teacher Identity, and Ethical Practice.
- Ogunde, E. A. (2025). On the Necessity of Normative Ethics: Deontology in Perspective. *IDEAS: Uniuyo Journal of Philosophy and Multi-Disciplinary Studies*. Vol. 1, No. 3. [ideasjournaluniuyo@gmail.com](mailto:ideasjournaluniuyo@gmail.com) [www.ideasuniuyojournal.com](http://www.ideasuniuyojournal.com)
- Okpara, N. (2025). From Aristotelian to Kantian Ethics in the Digital Media Age: Defining and Practicing the Good for the Common Good in Nigeria. *Journal of African Film & Diaspora Studies (JAFDIS)*, 8(2).
- Salifu, G., & Olulowo, S. A. (2026). The Moral Architecture of the Good Life: A Philosophical-Theological Reassessment of Christian Virtue Ethics in a Pluralistic World. *Journal of Philosophy, Policy and Strategic Studies*, 2(1), 74-84.
- Sukare, B. M., A., Abdullahi (2026), Public Sector Ethics and Fight against Corruption: Lessons from Nigeria’s AntiCorruption Institutions. *British Journal of Contemporary Education* 6(1), 35-45. DOI: 10.52589/BJCE-ILMURGQN
- Ulum, A. W. (2025). Theoretical Analysis of the Role of Ethics in Decision-Making: A Philosophical Approach to the Values of Integrity and Public Service. *Best Journal of Administration and Management*, 3(3), 196-202.